

2017 NONRESIDENTIAL ESPI DEEMED LIGHTING IMPACT EVALUATION

Final Report

Submitted to: California Public Utilities Commission

Prepared by:



1111 Broadway Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607 www.itron.com/strategicanalytics

April 1, 2019





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EXECU	ITIVE SUMMARY	1-1
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	NEED FOR STUDY ENERGY EFFICIENCY TECHNOLOGIES STUDIED APPROACH RESULTS RECOMMENDATIONS CONTACT INFORMATION	1-1 1-1 1-2 1-4 1-6 1-7
2	INTRO	DUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF STUDY	2-1
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	ANALYSIS OF MEASURE UNCERTAINTY RESEARCH OBJECTIVES STUDIED MEASURE GROUPS 2.3.1 Indoor LED Lamps 2.3.2 Indoor LED Fixtures 2.3.3 Outdoor LED Fixtures OVERVIEW OF IMPACT EVALUATION METHODOLOGY	
3	DATA	SOURCES	3-1
	3.1 3.2	PROGRAM TRACKING DATA EXISTING ON-SITE VERIFICATION AUDITS 3.2.1 Existing On-site Data Used to Support Pre- and Post-Retrofit Wattages 3.2.2 Existing On-site Data Used to Support Pre- and Post-Retrofit Operating Hours.	
	3.3	PARTICIPANT PHONE SURVEYS	3-10 3-10
	3.4	IOU WORKPAPERS AND DEER	3-11
4	SAMP	LE DESIGN	4-1
	4.1 4.2	LED LAMP AND DOWNLIGHT MEASURES LED FIXTURE MEASURES	4-1 4-2
5	GROSS	S IMPACT PARAMETER ANALYSIS	5-1
	5.1 5.2 5.3	GROSS IMPACT METHODOLOGY GROSS IMPACTS	
	5.4	GROSS IMPACT PARAMETER COMPARISON (EX POST VS EX ANTE)	5-24
6	NET I/	MPACT ANALYSIS	6-1
	6.1	NET IMPACT EVALUATION METHODOLOGY 6.1.1 Overview of Approach to Estimating Free Ridership 6.1.2 NTG Questions and Scoring Algorithm 6.1.3 Anglysis to Support Changes to NTG Algorithm	6-1 6-1 6-2 6-4
	6.2	NET TO GROSS RESULTS 6.2.1 PG&E Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixtures 6.2.2 SCE Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixtures	



		6.2.3	SDG&E Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixtures	6-9
7	EVAL	UATION	RESULTS	. 7-1
	7.1	GROSS	FIRST YEAR REALIZATION RATES	7-1
	7.2	GROSS	LIFECYCLE REALIZATION RATES	7-4
	7.3	NET FIR	IST YEAR REALIZATION RATES	7-5
	7.4	NET LIF	ECYCLE REALIZATION RATES	7-7
8	CONC		S AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 8-1

APPENDIX AA	STANDARDIZED HIGH LEVEL SAVINGS	AA-1
APPENDIX AB	STANDARDIZED PER UNIT SAVINGS	AB-1
APPENDIX AC	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS	AC-1
APPENDIX A	PHONE SURVEY INSTRUMENT	A-1
APPENDIX B	PARTICIPANT ON-SITE SURVEY INSTRUMENT	B-1
APPENDIX C	SELF-REPORT AND BUSINESS HOUR METHODOLOGY	C -1
APPENDIX D	PHONE SURVEY BANNERS	D-1
APPENDIX E	MEASURE NAME TO ESPI MEASURE MAPPING	E-1
APPENDIX F	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	F-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Distribution of Lifecycle MWh Savings for Indoor LED Lamps by PA	2-5
Figure 2-2: Distribution of Lifecycle MWh Savings for Indoor LED Fixtures by PA	2-6
Figure 2-3: Distribution of Lifecycle MWh Savings for Outdoor LED Fixtures by PA	2-7
Figure 3-1: Distribution of Control Type by LED Type (2013-2015)	3-10
Figure 4-1: PY2017 Distribution of Ex Ante Savings for LED Fixtures by Program Delivery (SCE)	4-4
Figure 4-2: PY2017 Distribution of Ex Ante Savings for LED Fixtures by Program Delivery (SDG&E)	4-4
Figure 5-1: Installation Rates for LED Measures by Evaluation Year	5-4
Figure 5-2: Example Daily Load Profile for an LED Lamp Installed in an Office	5-8
Figure 5-3: Aggregrated Daily Load Profile for LED Lamps Installed in an Office	5-9
Figure 5-4: Efficacy (lumens/watt) of Program Rebated LED Measures (2014-2015)	5-14
Figure 5-5: Efficacy (lumens/watt) of Program Rebated LED Measures by Wattage Bin (2014-2015)	5-15
Figure 5-6: Distribution of Baseline Technology (2014-2015)	5-16
Figure 5-7: Lumens and Wattage for Energy Star Qualifying CFLs (2014-2016)	5-17
Figure 5-8: Lumen Bins and Wattage for Halogen Lamps	5-18



Figure 5-9: Lumens and Wattage for Energy Star Qualifying LED (2016-2017)	5-19
Figure 5-10: Comparison of LED Efficacy (LPW) Using 2015 Wattages vs 2017 Wattages	5-20
Figure 5-11: Comparisons of WRR and LPW Using 2015 Wattages vs 2017 Wattages	5-21
Figure 5-12: PG&E LED A-Lamp Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-25
Figure 5-13: SCE LED A-Lamp Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-25
Figure 5-14: SDG&E LED A-Lamp Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-26
Figure 5-15: PG&E LED Downlight EX Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-26
Figure 5-16: SCE LED Downlight Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-27
Figure 5-17: SDG&E LED Downlight Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-28
Figure 5-18: PG&E LED MR-16 Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-28
Figure 5-19: SCE LED MR-16 Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-29
Figure 5-20: SDG&E LED MR-16 Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-29
Figure 5-21: PG&E LED Reflector Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-30
Figure 5-22: SCE LED Reflector Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-30
Figure 5-23: SDG&E LED Reflector Ex Ante to Ex Post Impact Waterfall	5-31

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Percentage of 2017 Reported Gross kWh Savings by Portfolio and Lighting Technology	1-2
Table 1-2: Data Collection and Analyses Conducted by Technology	1-4
Table 1-3: PG&E Lifecycle Net MWh Realization Rates for Evaluated Technologies	1-4
Table 1-4: SCE Lifecycle Net MWh Realization Rates for Evaluated Technologies	1-5
Table 1-5: SDG&E Lifecycle Net MWh Realization Rates for Evaluated Technologies	1-5
Table 1-6: Contact Information	1-7
Table 2-1: Overview of PY2017-2018 Measure Uncertainty	2-2
Table 2-2: Percentage of 2017 Ex Ante Gross kWh Savings by Portfolio and Deemed ESPI Lighting	2-4
Table 3-1: Data Sources and Ex Post Update for PY2017 ESPI Measures	3-1
Table 3-2: Indoor LED Post-Retrofit Model Lookups and Pre-Retrofit Observations (2014-2015)	3-4
Table 3-3: Logged Data Used for Adjustment Factors and Business Hour Rates (2013-2014)	3-6
Table 3-4: Building Type, Activity Area and Fixture Counts by Indoor LED Type (2013-2015)	3-7
Table 4-1: PY2017 Portfolio of Ex Ante MWh Savings for Nonresidential Deemed LED Fixtures	4-2
Table 4-2: Sample Size Requirements and Coefficient of Variation at the 90% Confidence Interval	4-5



Table 4-3: PY2017 Downstream LED Fixture Phone Survey Sample Design	4-6
Table 5-1: Lighting Disposition and Installation Rates for LED Measures by Evaluation Year	5-5
Table 5-2: Lighting Disposition and Installation Rates for LED Measures (2015 Only)	5-6
Table 5-3: Comparison of Logged and Adjusted Hours from 2013-2014 LED Evaluations by Building Type	5-10
Table 5-4: LED A-Lamp Post-Retrofit Annual Hours of Operation and Coincidence Factors by Building Type	5-11
Table 5-5: LED DownLight Post-Retrofit Annual Hours of Operation and Coincidence Factors by Building Type	5-11
Table 5-6: LED MR-16 Post-Retrofit Annual Hours of Operation and Coincidence Factors by Building Type	5-12
Table 5-7: LED Reflector Lamp Post-Retrofit Annual Hours of Operation and Coincidence Factors by Building Type	5-12
Table 5-8: Ex Post Pre- and Post-Wattages and WRR for LED Measures	5-22
Table 5-9: Approved LED A-Lamp Measure Definitions	5-23
Table 6-1: Comparison of PAI-1 Scores with Timing of Decision to Install Equipment	6-5
Table 6-2: Comparison of PAI-1 Scores with No-Program Behaviors	6-5
Table 6-3: Comparison of NTGRs with and without Using PAI-1	6-6
Table 6-4: Ex Ante and Ex Post Net-to-Gross Ratios and PAI Scores For Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixture Measures by LED Type	6-7
Table 6-5: Timing of Decision and Self-Reported Action in the Absence of the Program	6-8
Table 7-1: Data Sources and Ex Post Update for PY2017 ESPI Measures	7-1
Table 7-2: PG&E First Year Gross MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-2
Table 7-3: SCE First Year Gross MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-2
Table 7-4: SDG&E First Year Gross MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-2
Table 7-5: PG&E Lifecycle Gross MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-4
Table 7-6: SCE Lifecycle Gross MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-4
Table 7-7: SDG&E Lifecycle Gross MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-4
Table 7-8: PG&E First Year Net MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-5
Table 7-9: SCE First Year Net MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-6
Table 7-10: SDG&E First Year Net MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-6
Table 7-11: Ex Ante and Ex Post Net-to-Gross Ratios and PAI Scores For Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixture Measures by LED Type	7-7
Table 7-12: PG&E Lifecycle Net MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-7
Table 7-13: SCE Lifecycle Net MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-8
Table 7-14: SDG&E Lifecycle Net MWh and MW Realization Rates for Evaluated Measures	7-8

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 NEED FOR STUDY

This study evaluates a subset of lighting technologies with high levels of uncertainty regarding the potential energy savings and were offered by the 2017 investor-owned utility (IOU) energy efficiency programs. The study then develops revised savings estimates to inform CPUC regulatory requirements and to determine if the program achieved the savings goals for the state. The specific lighting technologies studied, the general approach to developing savings, the resulting evaluated savings values and recommendations are discussed below.

1.2 ENERGY EFFICIENCY TECHNOLOGIES STUDIED

This evaluation focused on four lighting technologies:

- Indoor LED Fixture These are typically 4-foot lighting fixtures found in a garage.
- Outdoor LED Fixture These are the lights found outdoors, like in parking lots and parking garages.
- Indoor LED Light Bulbs These are the classic light bulbs you would find in a desk lamp.
- Indoor LED Reflector Light Bulbs These are often referred to as "flood lights."

The IOUs offered these four technologies through their commercial rebate programs. The technologies studied represent roughly 8.9% of the total kWh energy savings reported by all IOU program technologies statewide (SW), over the life of the technologies – referred to as lifecycle savings. Table 1-1 presents the distribution of reported kWh energy savings across the four studied technologies for each IOU along with the SW total.



2017 Lighting Technology	Percent of Portfolio Lifecycle kWh Savings				Percent of Lifecycle kWh Savings Among All Lighting Technologies			
	Statewide	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E	Statewide	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E
Indoor LED Fixture	4.0%	5.9%	3.5%	1.8%	45.7%	42.5%	49.9%	52.9%
Outdoor LED Fixture	3.0%	5.1%	2.4%	0.3%	33.5%	36.5%	33.8%	9.4%
Indoor LED Light bulbs	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%	0.6%	9.3%	9.7%	6.7%	17.7%
Indoor LED Reflector Light bulbs	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.7%	11.5%	11.3%	9.6%	20.0%
TOTAL	8.9%	13.9%	7.1%	3.4%				

TABLE 1-1: PERCENTAGE OF 2017 REPORTED GROSS KWH SAVINGS BY PORTFOLIO AND LIGHTING TECHNOLOGY

While these technologies represented 8.9% of claimed lifecycle kWh savings at the statewide level, the distribution of savings varies within each IOU. Overall, indoor LED fixtures represent the most significant savings across technologies at the statewide level (45.7%), followed by outdoor LED fixtures (33.5%) and LED light bulb and reflector light bulb technologies (9.3% and 11.5%, respectively).

1.3 APPROACH

The study's objective is to evaluate IOU savings claims for the four lighting technologies and to conduct research that develops revised estimates of savings. This study examines each of the parameters that make up the energy (kWh) and demand (kW) savings provided over the lifetime of these technologies:

- Installed measure counts the quantity of rebated units that were installed and operable.
- Annual hours of use (HOU) the amount of time throughout the year the technologies are "ON".
- The change in power measured in watts, referred to as "Delta wattage"- this represents the efficiency of the installed measure relative to the pre-existing equipment.
- Effective useful life (EUL) this is how long the energy efficient equipment will operate into the future. This is critical to estimating lifecycle savings.

Various techniques were used to study each parameter. For some technologies, customers were visited on site to collect information to support the energy savings calculations. In some instances, monitoring equipment was installed on the new lighting systems to measure the number of hours the lights are "ON." Another key on-site activity collected information on the model numbers of the light bulbs or fixtures installed so that wattage values and the efficacy of the equipment could be determined from manufacturer specifications.



The evaluation compares the savings reported by the programs for each parameter to evaluation results developed using the data collected on site. The ratio of the evaluated savings to reported savings is referred to as the "realization rate" or the rate at which reported savings are realized through the evaluation.

The evaluation also examines how successful the IOU programs were in influencing customers to install energy efficient technologies that would not have been installed if the programs had not existed. Customers who would have installed the same energy efficient equipment in the absence of the program are referred to as "free riders," because they receive incentives from the programs for actions they would have undertaken without the program's existence. The evaluation examines both the total amount of savings derived among all participants, referred to as "gross savings," and the savings that is generated "net" of free riders, referred to as "net savings." The ratio between the net and gross levels of savings is referred to as the net-to-gross ratio. To estimate the net-to-gross ratio, a representative sample of participants are telephone surveyed and asked several questions regarding the program's influence on their decision to install the energy efficient equipment. The survey examines various factors related to the program and examines what the customer would likely have done in the absence of the program. The net-to-gross ratio is a value between zero and 100%, where the higher the ratio the better, meaning the program had a higher influence on the installation of that energy efficient technology.

The commercial lighting population is comprised of a variety of rebated LED fixture technologies. These rebated technologies are delivered to participating customers through different program types. "Downstream" programs offer rebates directly to the end-use customers. "Midstream" programs provide rebates directly to distributors, who them offer discounted energy efficiency equipment to contractors. The participating distributor signs an agreement with the IOU, and they provide point of purchase incentives to customers, who are typically contractors. The distributor may notify the customer that they are receiving an incentive on behalf of the program, but the distributor submits the required information to the IOU for payment and verification.

The key objective of this evaluation is to estimate evaluated net lifecycle energy and demand savings. This value is the savings estimated by the evaluation, over the life of the technologies, minus (net) the free riders.

The following table presents which technologies had on-site and telephone surveys performed, and whether evaluated gross and net savings values were calculated or passed through, meaning reported values were used.



		Data S	Source	Evaluation Update		
2017 Lighting Technology	Measure Type	New Phone Surveys	Existing On-sites	NTG	tion Update Gross X Pass Through Pass Through X X Pass Through	
	Downlight		Х	Pass Through	Х	
Indoor LED Fixture	High Bay	X		X	Pass Through	
	Non-High Bay	X		X	Pass Through	
	A-Lamp light bulbs		Х	Pass Through	х	
Indoor LED light bulbs	Reflector light bulbs		х	Pass Through	х	
	Specialty light bulbs			Pass Through	Pass Through	
Outdoor LED Fixtures	Non-Street Light	X		X	Pass Through	

TABLE 1-2: DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSES CONDUCTED BY TECHNOLOGY

1.4 **RESULTS**

The results of this evaluation are provided in Table 1-3 through Table 1-5 below. Shown for each technology are the evaluated and reported net lifecycle savings values (MWh), the realization rates and the corresponding net-to-gross ratio for downstream technologies where new phone surveys were conducted.

TABLE 1-3: PG&E LIFECYCLE NET MWH REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED TECHNOLOGIES

		Life Cy			
2017 Lighting Technology	Lighting Type	Reported	Evaluated	Net Realization Rate (Evaluated/ Reported)	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated)
	Downlight	10,124	17,624	174%	
	High/Non-High bay	235,638	150,014	64%	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated) 0.39
	A-Lamp light bulb	52,737	33,788	64%	
	Specialty light bulb	3,002	3,002	100%	
LED Deflector light hulb	MR-16	4,818	6,042	125%	
LED Reflector light buib	Reflectors	65,175	52,355	80%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	199,323	158,280	79%	0.47



		Life Cy	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated)		
2017 Lighting Technology	Lighting Type	Reported	Evaluated	Net Realization Rate (Evaluated/ Reported)	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated)
	Downlight	3,644	4,646	128%	
	High/Non-High bay	16,844	19,444	115%	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated) 0.79
	A-Lamp light bulbs	20,713	17,354	84%	
	Specialty light bulbs	4,366	4,366	100%	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated) 0.79 0.55
LED Dofloctor light hulb	MR-16	3,943	5,155	131%	
	Reflectors	34,241	31,392	92%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	121,661	96,396	79%	0.55

TABLE 1-4: SCE LIFECYCLE NET MWH REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED TECHNOLOGIES

TABLE 1-5: SDG&E LIFECYCLE NET MWH REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED TECHNOLOGIES

		Life Cyc			
2017 ESPI Measure	Measure Type	Reported	Evaluated	Net Realization Rate (Evaluated/ Reported)	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated)
	Downlight	2,631	1,997	76%	
	High/Non-High bay	31,073	30,147	97%	Net-to-Gross Ratio (Evaluated) 0.64
LED light hulbs	A-Lamp light bulbs	10,112	8,824	87%	
	Specialty light bulbs	4,590	4,590	100%	
LED Reflector light	MR-16	1,688	2,154	128%	
bulbs	Reflectors	15,782	13,457	85%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	6,629	8,730	132%	0.81

The realization rates, which essentially compare the evaluated and reported savings values, vary significantly across each technology and program administrator. Differences between the evaluated and reported savings values are due to differences in the underlying parameters that comprise the energy and demand savings.



For LED light bulbs and downlighting (light bulbs that are downward facing, usually in a recessed can in the ceiling), realization rates vary considerably by technology. These variations are primarily driven by the following:

- The evaluation team estimated different hours of operation than assumed by the reported savings values (Section 5).
- The reported savings assumed the light bulbs being replaced were more inefficient than what was found by the evaluation team. This led to a reduction in the amount to energy savings (Section 5).
- The evaluation team found the lighting technologies were installed and operating properly and thus did not have to make significant adjustments based on improper installations (Section 6).
- The evaluation team's findings suggest that LED lamp technologies are becoming more efficient they can deliver the same light output (measured in lumens) per unit of power (measured in watts). (Section 6).

1.5 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Future evaluation efforts should consider conducting a large-scale monitoring study for technologies like LED downlights and reflector lamps installed in high usage areas. The annual operation of these technologies can have potentially significant impacts on realized energy and demand savings moving forward. This evaluation found operating hours for LED downlight technologies in particular were dramatically different than reported claims. Downlight kits were generally installed in high usage areas like lobbies and hallways that can operate at or near 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
- Further research should be conducted to continue to track the typical baseline and efficiency of equipment replaced with program-rebated LED indoor and outdoor technologies. Our field work revealed some program participants report replacing inefficient technologies, such as metal halide, mercury vapor, and high-pressure sodium lights, with outdoor LED fixture technologies.
- All workpaper documentation (documents, workbook calculations and supporting documents) should be posted on the CPUC's Workpaper archive website. Not all documentation could be found detailing the specific parameters comprised of the ex ante claimed savings values. This caused unnecessary coordination with the PAs to find the missing workpapers.



1.6 CONTACT INFORMATION

The ED Project Manager for this study was Ms. Abhilasha Wadhwa. Mr. Brian McAuley of Itron, Inc. served as the manager of the impact evaluation.

TABLE 1-6: CONTACT INFORMATION

Firm	Lead	Contact Info
CPUC 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 418 Sacramento, CA 95814	Abhilasha Wadhwa Energy Analyst: Lighting Programs; Commercial Program and Evaluation Section Energy Efficiency Branch, Energy Division	Phone: (916) 823-4774 Email: abhilasha.wadhwa@cpuc.ca.gov
Itron, Inc 12348 High Bluff Dr., Suite 210 San Diego, CA 94607	Brian McAuley, Principal Energy Consultant Strategic Analytics	Phone: (858) 724-2657 Email: brian.mcauley@itron.com

2 INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF STUDY

This report documents the activities and results of the 2017 Nonresidential Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation of the California Investor Owned Utilities (IOU) energy efficiency programs. The overall goal of this study is to perform an impact evaluation on specific nonresidential deemed lighting measures that were identified in the Efficiency Savings and Performance Incentive¹ (ESPI) decision for program year (PY) 2017. The ESPI mechanism was adopted on September 5, 2013 in D.13-09-023 and provides monetary incentives to IOUs for performance in resource and non-resource program activities.

This evaluation focuses on energy efficiency (EE) resource program savings – measured in net ex post lifecycle energy and demand savings – realized by IOU programs in PY2017. The evaluation team collected and analyzed primary data from PY2017 and existing data from 2013-2015 to develop net ex post lifecycle savings and to satisfy impact evaluation requirements for lighting measures on the PY2017 Uncertain List. This report details the goals and objectives of the impact evaluation to meet those requirements. Likewise, the report discusses the researchable issues, information on the measure groups evaluated as well as the data sources used, the approach for sampling, the verification analysis and the methods used to determine ex post net lifecycle energy impacts. Finally, the report presents the results and findings from the analysis that can then be used to update the Net-to-Gross Ratios (NTGRs) and gross/net first year and lifecycle savings for the measures detailed in the ESPI decision.

2.1 ANALYSIS OF MEASURE UNCERTAINTY

The objective of this study is to perform a measure or measure-parameter impact evaluation – utilizing existing evaluation data and new primary evaluation data – to update ex ante gross or net savings estimates and inform future savings values for lighting measures identified in the PY2017 ESPI decision. Attachment A of the ESPI decision provides an overview of the measure groups (i.e., Food Service equipment, Indoor LED Fixtures), the energy resource (i.e., electric, gas) and the parameters that have been identified as potentially requiring ex post verification. These parameters include installation/verification rates, Unit Energy Savings (UES), NTGRs, gross and net energy savings values, effective useful life (EUL) and impact load shapes. The measure groups and parameters detailed in Attachment A were selected for ex post verification based on several criteria:

- Ex ante savings for the measure are substantially uncertain
- Ex ante savings for the measure represent a significant proportion of program administrator (PA) portfolio savings
- Ex ante savings claims for the measure are expected to increase substantially

¹ <u>http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/general.aspx?id=4137</u>



The final 2017 ESPI Uncertain List identifies several portfolio lighting measures that are subject to some level of ex post evaluation for PY2017. Below is a list of the lighting measure groups identified in that decision. Note that the parameters associated with these measures represent potential areas of focus and that the ex post evaluation is not limited in scope to any specific parameters. The evaluation team has determined which measures and measure-parameters are subject to ex post evaluation. This determination is based on several factors, which will be detailed throughout this report.

Table 2-1 below summarizes the source of uncertainty surrounding the claimed energy and demand impacts for each measure and details which parameters were studied for ex post evaluation. All but non-downlight indoor LED fixture measures were included on the PY2015 uncertain list. Furthermore, all measures listed below will remain on the PY2018 list. The remainder of the report discusses these parameters and how they were studied, in more detail.

2017-2018 ESPI Measure	Measure Type	% of PY2017 Portfolio LC KWh Savings (SW)	2015 ESPI Measure	2017 Uncertain Parameters	Uncertain Parameters Studied in 2017
Indoor LED Fixture	Downlight	4.0%	х	Installation	Installation Rates, UES, EUL
	High/Non-Highbay	4.070		NTG ratio, EUL	NTG Ratio
LED Lamp	A-Lamps	0.8%	x	GRR, NTG	GRR (using a UES approach and installation rate, EUL)
	Specialty Lamps		x	ratios	-
	MR-16	1.0%	х	GRR, NTG	GRR (using a UES
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	1.0%	x	ratios	installation rate, EUL)
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	3.0%	x	Installation Rates, UES, NTG ratio, EUL	NTG Ratio

TABLE 2-1: OVERVIEW OF PY2017-2018 MEASURE UNCERTAINTY

As evident above, LED fixture measures represent a significant proportion of portfolio level lifecycle savings at the statewide level (Table 2-2 also provides that proportion for each PA). Furthermore, measures like high and non-highbay lighting were not on the 2015 ESPI Uncertain List and measures like outdoor LED fixtures were not evaluated with a high level of rigor in PY2015. Since these measures



comprise a significant percentage of ex ante claimed savings (both in PY2017 and PY2018) along with the fact that little or no ex post impact evaluation results exist, they continue to appear on the uncertain list.

For indoor lamp and downlights, they too represent a significant proportion of portfolio level lifecycle savings at the statewide level. As discussed further throughout this report, these measures were evaluated extensively in PY2013-2015. However, the NTG ratios were developed from potentially very different participant populations and program delivery channels (i.e., downstream versus midstream) than in PY2017 or PY2018. Likewise, the UES estimates, EULs and GRRs are all impacted by the underlying parameters that constitute the claimed savings – operating hours, delta wattages, installation rates, etc.

Changes in the composition of the underlying program participant population can have a significant effect on the realized savings claims for these measures across program years. For example, program participants installing LED A-lamp measures in PY2013 may have been replacing more inefficient baseline technologies (like general service incandescent halogens) compared to program participants installing the same measures in 2015 (These participants may have replaced more CFL technologies, which are less efficient than an equivalent LED, but more efficient than a halogen). Furthermore, a program participant in PY2018 may be replacing a less efficient earlier generation LED lamp with a more efficient LED technology. While these measures represent a significant percentage of PA portfolio level savings and the baseline composition of the measure continues to evolve and remain uncertain, claimed impacts for these measures will remain uncertain and ex post evaluation will be required to true up claimed savings.

2.2 **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

Rather than develop a full, comprehensive analysis on all uncertain measures, this evaluation focuses on evaluating specific parameters within the savings algorithms for some measures while implementing a more comprehensive analysis on others. Several research objectives have been targeted in order to develop net and gross ex post impacts for the measures detailed above. The following tasks have been performed, either by leveraging existing data from past evaluation efforts or collecting new primary data from participant phone surveys to develop ex post net lifecycle savings. A more detailed description of the impact methodologies follows in Section 5, but the tasks are summarized below:

- Confirm installations (verification). This includes on-site verification of measure installations that represent a significant percentage of ex ante claimed savings.
- Estimate baseline (both pre-retrofit and code based) and replacement (post-retrofit) equipment wattages, operating hours and use shapes to support the estimate of gross ex post impacts and 8,760 impact load shapes.
- Estimate participant free-ridership to support the development of net-to-gross ratios and net savings values.



- Update EUL estimates based on ex post operating hours.
- Estimate first year and lifecycle gross and net ex post impacts (kWh, kW).
- Develop gross and net realization rates (GRRs and NRRs) based on unit energy savings (UES) and NTG ratios – both first year and lifecycle.

2.3 STUDIED MEASURE GROUPS

Table 2-2 presents the deemed lighting measure group contribution to each PA's 2017 portfolio lifecycle gross ex ante energy savings (as well as the statewide contribution). Also shown are each measure's lifecycle gross energy savings as a percentage of all ESPI nonresidential lighting measure savings.

2017 ESPI Uncertain Measure	Perc	ent of Port Sa	folio Lifecyc vings	le kWh	Percent of Lifecycle kWh Savings among All Deemed ESPI Lighting Measures			
	SW	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E	SW	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E
Indoor LED Fixture	4.0%	5.9%	3.5%	1.8%	45.7%	42.5%	49.9%	52.9%
Outdoor LED Fixture	3.0%	5.1%	2.4%	0.3%	33.5%	36.5%	33.8%	9.4%
Indoor LED Lamps	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%	0.6%	9.3%	9.7%	6.7%	17.7%
Indoor LED Reflector Lamps	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.7%	11.5%	11.3%	9.6%	20.0%
TOTAL	8.9%	13.9%	7.1%	3.4%				

TABLE 2-2: PERCENTAGE OF 2017 EX ANTE GROSS KWH SAVINGS BY PORTFOLIO AND DEEMED ESPI LIGHTING

As shown in Table 2-2, each of these uncertain measures contributes varying levels of ex ante lifecycle gross portfolio savings. Overall, they represent roughly 8.9% of total ex ante kWh savings at the statewide level. LED indoor and outdoor fixtures represent roughly 46% and 34% of that total, respectively. LED lamp measures represent the remaining 20%, at the statewide level.

The measure groups listed on the ESPI Uncertain List for 2017 are aggregate measures that are comprised of four deemed measure groups and well over 500 measure names.² The evaluation team mapped each of the measure names that were represented in the tracking data to these deemed ESPI measure groups. The evaluation team also referenced work papers for some measures where the measure name was too generalized, to more accurately map it to a specific measure category.

² Appendix E provides a detailed mapping of how each ESPI measure was mapped to a specific measure name found in the 2017 program tracking data.



2.3.1 Indoor LED Lamps

The ex post analysis for deemed lighting measures has been conducted at different levels of aggregation and not all ESPI measures have been targeted for the evaluation. As presented above in Table 2-2, LED lamp and reflector lamp measures represent roughly 1.8% of statewide lifecycle portfolio energy savings and 20% of the statewide kWh savings for all the deemed ESPI lighting measures. This measure category, however, represents several different technology types and applications. Indoor LED lamps, for example, include the A-lamp type, reflector lamp types (BR, MR-16 and PAR) and specialty bulbs like candelabras and accent globes. Figure 2-1 presents the distribution of lifecycle MWh savings for each technology type for each PA.



FIGURE 2-1: DISTRIBUTION OF LIFECYCLE MWH SAVINGS FOR INDOOR LED LAMPS BY PA

LED reflector lamps represent the most significant percentage of claimed lifecycle MWh savings for each PA, followed by LED A-lamps and specialty bulbs. The evaluation team conducted extensive primary data collection research in 2013-2015 for A-lamp and reflector lamp measures but did not conduct any research on specialty bulbs like candelabras and globes. Much like PY2017, these measures represented a much smaller contribution to portfolio level savings compared to the other technology types.

2.3.2 Indoor LED Fixtures

As presented in Table 2-2, LED indoor fixture measures represent roughly 4.0% of statewide lifecycle portfolio energy savings and 46% of the statewide kWh savings for all the deemed ESPI lighting measures. The indoor LED fixture measure group also represents several different technology types and applications. LED downlights are represented in the LED fixture measure group because they include not only a lamp replacement, but a fixture/housing replacement as well. The evaluation team conducted extensive



primary data collection research in 2015 for these measures and found demonstratively different applications and ex post savings values for these measures compared to lamp replacement measures. Non-downlight fixtures can also be installed in a high-bay application or in a low-bay setting. These measures range in light output and baseline/measure case wattages and have different applications and technology considerations – troffers, panel fixtures, integrated retrofit kits, T-LEDs, etc. These measures have not been previously evaluated in past impact evaluations. Figure 2-2 presents the distribution of lifecycle MWh savings for each indoor application for each PA.



FIGURE 2-2: DISTRIBUTION OF LIFECYCLE MWH SAVINGS FOR INDOOR LED FIXTURES BY PA

There is significant heterogeneity in the technology types representing high-bay and low-bay measures. Some measures are whole fixture replacements with different baseline considerations (i.e., 6L high light output (HLO) T8 systems versus pulse start metal halide (PSMH) or high-pressure sodium (HPS)). Some measures are specifically detailed as high-bay lighting in the program tracking data, while others don't have that application designation. Overall, however, these measures represent the most significant percentage of claimed lifecycle MWh savings for each PA. Downlighting represents the remaining claimed savings.

2.3.3 Outdoor LED Fixtures

As presented in Table 2-2, LED outdoor fixture measures represent roughly 3.0% of statewide lifecycle portfolio energy savings and 34% of the statewide kWh savings for all the deemed ESPI lighting measures. For exterior LED fixtures, streetlights serve a much different purpose than parking lots or walkway lighting. Streetlights, however, are not on the 2017 ESPI uncertainty list, but their lifecycle energy savings contribution (by PA) is presented alongside other outdoor LED measures in Figure 2-3 for comparative purposes.





FIGURE 2-3: DISTRIBUTION OF LIFECYCLE MWH SAVINGS FOR OUTDOOR LED FIXTURES BY PA

2.4 **OVERVIEW OF IMPACT EVALUATION METHODOLOGY**

The evaluation team estimated ex post gross UES savings values by developing hourly impacts and impact load profiles. These profiles were then aggregated to develop an annual ex post gross energy savings value (kWh) or, averaged over specific coincident peak hours, to develop ex post gross demand savings (kW). The evaluation team then compared those UES impacts to the ex ante impacts claimed in the program tracking data to develop a ratio of ex post to ex ante gross savings.



The general approach the evaluation team utilized to estimate ex post gross impacts is based on developing hourly impacts to generate an impact load profile:

 $Impact_Hour_i = \begin{bmatrix} (Baseline_Wattage \times Percent_On_Pre_Hour_i) \\ -(Post_Wattage \times Percent_On_Post_Hour_i) \end{bmatrix}$

The hourly (i) impacts for each measure were aggregated to develop an annual or 8,760 load shape and summed to develop ex post gross kWh (energy) savings estimates. These hourly impacts were also averaged across specific hours to develop an ex post gross kW (demand) savings estimate. A more detailed discussion of the impact evaluation methodology can be found in Section 5.

A net-to-gross (NTG) analysis was also performed using a self-report analysis based on participant phone survey data. NTGRs were applied back to the ex ante gross impacts in order to estimate net savings for the population of program participants. The approach for estimating NTGRs for these customers uses a standardized Self-Report Approach (SRA)³ that is based on the results of self-report telephone surveys with program participants. The evaluation team utilized this standard SRA framework with relatively minor modifications to NTG survey question batteries, and revisions to the NTG scoring algorithm based on specific recommendations from the 2013-2015 Program Performance Assessment of the Nonresidential Downstream Programs.⁴

The SRA methodology used in this study provides a standard framework, including decision rules, for integrating findings from both quantitative and qualitative information in the calculation of the NTGR in a systematic and consistent manner. The method uses a 0 to 10 scoring system for key questions used to estimate the NTGR rather than using fixed categories that were assigned weights. Respondents were asked to jointly consider and rate the importance of the many likely events or factors that may have influenced their energy efficiency decision making for the project in question, rather than focusing narrowly on only their rating of the program's importance. This question structure more accurately reflects the complex nature of the real-world decision making and helped to ensure that all non-program influences are accounted for when assessing the unique contribution of the program to the implementation of the energy efficiency project. A more detailed discussion of the NTG methodology can be found in Section 6.

³ This SRA framework was originally developed by the statewide Nonresidential NTG working group during 2008.

⁴ <u>https://pda.energydataweb.com/api/view/1975/2013-</u> 2015%20Program%20Performance%20Assessment%20Of%20The%20Nonresidential%20Downstream%20Progr ams%20-%20Final.pdf



The remainder of this report will include the following:

- Section 3 discusses the data sources that were utilized to estimate each of the individual measure parameters.
- Section 4 discusses the sample design for measures subject to ex post evaluation.
- Section 5 discusses the development of each of the gross impact parameters installation rates, pre-and post-retrofit wattages, operating hours and effective useful life (EUL), along with the ex ante to ex post parameter comparisons.
- Section 6 discusses the results of the phone interviews and the net-to-gross (NTG) analysis.
- Section 7 presents the final study results including a discussion of the gross and net realization rates and the total population level ex post energy and demand savings.
- Section 8 presents the conclusions and recommendations.
- Appendix AA presents the standardized high-level savings for both gross and net first year and lifecycle.
- Appendix AB presents the standardized per unit savings for both gross and net first year and lifecycle.
- Appendix AC presents the summary of recommendations for the Response to Recommendations (RTR).
- Appendix A presents the participant telephone survey instrument.
- Appendix B presents the on-site survey instrument.
- Appendix C presents the method used to adjust the self-reported operating schedules.
- Appendix D presents the phone survey banners.
- Appendix E presents the ESPI measure mapping from measure name in the tracking data.
- Appendix F presents the evaluators responses to public comment.

3 DATA SOURCES

The evaluation team utilized a variety of data sources to support the development of each impact parameter and update UES values, installation rates and NTGRs for the ESPI uncertain measures in this study. These data sources were leveraged from past impact evaluation activities as well as from new primary data collection. Table 3-1 presents the data sources and ex post impact evaluation updates for each of the measures discussed in Section 2.

		Data	Source	Ex Post Update		
2017 ESPI Measure	Measure Type	New Phone Surveys	Existing On- sites	NTG	Gross	
	Downlight		x	Pass Through	Х	
Indoor LED Fixture	High Bay	X		X	Pass Through	
	Non-High Bay	X		X	Pass Through	
	A-Lamps		X	Pass Through	Х	
Indoor LED Lamps	Reflector Lamps		X	Pass Through	Х	
	Specialty Lamps			Pass Through	Pass Through	
Outdoor LED Fixtures	Non-Street Light	x		X	Pass Through	

TABLE 3-1: DATA SOURCES AND EX POST UPDATE FOR PY2017 ESPI MEASURES

Throughout this report, the evaluation team has identified which sources of data were leveraged for each measure-parameter – both for the gross and the net impact analysis. Below is a brief description of each data source along with the evaluation year that corresponds with the data collection:

- 2013 the data for this year represents program rebated LED technologies evaluated throughout the PY2010-2012 program years and early Q1-Q2 PY2013 data.¹ Most program measures in this evaluation were rebated in Q3-Q4 of 2012 and Q1-Q2 of 2013.
- 2014 the data for this year represents program rebated LED technologies evaluated throughout the entirety of PY2013² and PY2014,³ excluding measures from Q1-Q2 of PY2013 that were subject to review in the previous evaluation.
- 2015 the data for this year represents program rebated LED technologies evaluated throughout the entirety of PY2015.⁴

¹ <u>http://www.calmac.org/</u> (Calmac ID: CPU0101.01)

² <u>http://www.calmac.org/</u> (Calmac ID: CPU0140.01)

³ <u>http://www.calmac.org/</u> (Calmac ID: CPU0139.01)

⁴ <u>http://www.calmac.org/</u> (Calmac ID: CPU0167.01)



The evaluation team has utilized existing on-site primary research from 2013-2015 to update gross impacts for three measure types – downlighting, reflector lamps and A-Lamps. The evaluation team also conducted NTG analyses on these measures throughout those program years. However, given underlying changes in program delivery and the unique nature of self-reported program attribution, these estimates will not be used to update ex ante NTG estimates for PY2017 participants. The evaluation team has not performed new primary data collection for these measures by way of self-report phone surveys, so ex ante claimed NTG ratios for these measures will be "passed through".

The evaluation team has no existing primary data for LED fixture measures – indoor high/non-highbay and outdoor fixtures – and the claimed savings for these measures have increased substantially over of the past few program years. Given budgetary considerations and accelerated reporting timelines, no new on-site primary research was conducted for these measures. Ex ante claimed gross savings will be "passed through" for PY2017 program participants. However, self-report phone surveys were conducted for these measures to update NTG estimates.⁵

Specialty lamps like globes and candelabras will not receive a gross *or* net ex post update. The evaluation team has no existing primary on-site data for specialty bulbs, but they will be evaluated for the PY2018 evaluation.

3.1 **PROGRAM TRACKING DATA**

Prior to the commencement of the data collection and sample planning, the evaluation team reviewed the program tracking data for PY2017 participants. These data were uploaded by each of the IOUs to a centralized server. The evaluation team analyzed, cleaned, re-categorized, reformatted and merged these separate datasets into one program tracking database. We reviewed the measure groups within that database that were identified on the 2017 ESPI uncertain list to gain insight into the number of program participants receiving rebates for PY2017 and the ex ante savings claims associated with those measure installations. These data informed the data summaries presented in Section 2 along with the sampling plan (Section 4) for ex post evaluation.

3.2 EXISTING ON-SITE VERIFICATION AUDITS

The evaluation team conducted on-site audits for LED A-lamp, reflector lamp and downlighting measures in 2013-2015. The purpose of these audits was to collect site-specific information that could be used to support the parameter estimates that are used in the impact algorithm. On-site surveyors

⁵ The evaluation team has also utilized the phone surveys as a recruitment tool for on-site verification. These onsites will be conducted in CY2019 and will be used as an input for new primary gross research for PY2018.



verified if measures that were rebated were installed and operable. When rebated quantities were not consistent with the quantities found on site, the surveyors also quantified and detailed the reason for that inconsistency – the number of rebated measures that had been removed, had burned out or had been placed in storage.

Surveyors also collected equipment manufacturer and model numbers so that the evaluation team could perform equipment lookups. These lookups provided information regarding the wattage and light output of the installed equipment to support the development of post-retrofit wattages. Surveyors also attempted to collect information on the baseline equipment that had been replaced. They investigated non-rebated areas and/or storage areas to determine the wattage of the pre-existing equipment. The evaluation team also installed monitoring equipment to develop time-of-use data and annual operating hours. Finally, self-report data were collected on lighting equipment usage schedules and business hours – in combination with the actual metered data – to aid in the development of pre- and post-retrofit load shapes.

3.2.1 Existing On-site Data Used to Support Pre- and Post-Retrofit Wattages

The evaluation team collected detailed information regarding the rebated measures found on site. This information included a full inventory of the fixture/lamp type, the nominal lamp wattage, ballast information and fixture configurations. The evaluation team also collected lamp/fixture manufacturer and model numbers and performed lookups – based on measure specification sheets – to develop post-retrofit input fixture/lamp wattages and to collect the efficacy of the program rebated measures. Table 3-2 presents the data collection summaries from the 2014-2015⁶ on-site verification work conducted by the evaluation team. The total unique site-measures found on site are presented for each make and model lookup performed for each binned rebated measure (i.e., 4-9W, 10-15W and >15W). Also presented is the count of baseline equipment reported on site by either the site contact or the auditor at the time of the inspection and the count of baseline equipment used in the wattage analysis.⁷

⁶ The wattage data collected as part of the 2013 impact evaluation was not used in the wattage analysis.

⁷ Section 5 details how these data were used in the analysis, but the baseline equipment in the analysis includes only CFL, halogens or other LED technologies *found* on site during the audits.



	Wattago	Measure Case	Baseline			
LED Type	Range	Make Model Lookups	Baseline Equipment Reported	Baseline Equipment Used		
	4-9W	171	143	21		
	10-15W	245	222	30		
A-Lamp	>15W	10	9	2		
	All	426	374	53		
	4-9W	3	2	0		
Devuelisht	10-15W	66	63	16		
Downiight	>15W	10	10	3		
	All	79	75	19		
	4-9W	66	63	6		
NAD 10	10-15W	14	13	3		
IVIR-10	>15W	1	1	0		
	All	81	77	9		
	4-9W	51	48	5		
	10-15W	165	146	21		
REHECTORS	>15W	88	73	10		
	All	304	267	36		

TABLE 3-2: INDOOR LED POST-RETROFIT MODEL LOOKUPS AND PRE-RETROFIT OBSERVATIONS (2014-2015)

3.2.2 Existing On-site Data Used to Support Pre- and Post-Retrofit Operating Hours

The evaluation team utilized logger data collected throughout the 2013-2014⁸ evaluation periods to develop ex post operating hour estimates for indoor LED measures. Those evaluations involved the installation of monitoring equipment on rebated LED measures in a variety of building and area types. These logger data were collected and compared against the self-reported operating schedules that were garnered from the on-site contact as well as against the business hours of the business/facility. The evaluation team analyzed the logger data, self-reported schedules and business hours in variety of ways:

 Actual hourly logger data were compared to hourly self-reported operating schedules during the open hours of the business/facility by day type (weekend vs. weekday).

⁸ While the wattage analysis includes primary data collection from 2015, monitoring equipment was not installed in 2015. Self-reporting operating schedules and business hours were collected, however, and were statistically adjusted using logger data from the previous evaluations detailed above.



- Actual hourly logger data were analyzed for each business hour during the week and summarized by business period:
 - Open period: All hours of the day for which the business is open.
 - Opening and Closing Shoulders: The two hours before opening and two hours after closing.
 - Closed Period: All hours for which the business was closed and not in one of the shoulder periods.
- The self-reported comparisons and business hour analysis were also done at the control level measures controlled by a switch versus measures controlled by an occupancy sensor.

Section 5 and Appendix C discuss the methodology in more detail and discuss how the evaluation team tested the approach. Table 3-3 below presents the number of sites and loggers that were used in the adjustment factor and business hour rate development analysis. These summaries detail the control type of the LED measures being monitored along with the facility and activity area of measure installation.



Duilding Tune	ctivity Area Occupancy Sensors	y Sensors	Swi	itch	
Building Type	ACTIVITY Area	Total Sites	Total Loggers	Total Sites	Total Loggers
	Classroom			4	5
	Dining			5	7
	Hallway/Lobby			15	21
	Activity AreaOctopanty JensorsJord JensorsJord JensorsTotal SitesTotal LoggersTotal SitesClasroom15Hallway/Lobby155Office112Religious Worship4512Retrooms4512Storage1211Total Assembly5732Commercial/Industrial Area55Guest Rooms51523Hallway/Lobby1157Other Miscellaneous3415Restrooms51939Conference Room66Hallway/Lobby27718Kitchen/Break Room616Office1718Other Miscellaneous45Storage1718Conference Room6Hallway/Lobby127Kitchen/Break Room17Ital Office - Small18Other Miscellaneous5Storage9Ining5Total Office - Small18Other Miscellaneous5Storage15Total Office - Small12Ining20Conter/Break Room11Other Miscellaneous5Storage15Total Office - Small12Ining22Storage15Total Restaurant12Other Miscellaneous5	5	6		
Assembly	Other Miscellaneous			12	21
	Religious Worship			9	12
	Restrooms	4	5	12	17
	Storage	1	2	11	13
	Total Assembly	5	7	32	102
	Commercial/Industrial Area			5	5
	Guest Rooms	5	15	23	93
	Hallway/Lobby			11	19
Lodging	Other Miscellaneous	3	4	15	23
	Restrooms			5	7
	Total Lodging	7	19	39	147
	Conference Room			6	6
Office – Small Office – Small Office Total Offic Total Offic Dining	Hallway/Lobby			27	32
	Kitchen/Break Room			6	6
	Office			18	21
	Other Miscellaneous	4	5	12	22
	Restrooms	17	18	23	29
	Storage			15	17
	Total Office - Small	18	23	61	133
Othor	Other Miscellaneous	5	9	11	20
other	Total Other	5	9	11	20
	Dining			50	79
	Hallway/Lobby			16	17
	Kitchen/Break Room			11	11
Restaurant	Other Miscellaneous	5	8	9	10
	Restrooms	7	9	22	25
	Storage			15	16
	Total Restaurant	12	17	86	153
	Other Miscellaneous	2	4	7	11
Retail - Large	Retail Sales			22	33
	Total Retail - Large	2	4	24	44
	Hallway/Lobby			5	5
AssemblyOther MiscellaneousIReligious WorshipIIRestrooms45Storage12Total Assembly57Commercial/Industrial AreaIGuest Rooms515Hallway/LobbyIIOther Miscellaneous34RestroomsIITotal Lodging719Conference RoomIIHallway/LobbyIIItcher Miscellaneous45Gotifice - SmallIfficeIRestrooms1719Itcher Miscellaneous45Itcher Miscellaneous45Other Miscellaneous45Restrooms1718Itcher Miscellaneous59Other Miscellaneous59Other Miscellaneous59Other Miscellaneous59Itolal Other59Itolal Other Scollaneous58Itolal Other Miscellaneous58Restrooms79StorageIITotal Restaurant1217Retail SalesIIRetail SalesIIItolal Retail - Large24Retail SalesIIItolal Retail - LargeIIRetail SalesIIItolal Retail - SmallIIItolal Retail - SmallI <td>Kitchen/Break Room</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td>	Kitchen/Break Room			5	5
	6	6			
Retail - Small	Other Miscellaneous	3	4	3	3
Netali - Jillali	Restrooms	21	23	15	16
	Retail Sales			44	79
	Storage			8	10
	Total Retail - Small	22	27	65	124
All Building Types		68	110	285	681

TABLE 3-3: LOGGED DATA USED FOR ADJUSTMENT FACTORS AND BUSINESS HOUR RATES (2013-2014)



Overall, measures installed on a switch represent the most significant logger data that were used in the analysis – 681 loggers representing 285 sites. Measures controlled by an occupancy sensor were monitored with 110 loggers installed across 68 sites. Across all building types, controls were more prevalent in restrooms while the distribution of loggers on switches was predicated on the building type and activity area of installation.

As detailed above, the evaluation team utilized existing lighting logger data, adjusted self-report data and business hours from 2013-2014 to develop pre- and post-retrofit hours of use for indoor LED measures *not* monitored throughout 2013-2015. The self-report adjustment factors were developed at the building type, activity area and control level. Table 3-4 presents the number of sites – by building type and activity area – the evaluation team analyzed for each indoor LED technology along with the number of rebated fixtures that were installed and operable at the time of the on-site verification through those program years.

		A-Lamp		Downlight		MR-16		Reflector	
Building Type	Activity Area	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
		Sites	Fixtures	Sites	Fixtures	Sites	Fixtures	Sites	Fixtures
	Classroom	7	147						
	Dining	8	141						
	Hallway/Lobby	24	334					9	64
	Kitchen/Breakroom	6	23						
yldi	Office							5	29
ser	Other Misc	17	640	9	259	8	205	16	645
As	Outdoor	12	184	2	63	3	13	7	78
	Religious Worship	20	308	7	301			13	322
	Restroom	23	160						
	Storage	19	79						
	Total Assembly	46	2,016	11	623	10	218	31	1,138
	Comm/Ind Work	9	23						
	Dining	9	105					7	153
	Guest Rooms	75	35,037	9	909	5	4,628	13	3,000
	Hallway/Lobby	31	1,489	14	1,484	8	1,520	17	463
ല്	Kitchen/Breakroom	5	99						
odgii	Office	8	55						
Ĕ	Other Misc	23	479	8	1,288	11	362	10	583
	Outdoor	18	798	1	12	1	47	8	208
	Restroom	14	570						
	Storage	8	68						
	Total Lodging	86	38,721	16	3,693	17	6,557	29	4,408

TABLE 3-4: BUILDING TYPE, ACTIVITY AREA AND FIXTURE COUNTS BY INDOOR LED TYPE (2013-2015)



		A-Lamp		Downlight		MR-16		Reflector	
Building Type	Activity Area	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
		Sites	Fixtures	Sites	Fixtures	Sites	Fixtures	Sites	Fixtures
rge	Hallway/Lobby			5	2,158			6	328
e-La	Other Misc			4	1,679			4	98
Offic	Outdoor							3	44
	Total Office-Large			6	3,837			7	470
	Comm/Ind Work	6	11						
	Dining	5	131						
	Hallway/Lobby	29	255	6	197			27	234
	Kitchen/Breakroom	8	41					6	23
	Office	23	127			8	40	26	231
Sma	Other Misc	12	610	4	75	6	43	13	195
fice	Outdoor	12	75					10	40
jo	Patient Rooms	8	550						
	Restroom	79	269					14	73
	Retail Sales							5	42
	Storage	23	93					5	18
	Total Office-Small	109	2,160	7	272	11	83	65	855
	Hallway/Lobby	13	1,026					14	370
	Office	5	41					7	53
	Other Misc	24	2,815	9	155	11	448	7	93
er	Outdoor	5	33					15	38
Oth	Restroom	28	143						
	Retail Sales							5	187
	Storage	11	46					5	20
	Total Other	55	4,104	9	155	11	448	33	760
	Dining	21	355			13	77	20	265
	Hallway/Lobby	6	13						
poo	Kitchen/Breakroom	5	55			7	27	5	38
st Fc	Office								
Е	Other Misc	4	8			2	12	11	104
rant	Outdoor							6	53
stau	Restroom	18	39						
Re	Storage	14	26						
	Total Restaurant – Fast Food	42	496			19	116	32	460



		A-Lamp		Downlight		MR-16		Reflector	
Building Type	Activity Area	Total Sites	Total Fixtures	Total Sites	Total Fixtures	Total Sites	Total Fixtures	Total Sites	Total Fixtures
	Dining	47	949	11	291	21	345	43	1,215
	Hallway/Lobby	22	83	8	36			17	82
um	Kitchen/Breakroom	20	103					7	34
it Do	Office	6	9						
t – S	Other Misc	6	105	7	39	8	89	7	116
Iran	Outdoor	5	17	1	11	1	5	11	57
estau	Restroom	43	181					10	47
Å	Storage	31	54						
	Total Restaurant – Sit Down	86	1,501	13	378	27	438	54	1,551
e.	Other Misc	5	169			3	35	9	164
Lare	Outdoor	2	13					2	10
etail	Retail Sales					11	576	27	13,469
Å.	Total Retail-Large	6	182			12	611	33	13,642
	Hallway/Lobby	17	45					9	41
	Kitchen/Breakroom	7	16						
	Office					6	22	7	36
=	Other Misc	15	81	5	24	8	72	14	99
Sma	Outdoor	9	30			2	3	14	69
etail-	Restroom	91	181					6	21
Re	Retail Sales	25	360	6	65	43	1,102	51	2,631
	Services	6	50	5	134			8	59
	Storage	25	65					6	11
	Total Retail-Small	122	827	13	223	51	1,199	87	2,966

The activity area and schedule for each installation have a significant impact on the overall operating hours and coincidence demand factors. For example, an LED A-Lamp installed in a guest room of a hotel will generally have lower annual operating hours than an identical lamp installed in the hallway corridors and lobby of the same hotel. Hotel guests are not always in their room, and the room itself may not be occupied consistently throughout the year. Whereas, the hallway lighting is generally operating 24 hours a day regardless of occupancy. The same is true for a measure installed in a restroom compared to the retail sales area of a department store. Overall, the evaluation team verified indoor LED technologies in a variety of business types and activity areas.

The operating hour analysis also included the control type of the post-retrofit equipment. The adjustment factors were developed differently for measures that were installed with an occupancy sensor compared to those that were installed on a switch. Furthermore, rebated measures were also



installed on circuits connected directly to timeclocks, electric panels and energy management systems (EMS). Figure 3-1 presents the distribution of control type associated with each of the rebated measures evaluated throughout 2013-2015.





3.3 PARTICIPANT PHONE SURVEYS

The evaluation team also conducted phone interviews with participants who had installed program rebated interior LED fixtures (excluding downlights) and outdoor LED fixtures. These surveys detailed building owner and operator responses that supported the NTG analysis and will recruit participants for future on-site verification visits (PY2018).

3.3.1 New Participant Phone Survey

As detailed in Table 3-1, the evaluation team conducted self-reported phone surveys with program participants who installed rebated indoor and outdoor LED fixtures in PY2017. A detailed description of the self-report attribution and NTG analysis can be found in Section 6 and the overall phone survey results are presented in Appendix D but, overall, the surveys were administered to:

- Confirm the measure installation with the program participant
- Identify the facility type and activity area of measure installation
- Identify the equipment that was replaced along with the age and condition of that equipment prior to the retrofit



- Identify if the measure retrofit was natural replacement (NR), replacement on burn-out (ROB) or program accelerated replacement (AR)
- Determine program influence to estimate free-ridership
- Recruit customers for future on-site verification

3.4 IOU WORKPAPERS AND DEER

The evaluation also reviewed the workpapers, the DEER database and any relevant lighting dispositions that impacted the PY2017 measures studied in this evaluation. Furthermore, we conducted a comparative analysis using ex ante parameter estimates from IOU workpapers, data received directly from the IOUs and from data downloaded from DEER. These ex ante estimates were compared against the gross ex post impacts developed using existing primary data collection for each of the measure-parameters to better understand which parameters are driving the gross realization rates for each of the LED lamp, reflector lamp and downlight ESPI measures.

4 SAMPLE DESIGN

This section of the report presents the population of PY2017 nonresidential ESPI measures subject to evaluation and describes the sampling approach the evaluation team utilized to satisfy the impact evaluation objectives detailed in Section 2. The sampling strategy was designed to provide statistically significant impact results for PY2017 program participants while maintaining evaluation delivery timelines and project budgets. The sample design was developed prior to the commencement of data collection activities and was based on several factors:

- Budgetary considerations and accelerated reporting timelines
- Availability of existing primary data
- An understanding of existing primary data limitations
- The magnitude and distribution of ex ante lifecycle energy savings by ESPI measure
- An understanding of the underlying program delivery mechanisms for each ESPI measure
- Sampling requirements needed to develop population-level impacts with a high level of statistical precision

4.1 LED LAMP AND DOWNLIGHT MEASURES

As discussed in Section 2 and 3, the evaluation team utilized both existing and new primary data collection for the ex post evaluation of PY2017 nonresidential deemed ESPI measures. Existing primary data collection served to update gross impacts for indoor LED lamp, reflector lamp and downlight measures. Ex ante net-to-gross ratios from PY2017 have been applied to the ex post gross impact updates to develop net lifecycle savings for these measures. As a result, the evaluation team did not develop a dedicated sampling strategy for these measures. However, for PY2018, new primary research will be conducted for these measures to satisfy the impact evaluation requirements for that program year.¹

¹ An updated sampling plan for PY2018 ESPI measures will be available in the Spring of 2019, once PY2017 reporting has been completed and program tracking data for PY2018 has been reviewed.



4.2 LED FIXTURE MEASURES

For exterior and interior LED fixtures (excluding downlight measures), the evaluation team conducted phone surveys to:

- Confirm the measure installation with the program participant
- Identify the facility type and activity area of measure installation
- Identify the equipment that was replaced along with the age and condition of that equipment prior to the retrofit
- Identify if the measure retrofit was natural replacement (NR), replacement on burn-out (ROB) or program accelerated replacement (AR)
- Determine program influence to estimate free-ridership
- Recruit customers for future on-site verification

Table 4-1 presents the total number of sites and the distribution of lifecycle MWh savings for each measure by PA. The evaluation team accounted for the number of available site-projects and the magnitude of claimed savings when developing the sample design for LED fixture measures.

		Sites	Lifecycle Gross Savings			
PA	LED Type	N	MWh	%		
PG&E	Downlight	404	15,572	2%		
	Highbay	676	150,821	23%		
	Non-Highbay	978	188,900	29%		
	Outdoor	2,153	305,240	46%		
	All	4,211	660,533	100%		
	Downlight	326	5,597	1%		
	Highbay	362	156,757	39%		
SCE	Non-Highbay	1,387	74,464	19%		
	Outdoor	414	160,286	40%		
	All	2,489	397,104	100%		
	Downlight	58	3,413	5%		
	Highbay	26	7,356	11%		
SDG&E	Non-Highbay	944	46,649	69%		
	Outdoor	103	10,199	15%		
	All	1,131	67,617	100%		

TABLE 4-1: PY2017 PORTFOLIO OF EX ANTE MWH SAVINGS FOR NONRESIDENTIAL DEEMED LED FIXTURES

N is not indicative of total number of participating sites. One site may have installed multiple measures.



The nonresidential lighting population is comprised of a variety of rebated LED fixture technologies. These rebated measures are delivered to participating customers through different program delivery mechanisms. Downstream incentives can be delivered through a direct install (DI) or deemed route where rebates are issued directly to end-use customers. Equipment can also be provided through a midstream point of purchase program which provides rebates directly through a distributor delivery channel rather than through the end-use customer. The participating distributor signs an agreement with the PA and they provide point of purchase incentives to customers. The distributor may notify the customer that they are receiving an incentive on behalf of the program, but the distributor submits the required information to the PA for payment and verification.

The evaluation team has followed the self-report framework detailed in the CPUC's *Methodological Framework for Using the Self-Report Approach to Estimating Net-to-Gross Ratios for Nonresidential Customers* to assess program influence on a customer's decision-making process to install the rebated measures. This self-report methodology is well suited for customers who participated in downstream programs, but not for those customers participating in a midstream point of purchase program. Given there is no existing NTG framework or survey guide for nonresidential midstream LED measures, the evaluation team has not conducted primary ex post research on measures delivered in this manner.² As a result, this NTG analysis for PY2017 focuses exclusively on downstream LED fixture installations and ex ante NTG ratios for all midstream LED fixtures will be passed through. The specific midstream programs excluded from ex post evaluation are summarized below:

- SCE Midstream Point of Purchase Program MPOP (SCE-13-SW-002H)
 - Qualifying fixture technologies include LED T8 tubes, high/low bays and downlight fixtures
- SDG&E Statewide Deemed Incentive Program (SDGE3223)
 - Qualifying fixture technologies include LED T8 tubes

² The evaluation team will develop an NTG framework and conduct in-depth interviews with lighting distributors for PY2018. These distributors installed both program eligible lamps and fixtures, so the PY2018 NTG analysis will include both measure group types.



Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2 present the distribution of lifecycle energy savings for indoor and outdoor LED fixtures by program delivery in SCE and SDG&E, respectively. PG&E had no LED fixture measures rebated through a midstream point of purchase channel in PY2017.



FIGURE 4-1: PY2017 DISTRIBUTION OF EX ANTE SAVINGS FOR LED FIXTURES BY PROGRAM DELIVERY (SCE)

FIGURE 4-2: PY2017 DISTRIBUTION OF EX ANTE SAVINGS FOR LED FIXTURES BY PROGRAM DELIVERY (SDG&E)



SCE delivered outdoor LED fixture incentives exclusively through downstream delivery paths, so each of the PY2017 claims for these measures have been included in the sample frame for ex post evaluation. Highbay and non-highbay measures, however, were rebated through both downstream and midstream programs with most ex ante savings coming from a midstream point of purchase program. For SDG&E, qualifying LED T8 tubes were rebated through a midstream program delivery (roughly 22% of lifecycle energy savings in the non-highbay category). The remaining measures were delivered through downstream programs and were subject to ex post evaluation for PY2017.


The sample frame for indoor and outdoor LED fixtures was designed to develop statistically significant NTG parameter estimates while adhering to evaluation reporting deadlines and project budgets. The sample frame includes all types of indoor and outdoor LED fixture measures (excluding downlights) receiving rebates in PY2017 through a downstream program delivery mechanism. The evaluation team utilized a stratified random sampling approach to produce ex post NTG ratios for the evaluated population.

The stratification scheme was designed to develop ex post NTG ratios with 10% relative precision at the 90% confidence interval (90/10). In order to develop estimates at that level of precision, the evaluation team set sampling targets based on coefficients of variation³ (COV) developed from previous nonresidential lighting NTG studies conducted for California IOUs using the self-report framework. Impact evaluations from 2013-2015 reveal a COV of 0.3 to 0.4 for ex post NTG estimates from rebated lighting measures installed throughout those program years. Table 4-2 presents how the relationship between sample size and coefficients of variation (COV) affect resulting precision estimates at the 90% confidence interval. With a COV of 0.4, the evaluator could achieve a 10% relative precision at the 90% confidence interval with 50 sample points. As the variability in the individual NTG estimates increases relative to the mean, much larger sample sizes are required to obtain a similar level of precision.

		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
1	5	0.09	0.18	0.27	0.36	0.45	0.54	0.63	0.72	0.81	0.90
	10	0.06	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.29	0.34	0.40	0.46	0.52	0.57
	20	0.04	0.08	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.23	0.27	0.31	0.35	0.39
	30	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.31
Sample Size	50	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.24
	100	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.17
	150	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.14
	300	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10

TABLE 4-2: SAMPLE SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION AT THE 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL

The resulting sample design developed at the commencement of this impact evaluation is presented below in Table 4-3. The evaluation team stratified the population of indoor and outdoor LED measures by program administration (PA) and technology type (indoor highbay, indoor lowbay and exterior LED fixtures). The sample of projects were drawn from these stratum and target completes were set for each stratum based on the COV mentioned above and the total number of projects available in the population (as well as the distribution of ex ante lifecycle energy savings associated with each technology type). The total number of projects represented in the population (shown as "N") and the sample targets (shown as 'n') are presented along with the ex ante population savings represented in each stratum.

³ The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation of a parameter divided by its mean.



			Population Fram	e	Sample Design		
PA	LED Fixture Type	N	Lifecycle Gross MWh Savings	% of MWh Savings	n	90% CI (Expected)	
PG&E	Highbay	676	150,821	23%	30	90/10	
	Non-Highbay	978	188,900	29%	30	90/10	
	Outdoor	2,153	305,240	47%	60	90/5-10	
	All	3,807	644,961	100%	120	90/5	
	Highbay	77	6,715	4%	15	90/15-20	
SCE	Non-Highbay	957	16,455	9%	30	90/10	
SCE	Outdoor	405	160,286	87%	60	90/5-10	
	All	1,439	183,456	100%	105	90/5	
	Highbay	26	7,356	14%	15	90/15	
	Non-Highbay	880	36,576	68%	40	90/5-10	
SDG&E	Outdoor	103	10,199	19%	20	90/10	
	All	1,009	54,131	100%	75	90/5-10	

TABLE 4-3: PY2017 DOWNSTREAM LED FIXTURE PHONE SURVEY SAMPLE DESIGN

The evaluation team planned to conduct a total of 300 phone interviews with end-users receiving rebates in PY2017 for the LED fixture types detailed above – 120 in PG&E, 105 in SCE and 75 in SDG&E territories. Some stratum like highbay measures in SCE and SDG&E have a limited number of participating sites whereas other measures, like outdoor fixtures rebated in PG&E territory have a much greater number of sites available for sampling.

5 GROSS IMPACT PARAMETER ANALYSIS

This section of the report details the parameter and gross impact analysis for each of the indoor LED measures presented throughout this report – indoor A-Lamps, reflector lamps and downlighting. Each of these parameters represents an input into the savings algorithm for these measures and includes, along with the installation rate and measure EULs – operating hours, coincidence factors (CF), post-retrofit wattages and baseline wattages. As discussed in Section 2, the evaluation team developed individual parameter impacts at different levels of aggregation. These impacts were applied back to the population of measures subject to ex post evaluation in PY2017 and compared to the ex ante claimed savings to create a gross realization rate – the gross savings realized as a result of the ex post evaluation. Below is a discussion of those parameter estimates along with summaries from the on-site data collection throughout 2013-2015. Each of the program years are based on the impact evaluation period as defined below and previously discussed in Section 2. We have also indicated the program year data source(s) used in the ex post evaluation for each parameter estimate in PY2017.

- 2013 the data for this year represents program rebated LED technologies evaluated throughout the PY2010-2012 program years and early Q1-Q2 PY2013 data. Most program measures in this evaluation were rebated in Q3-Q4 of 2012 and Q1-Q2 of 2013.
- 2014 the data for this year represents program rebated LED technologies evaluated throughout the entirety of PY2013 and PY2014, excluding measures from Q1-Q2 of PY2013 that were subject to review in the previous evaluation.
- 2015 the data for this year represents program rebated LED technologies evaluated throughout the entirety of PY2015.

5.1 GROSS IMPACT METHODOLOGY

As discussed in Section 2, the evaluation team estimated ex post gross UES savings values by developing hourly impacts and impact load profiles. These profiles were then aggregated to develop an annual ex post gross energy savings value (kWh) or, averaged over specific coincident peak hours, to develop ex post gross demand savings (kW). The evaluation team then compared those UES impacts to the ex ante impacts claimed in the program tracking data to develop a ratio of ex post to ex ante gross savings. The general approach the evaluation team utilized to estimate ex post gross impacts is based on developing hourly impacts to generate an impact load profile.



From this profile, the impacts for each measure were aggregated to develop an annual ex post gross kWh savings estimate and – averaged over specific hours – to develop an ex post gross kW savings estimate.

The evaluation team applied a single baseline methodology to develop impacts for indoor LED measures – including A-lamps, reflectors and downlight measures. This methodology, in effect, treats all measures as replacement on burnout (ROB). Below is a brief description of how the evaluation team developed first year and lifecycle ex post impacts for these measures. The individual parameter estimates are discussed in more detail thereafter.

First Year Impact

FirstYearImpact = *Quantity x* (*PercentOn x* (*BaselineWattage* – *PostWattage*) *x ie*)

Quantity = the quantity of measures found installed and operable on site at the time of the on-site audit. Installation rates were developed by technology type from on-site data collected throughout 2015. The installation rate analysis is discussed below in Section 5.2.1.

PercentOn = the percentage of time the equipment is "ON" throughout the year for energy savings or the percentage of time the equipment is "ON" throughout the peak demand period for demand savings. Operating hours and coincident diversity factors (CDF) were created from logger and adjusted self-report data obtained from 2013-2015 impact evaluations. These estimates were developed by technology type and building type. The operating hour analysis is presented below in Section 5.2.2.

BaselineWattage = the wattage associated with the replaced measure. These estimates were developed using a combination of baseline and program rebated post-retrofit equipment found on site throughout 2014-2015. These data revealed the distribution of baseline technologies (CFL vs. Halogen vs. LED) replaced as part of the lighting retrofit. The Energy Star Qualified Products List (QPL) was also used to estimate the pre-retrofit wattage of CFL lamp technologies based on the light output of program rebated LED technologies. The evaluation team developed wattage reduction ratios (WRR) from these data based on the technology type and wattage of the post-retrofit measure. The wattage analysis is discussed in Section 5.2.3.

PostWattage = the wattage associated with the installed measure. Post-retrofit wattages, collected on site and through make and model lookups from 2014-2015, were used in conjunction with baseline wattage estimates to develop WRRs by technology type and wattage bin. These WRRs were applied to post-retrofit wattages for each measure in the PY2017 program tracking database. Furthermore, these wattages were adjusted, based on advances in LED lamp efficacy – measured in lumens – from the time past data had been collected. For example, the light output of a 10W LED A-lamp rebated in 2014 may



have emitted 800 lumens or 80 watts/lumen, whereas a rebated 8W LED A-lamp rebated in 2017 may have the same light output or 100 watts/lumen. This analysis is also discussed in Section 5.2.3.

IE = the HVAC interactive effects. The Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER) provides a set of factors that were used to incorporate the kWh and kW HVAC interactive effects associated with the rebated measures. The kWh factors are multiplied by the annual kWh impact for a given measure, and the kW factors are multiplied by the kW demand impact. Different factors are applied to a given measure and participant based on the measure type, the participant's IOU, the climate zone where the participant is located, the building type of the participant and if the participant's facility is new or existing.

Lifecycle Impact

Lifecycle Impact = FirstYearImpact x EUL

FirstYearImpact = the energy or demand savings associated with the installed measure as discussed above.

EUL = the effective useful life of the measure. The EUL is calculated as the lamp/fixture life divided by the post-retrofit hours of operation. The post-retrofit hours of operation were estimated (as discussed above) as the percent "ON" throughout the year. The EULs were developed by technology type for lamp/fixture life and building type for hours of operation. The EUL analysis is discussed in Section 5.2.4.

5.2 **GROSS IMPACTS**

5.2.1 Installation Rates

The installation rate is defined as the percentage of equipment found to be installed and operable. The evaluation team estimated the installation rate for each site-measure based on data gathered during onsite verification from 2015. The auditor collected information to ascertain the quantity of rebated measures that were installed and operable along with a total disposition for the rebated measure.

The evaluation team identified the quantity of rebated measures currently installed and in working condition (operable) during the on-site audit. The installation rate is calculated directly from this measurement:

Installation Rate = $\frac{\text{Quantity of measures installed and operable from on-site visit}}{\text{Quantity of measures reported installed in tracking system}}$



The evaluation team developed installation rates for PY2017 measure installations by first examining the existing primary data collected throughout 2013-2015 for each indoor measure – indoor A-Lamps, reflector lamps and downlighting. The evaluation team compared the quantity of rebated measures that were installed and operable at the time of the on-site audit and compared those quantities to those reported in the program tracking database. Figure 5-1 presents those findings.



FIGURE 5-1: INSTALLATION RATES FOR LED MEASURES BY EVALUATION YEAR



This analysis revealed that installation rates varied by LED technology type and, to a greater extent, by evaluation year. For example, the installation rates for LED A-lamps range from as low as 88% in 2013 to as high as 96% in 2015. This pattern is evident with the other technologies as well, although downlights were only evaluated in 2015. While the installation rate is defined as the percent of equipment found to be in place and operable at the time of the on-site audit, the evaluation team conducted a parallel analysis to better understand why the installation rates were less than 100% for each technology over the course of the three program periods. This analysis included additional verification data collected by the auditor from the on-site contact to identify the percent of rebated measures that were *received* by the program participant (received rate) and the percent of equipment that was:

- Failed and in place The number of measures that were currently installed but were not in working condition (failed).
- Failed and replaced The number of measures that had been installed, but then had failed and were replaced with a different technology.
- Removed and not replaced The number of measures that had been installed but had been removed (either due to failure or other reasons) and were not replaced.
- In storage The number of measures that were found in storage and had not yet been installed.



Table 5-1 presents the installation rates, received rates, storage rates and failure/removal rates for each measure type across the three evaluation years. Also shown are the sample sizes ("n") which correspond to the number of unique site-measures evaluated throughout each year.

LED Type	Year	n	Received Rate	Failure Rate	Storage Rate	Removal Rate	Install Rate
A-Lamp	2013	129	95%	2%	0%	6%	88%
	2014	289	95%	0%	4%	1%	90%
	2015	190	99%	0%	2%	1%	96%
Downlight	2015	84	99%	0%	0%	0%	99%
	2013	50	99%	0%	0%	4%	94%
MR-16	2014	91	86%	0%	11%	1%	74%
	2015	35	100%	0%	2%	1%	97%
	2013	112	89%	3%	0%	1%	84%
Reflector	2014	278	92%	1%	2%	1%	88%
	2015	113	100%	0%	1%	1%	98%

TARIE 5-1.	LIGHTING I	DISPOSITION A	AND INSTALL	ATION RATES	FOR LED A	MEASURES BY	ΕVALUATION Y	/FΔR
TADLE J-1.	FIGHTING I			ATTOM NATES	I OK LED I	HEASONES DI	LIALVAIIVI	L'AN

Overall, the installation rates for indoor LED A-lamp measures in 2013 and 2014 were influenced most significantly by lower received rates (95%) and a combination of failure, storage and removal. The same is evident for both MR-16 and other reflector lamp types, although installation rates for 2014 MR-16 measures were more significantly affected by lower than expected received rates as well as equipment placed in storage.

The evaluation team did not conduct a quantitative analysis to determine why received rates were often lower than the quantities rebated. Higher failure rates in earlier program years could be explained by the saturation of earlier generation technologies that suffered from manufacturing or quality control issues. Furthermore, the higher removal rates for some measures in 2013-2014 could be explained by specific reasons offered up from on-site contacts. While these reasons were documented by the on-site auditor, they are inherently anecdotal. The most prevalent reasons why measures had been removed were:

- The light emitted from the measure was not aesthetically pleasing
- The light output was too strong
- The light output was too directional



The evaluation team ultimately decided to develop installation rates for PY2017 rebated measures using only ex post data collected from 2015. Lower installation rates for some technologies in 2013-2014 – based on potential issues with early generation LED technologies – created uncertainty surrounding how well those earlier installation rates could transfer over to more mature program eligible technologies.

Table 5-2 below presents the installation rates, received rates, storage rates and failure/removal rates for each measure type from 2015 only, along with the sample sizes ("n") and the relative precision of the installation rate estimate, measured at the 90% confidence interval, for each technology.

LED Type	n	Received Rate	Failure Rate	Storage Rate	Removal Rate	Install Rate	Install Rate RP
A-Lamp	190	99%	0%	2%	1%	96%	2%
Downlight	84	99%	0%	0%	0%	99%	1%
MR-16	35	100%	0%	2%	1%	97%	3%
Reflector	113	100%	0%	1%	1%	98%	2%

TABLE 5-2: LIGHTING DISPOSITION AND INSTALLATION RATES FOR LED MEASURES (2015 ONLY)

5.2.2 Operating Hour Analysis Methodology

Section 3 presented the total number of sites and loggers used in the development of adjusted self-reported usage schedules and business hour rates (by control type) along with an inventory of site and ex post fixture counts – by LED technology, building type, activity area – from the 2013-2015 impact evaluations.

For measures not directly monitored in 2013-2015, the evaluation team conducted an adjusted selfreport and business hour analysis.¹ The evaluation team installed monitoring equipment on a variety of rebated LED measure installations throughout those program years and analyzed the logger profiles to develop hours of use (HOU) estimates and load shape profiles. These loggers were installed:

- on multiple indoor LED technologies (i.e., A-lamps, MR-16, PAR reflectors)
- across multiple program years (2013-2014)
- within a variety of facility and space types (i.e., restroom of a retail establishment or the lobby of a hotel)
- on lighting equipment connected through different controls (i.e., switch or wall/ceiling mount occupancy sensors)

¹ Appendix C provides a detailed description of the adjusted self-report methodology.



Along with the logger installations, on-site auditors also asked the on-site contact to estimate lighting usage for each activity area within their building for each hour in the day throughout a typical work week. Since different activity areas within a building generally have different lighting schedules, the site contact was asked to estimate the operating schedule for each of the activity areas where rebated measures were installed. On-site auditors also collected weekly business operating schedules from the site contact. Furthermore, the on-site auditors collected the open and close time for each day of the week for any seasonal operations or holiday schedules that deviated from the facility's normal operating schedules.

For those customers that were monitored, the evaluation team compared the participant's actual lighting usage to both their self-reported lighting usage and their business operating hours. These comparisons were made at the technology, building type, activity area and control level. Furthermore, rather than simply comparing annual operating hours, comparisons were made for four different use periods – relative to self-reported business hours:

- The Open period was defined as all hours of the day for which the business was open.
- The Opening and Closing shoulders were defined as the two hours before opening and after closing, respectively.
- The Closed period was defined as all hours for which the business was closed, and not in one of the two shoulder periods.

Finally, these comparisons were made at the day type level as well – weekday versus weekend. Figure 5-2 presents an example of these three usage profiles from a private office along with the four usage periods.





FIGURE 5-2: EXAMPLE DAILY LOAD PROFILE FOR AN LED LAMP INSTALLED IN AN OFFICE

Figure 5-2 reveals a few important distinctions that, ultimately, represent the motivation behind this analysis:

- Business hours alone are not a reliable proxy to develop use shapes and lighting load impacts.
- Customer self-reported lighting usage, which was garnered from the on-site contact, is roughly 30% less than the business hours throughout the open period (highlighted in green).
- Actual lighting usage, garnered from monitoring data, is less than both business hour and selfreport estimates and there is significant hourly variability throughout that time frame.
- Business hours and self-reports in this case do not account for any lighting usage throughout shoulder periods (highlighted in yellow) and non-shoulder closed periods (highlighted in gray).

However, the intent of this analysis was not to accurately predict lighting usage at a single site, but rather for a large sample of similar technologies, building types and space types. In order to aggregate these adjustments and usage rates, logger data was compared to the business hours of the facility and each self-reported schedule at the facility. As mentioned above, for each hour in each day, four usage periods were generated for each facility – Open, Open Shoulder, Closed Shoulder and Closed. The actual and self-reported usage rates were then calculated for each logger by use period within the site and each logger was aggregated to a site-activity area level by measure. This aggregation only occurred when there was more than one logger installed in similar space types. The aggregation from individual loggers to activity areas was done based on the number of lamps that each logger was monitoring.



For the open period, the evaluation team developed a ratio of actual logger to self-report by technology, building type, activity area, usage period, day type and control type. Then these ratios, or adjustment factors, were developed such that they could be applied to a self-report schedule by building type and activity area, for the open period where lighting loggers were not deployed. However, for the closed and shoulder periods, rather than develop and apply adjustment factors, the evaluation team developed average usage values from the logger sample and these usage values were used directly for those time periods. The reason why adjustment factors were not developed and applied to these periods is that the self-reported usage during these periods was often claimed to be zero. A zero value cannot be adjusted by a multiplicative factor, so a constant factor was used. Again, this constant factor was the actual average usage found in the logger sample for those time periods and was applied by technology, building type, activity area, day type and control type.

By applying the adjustment factors to the open time period, and the usage values to the closed and shoulder time periods, the evaluation team developed proxy load shapes at several levels of disaggregation. Since not all technology, building type and activity area combinations were well represented, adjustment factors and usage rates were also developed at the technology-building type level as well as at the technology level alone. Figure 5-3 presents an example of average daily profiles from all 4 streams of data from the sample of offices monitored throughout the evaluation periods.



FIGURE 5-3: AGGREGRATED DAILY LOAD PROFILE FOR LED LAMPS INSTALLED IN AN OFFICE

In this example, the hourly self-reported profiles were compared against the actual hourly logger data throughout the open hours for each office and were aggregated. Average hourly usage rates were also developed during the shoulder and closed period – based on facility business hours. The resulting adjusted load profile (in green) is very similar to the actual logger profile (in yellow).



To validate this process, the evaluation team aggregated each of the site-activity area estimates for each of the time periods and day types discussed above and applied them to the self-reported schedules and business hours of sampled monitored LED participants from the 2013-2014 evaluation periods. These estimates were then aggregated and compared to the actual monitored hours collected from logger data. Table 5-3 presents the results of those comparisons.

Building Type	n Sites	Monitored Hours	Adjusted Hours	Mean Difference	P-value
Assembly	60	1,762	1,681	81	0.35
Lodging	66	1,457	1,282	175	0.16
Other Industrial	11	2,605	2,719	(114)	0.38
Office - Small	104	1,932	1,943	(11)	0.95
Other	21	3,587	3,685	(98)	0.75
Restaurant - Fast Food	50	4,616	4,144	472	0.10
Restaurant - Sit Down	86	3,636	3,694	(57)	0.66
Retail - Large	31	3,457	3,375	83	0.47
Retail - Small	93	3,241	3,373	(132)	0.14
All Building Types	522	2,473	2,391	83	0.59

TABLE 5-3: COMPARISON OF LOGGED AND ADJUSTED HOURS FROM 2013-2014 LED EVALUATIONS BY BUILDING TYPE

The evaluation team conducted a paired T-test to compare the monitored hours to the adjusted hours. The monitored hours represent the actual aggregated profiles of logger data from LED measures that were monitored in the 2013-2014 evaluation periods, and the adjusted hours represent aggregated profiles using the adjustment method discussed above. Overall, there is no statistically significant difference between the hours that were developed for each building type by the two methods discussed above.

Table 5-4 through Table 5-7 present the annual operating hours and CDFs developed from the 2013-2015 impact evaluations to update ex ante parameter estimates from LED A-Lamps, downlights, MR-16 and reflector lamps, respectively.² Also shown are the number of site-measures evaluated in 2013-2015 along with the relative precision for each estimate, measured at the 90% confidence interval, for each technology.

² The Commercial (COM) building type is unique to PG&E and represents a weighted distribution of HOU and CDF for several different building types. There is no 'n' presented as a result.



TABLE 5-4: LED A-LAMP POST-RETROFIT ANNUAL HOURS OF OPERATION AND COINCIDENCE FACTORS BY BUILDING TYPE

Building Type	n Sites	Annual Operating Hours	RP	Coincidence Factor	RP
Assembly	46	1,943		0.25	
Commercial (COM)	-	2,220		0.43	
Lodging	86	1,964		0.21	
Office - Small	109	1,358		0.28	
Other	55	5,198		0.69	
Restaurant - Fast Food	42	4,323		0.67	
Restaurant - Sit Down	86	4,210		0.68	
Retail - Large	6	3,658		0.67	
Retail - Small	122	2,081		0.40	
All Building Types	551	2,637	5%	0.33	5%

TABLE 5-5: LED DOWNLIGHT POST-RETROFIT ANNUAL HOURS OF OPERATION AND COINCIDENCE FACTORS BY BUILDING TYPE

Building Type	n Sites	Annual Operating Hours	RP	Coincidence Factor	RP
Assembly	11	1,803		0.20	
Commercial (COM)	-	2,599		0.56	
Lodging	16	4,069		0.48	
Office - Large	6	3,624		0.86	
Office - Small	7	2,558		0.59	
Other	9	4,310		0.51	
Restaurant - Sit Down	13	4,961		0.69	
Retail - Small	13	2,942		0.74	
All Building Types	75	3,508	10%	0.55	11%



TABLE 5-6: LED MR-16 POST-RETROFIT ANNUAL HOURS OF OPERATION AND COINCIDENCE FACTORS BY BUILDING TYPE

Building Type	n Sites	Annual Operating Hours	RP	Coincidence Factor	RP
Assembly	10	2,776		0.37	
Commercial (COM)	-	2,314		0.47	
Lodging	17	2,324		0.26	
Office - Small	11	1,529		0.47	
Other	11	2,341		0.39	
Restaurant - Fast Food	19	3,932		0.68	
Restaurant - Sit Down	27	3,536		0.75	
Retail - Large	12	3,369		0.93	
Retail - Small	51	3,198		0.75	
All Building Types	158	2,698	10%	0.48	9%

TABLE 5-7: LED REFLECTOR LAMP POST-RETROFIT ANNUAL HOURS OF OPERATION AND COINCIDENCE FACTORS BY BUILDING TYPE

Building Type	n Sites	Annual Operating Hours	RP	Coincidence Factor	RP
Assembly	31	1,263		0.20	
Commercial (COM)	-	2,420		0.49	
Lodging	29	2,454		0.25	
Office - Large	7	2,880		0.66	
Office - Small	65	1,923		0.44	
Other	33	3,463		0.68	
Restaurant - Fast Food	32	3,684		0.64	
Restaurant - Sit Down	54	4,120		0.74	
Retail - Large	33	4,012		0.99	
Retail - Small	87	2,908		0.69	
All Building Types	371	2,977	4%	0.62	4%

Overall, the evaluation team used monitored data and adjusted self-report data from a total of 551, 75, 158 and 371 evaluated sites to develop annual operating hour and CDF estimates for LED A-Lamps, downlights, MR-16 and reflector lamps, respectively. These estimates were garnered from a wide variety of business types – small retail/offices, restaurants, hotels, etc. The operating hour estimates for



each building type were most influenced by the distribution of measure installation by activity area. As presented in Section 3 (Table 3-4), for example, program rebated A-Lamp installations were verified in guest rooms for 75 of the 86 sites. Downlight measures, however, were verified more substantially in hallways and lobbies (14 of the 16 sites). Likewise, for small retail establishments, LED A-Lamp installations in restrooms represented a much greater distribution of measure installations than for downlights and reflector technologies. For those measures, installations were most often verified in retail sales areas.

Not all building types within the population of PY2017 program participants were represented in the sample of sites analyzed in 2013-2015. As an example, the evaluation team did not verify measure installations in many elementary schools, even though some PY2017 program participants installed qualifying LED measures within them. Since the evaluation team could not develop ex post estimates for these facility types, the ex ante operating hours were "passed through".

5.2.3 Pre- and Post-wattage Analysis Methodology

Another key set of parameters in the impact algorithm are the pre- and post-wattages. The evaluation team utilized on-site verification data and several other data sources to support development of wattage estimates for each indoor LED measure. The make and model database of rebated and installed LED technologies from 2014-2015 served as the backbone for this analysis along with:

- Energy Star QPL
- Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) of 2007
- On-site verification baseline data from 2014-2015
- Workpaper review
- March 1st and May 26th, 2017 Screw-in Lamp Disposition

The evaluation team combined the wattage and light output specifications for almost 900 site-measures evaluated throughout 2014 and 2015. This dataset included LED A-Lamps, downlights, MR-16s and a variety of other reflector technologies. However, LED technologies have matured over the past several years, both in terms of quality and efficacy. Efficacy in this regard is defined as the light output of the measure per watt (lumens/watt). The higher the lumens per watt, the more efficient the lamp is in producing light output per unit of power. Figure 5-4 presents the results of that initial analysis.





FIGURE 5-4: EFFICACY (LUMENS/WATT) OF PROGRAM REBATED LED MEASURES (2014-2015)

For each of the 3 LED lamp types – A-lamps, reflector lamps and MR-16s – the efficacy of the program rebated bulbs increased from the 2014 evaluation period to 2015. These averages are weighted by the number of lamps each of the measures represents in their respective population. The 2013 estimates – which were rebated mostly in Q7-Q8 of 2012 and Q1-Q2 of 2013 – represent a very limited number of measure wattages. In fact, 51 of 52 site-measures evaluated during that period were 8-Watt lamps. Beginning in 2014, the evaluation team found a greater diversity of program eligible bulbs both in terms of wattage and light output. Given the limited variety of rebated LED lamp measures from 2013, the evaluation team did not include them in the wattage analysis. Again, downlighting was only evaluated as part of the 2015 evaluation. This trend is also evident at different wattage ranges and is presented in Figure 5-5.





FIGURE 5-5: EFFICACY (LUMENS/WATT) OF PROGRAM REBATED LED MEASURES BY WATTAGE BIN (2014-2015)

Overall, the increased efficacy of LED lamp technologies is confirmed in product literature and other evaluations conducted throughout the past several years. The evaluation team understands these gains and the presumption that program eligible bulbs in PY2017 are more efficient than bulbs rebated in 2014 and 2015. As an example, an average 10-watt A-lamp rebated in 2015 was found to deliver 800 lumens – or 80 lumens per watt. However, in PY2017 an 8.9-watt A-Lamp *could* deliver the same light output, since the rebated lamp is more efficient. The evaluation team took these increases in efficacy into account when developing the ex post wattages for PY2017 LED measures.

Next the evaluation team combined all the pre-retrofit equipment to determine the distribution of baseline technologies found on site throughout the 2014-2015 evaluation periods. The evaluation team subset out all baseline equipment that was undetermined or not verified on premise at the time of the on-site audit. Baseline equipment had often been replaced throughout the building prior to the on-site verification, so the on-site auditors investigated non-rebated or storage areas to ascertain the baseline equipment type. Figure 5-6 presents the distribution of baseline technologies found on site along with the number of confirmed technologies.





FIGURE 5-6: DISTRIBUTION OF BASELINE TECHNOLOGY (2014-2015)

For LED omnidirectional A-lamps, CFLs represent 77% of the baseline technology confirmed by the onsite auditor. They also found general service incandescent halogens as well (23%). Halogen reflector lamps represent 100% of the baseline for rebated MR-16 measures, although the baseline technologies found are comprised of 9 verified measures. The split for other directional reflector technologies and downlighting was more evenly distributed. Again, these baseline technology distributions were garnered from visual verification throughout the 2014-2015 evaluation periods as defined at the beginning of this section. The distribution of baseline technologies has a significant influence on the underlying wattage of the pre-existing equipment and, by extension, the change in wattage (or delta) from the baseline to the retrofit.

Next, the evaluation team uploaded the Energy Star QPL for CFL measures to gather wattage information and lumens for qualifying A-lamp, spiral and reflector technologies. The evaluation team subset any CFL technology that was available on the market or had qualified as Energy Star from 2014 through 2016. The average efficacy of CFL omnidirectional and reflector technologies remained static throughout that 3-year time period – 66 lumens/watt for omnidirectional lamps and 48 lumens/watt for reflectors. Furthermore, the evaluation team assumed program participants were installing rebated LED equipment which was similar in light output to the technology they were replacing at the time of the retrofit. Figure 5-7 presents the linear relationship between the wattage and light output for both CFL omnidirectional and directional lamps on the Energy Star QPL.





FIGURE 5-7: LUMENS AND WATTAGE FOR ENERGY STAR QUALIFYING CFLS (2014-2016)

The evaluation team then combined these data and linear equations with the database of rebated LED measures from 2014-2015. The database included every indoor LED technology rebated, where make-model information was collected, and the look-up provided a valid measure wattage and light output. The light output for each LED measure verified in 2014-2015 was input into the equation as the independent variable and the evaluation team "backed-out" the wattage of the pre-existing CFL technology. The evaluation team assumed:

- LED A-lamps were replacing spiral or A-lamp omnidirectional CFLs
- LED downlights, MR-16s and other reflector measures were replacing directional CFL technologies

As presented in Figure 5-6, however, rebated LED measures were also replacing higher wattage halogen technologies. In other words, CFLs did not comprise the total baseline for program rebated measures. To account for this, the evaluation team also developed baseline wattage estimates for these technologies based on EISA lumen bins for general service non-reflector halogens. The evaluation team also conducted an analysis of reflector halogen technologies not subject to the EISA requirements. Those estimates are presented below in Figure 5-8.





FIGURE 5-8: LUMEN BINS AND WATTAGE FOR HALOGEN LAMPS

If the light output for each LED measure verified in 2014-2015 fell within one of these bins, the evaluation team assumed the given baseline wattage of the halogen technology. As an example, an LED A-lamp with 800 lumens verified on site in 2015 would assume a 43-watt halogen baseline (750-1,049 bin) and an LED reflector lamp with that same light output would assume a 60-watt reflector halogen baseline (750-1,050 bin). The evaluation team then developed a weighted average baseline wattage for each measure, based on the distribution of baseline technologies (CFL vs. Halogen) presented in Figure 5-6. Based on the lumen levels of the installed and rebated equipment verified on site in 2014-2015, the basic equation used to develop baseline wattage estimates became:

- LED A-lamp (0.77*CFL *omnidirectional* wattage) + (0.23**non-reflector* halogen wattage)
- LED Downlight (0.42*CFL reflector wattage) + (0.58*reflector halogen wattage)
- LED MR-16 (0.00*CFL reflector wattage) + (1.00*reflector halogen wattage)
- LED Reflector (0.50*CFL *reflector* wattage) + (0.50**reflector* halogen wattage)

Finally, and considering the efficacy improvements in rebated LED technologies from 2014-2015 to PY2017, the evaluation team uploaded the Energy Star QPL for LED measures available on the market in 2016 and 2017 to gather wattage information and lumens for qualifying A-lamp, MR-16 and other reflector technologies. Again, we developed a basic linear model, where the light output of the qualifying technology served as the input to estimate the measure wattage of the LED. Like the CFL baseline analysis, we used the 2014-2015 lumens from verified measure installations to "back-out" the more efficient LED wattage. Those qualifying data are presented below in Figure 5-9.





FIGURE 5-9: LUMENS AND WATTAGE FOR ENERGY STAR QUALIFYING LED (2016-2017)

The evaluation team tested this approach by comparing the efficacy of each installed and rebated LED measure from 2014-2015 using the actual wattage of the equipment – confirmed by look-ups – and the estimated wattage assuming qualifying LED technologies have become more efficient. The relationship between the efficacy, measured as LPW, is presented below in Figure 5-10.





FIGURE 5-10: COMPARISON OF LED EFFICACY (LPW) USING 2015 WATTAGES VS 2017 WATTAGES

Each panel presents the LPW for each site-measure evaluated in 2014-2015 by technology type. The horizontal axis represents the LPW for each measure using the verified lumens and wattage from the 2014-2015 evaluation periods. The vertical axis presents the LPW for each measure using the verified lumens from the 2014-2015 evaluation periods and, the wattage which accounts for efficacy gains in qualifying LED technologies available in 2016 and 2017. If efficacy remains constant over the two periods, each site-measure would fall along the black line. If the estimated efficacy of the site-measure is greater in 2017 than in 2015, the measure is presented above the black line (and vice versa). Most site-measures are above the black line, which suggests that program eligible LED technologies have become more efficient at producing light than technologies rebated in 2014-2015. This exercise was not conducted on LED downlights given the lack of data available for these technologies, so the efficacy for PY2017 measures remains unchanged from 2015.

Equipped with an updated post-retrofit wattage and an estimated baseline wattage, the evaluation team developed wattage reduction ratios (WRRs) for each measure in the 2014-2015 evaluation database. The WRRs were developed as the baseline wattage divided by the post-retrofit wattage. These ratios can then be applied to the measure case wattage of each program rebated LED in the PY2017 program tracking database to develop ex post delta wattage estimates for each measure. The WRRs were developed at the nominal wattage level (i.e., 8W LED A-lamp) if there were sufficient observations evaluated at that level. If not, the WRRs were aggregated to a wattage bin level (i.e., LED A-Lamp 4-7W). Once again, the evaluation team compared the resulting WRRs, if using the 2014-2015 post-retrofit wattages, against the more efficient estimates. Figure 5-11 presents those comparisons.





FIGURE 5-11: COMPARISONS OF WRR AND LPW USING 2015 WATTAGES VS 2017 WATTAGES

The WRRs for each LED technology and wattage bin are plotted using the two methodologies along with the average LPW for each technology and bin (the right vertical axis). The WRR for each wattage bin is greater using the 2017 wattage data, given the advances in LED efficacy. Furthermore, for all technologies –excluding higher wattage LED A-lamps – the LPW for each wattage bin is greater using the 2017 wattage data (the blue line is above the black line).

Overall, the WRRs vary across LED types as well as within LED types. LED A-lamps have the lowest ratios of the four measure types which is most influenced by the greater distribution of CFL technologies in the baseline. Downlighting was not an evaluated measure in 2013-2014, so the WRRs for this measure category are based on 2015 data alone. The WRR for LED MR-16s is the largest with most installed measures in the 4-7W range. The WRRs for other reflector technologies are similar, but they decrease as the installed measure wattage increases.

Table 5-8 presents the WRRs developed from this analysis and utilized to update delta wattage estimates from PY2017 program LED measures. These estimates were also developed at the nominal installed measure wattage as well. The binned WRRs were used when there were insufficient – less than 10 site-measure – observations in the sample data set. Overall LED technology-specific estimates were utilized when there were insufficient sample observations in the respective wattage bin.



LED Type	Wattage Range	n Measures	Pre Watts	Post Watts	WRR	WRR RP
	4-7W	101	12.5	6.1	2.04	0%
	8-10W	303	19.5	9.1	2.14	0%
	11-13W	12	25.2	11.8	2.13	1%
A-Lamp	14-17W	6	34.8	15.9	2.18	1%
	>17W	3	40.1	20.2	1.99	4%
	All	425	19.1	8.9	2.14	0%
	4-7W					
	8-10W	8	39.0	9.9	3.93	7%
Downlighting	11-13W	57	39.9	12.5	3.19	4%
	14-17W	2	42.4	14.9	2.86	13%
	>17W	10	52.5	21.8	2.41	8%
	All	77	40.3	12.7	3.18	4%
	4-7W	72	33.1	6.3	5.27	3%
	8-10W	6	50.0	9.7	5.14	6%
	11-13W	1	60.0	11.7	5.12	0%
MIK-10	14-17W					
	>17W					
	All	79	34.4	6.6	5.25	2%
	4-7W	39	24.2	6.8	3.56	2%
	8-10W	119	35.7	9.8	3.65	0%
Pofloctors	11-13W	108	39.5	12.2	3.22	1%
REHECIOIS	14-17W	36	46.4	15.1	3.07	1%
	>17W					
	All	302	37.3	11.1	3.36	1%

TABLE 5-8: EX POST PRE- AND POST-WATTAGES AND WRR FOR LED MEASURES

The above approach and resulting WRR analysis were only applied to PY2017 claims which utilized a similar methodology from the ex ante perspective. The May 26th, 2017 workpaper disposition for screwin lamps developed minimum efficacy requirements for program eligible screw-in LEDs of all types. After reviewing the program tracking data for PY2017, the evaluation team found three workpapers that had been updated to account for the new requirements:

- PGECOLTG165-4
- SCE17LG133.1
- WPSDGENRLG0106-4



These minimum efficacy requirements for 2017 are based on EISA bins (i.e., 68 LPW for EISA 40W equivalent bulbs) and consideration for the distribution of lamp technologies in the baseline. Table 5-9 presents the approved delta wattage values by EISA wattage bin and lamp efficacy along with results from an analysis conducted by the evaluation team.

EISA Bin	LPW	Ex Ante Delta Watts	<pre># of Ex Post Observations</pre>	Ex Post Delta Watts
	68	6.8	3	6.1
	80	7.6	98	6.4
40	90	8.7	2	7.0
	100	8.8		
	80	7.8		
60	90	9.2	301	10.4
00	100	10.9		
	110	11.0		
	90	12.6	7	13.3
75	100	13.5	5	13.5
75	110	15.4		
	120	17.6		
	90	17.2		
100	100	19.1	9	18.9
	110	19.9		

TABLE 5-9: APPROVED LED A-LAMP MEASURE DEFINITIONS

Table 5-9 presents the approved delta wattage values for each EISA bin and range of lamp efficacy (LPW). The evaluation team also conducted our own analysis from data used to develop the WRRs discussed above. We compared the baseline wattages for LED A-lamps to the measure case wattages – both of which were informed by the light output of LED A-lamp measures in the 2014-2015 on-site database. We binned the efficacy of each LED technology into the prescribed LPW (i.e., 68 LPW vs. 90 LPW) and developed delta wattage estimates, based on those groupings. The cut points developed from the database of LPWs was somewhat arbitrary (i.e., an LED measure with 87 LPW were binned into the 90 LPW category).

However, and as evident above, the ex post evaluation data from 2014-2015 was comprised of measures mostly found in the 40W Lumen bin – 80 LPW and the 60W Lumen bin – 90 LPW. We did not have sufficient primary data to develop delta wattages for many approved measure definitions. However, the analysis revealed that there are no demonstrable differences between the approved ex ante delta watts and those developed based on actual on-site data analysis. As a result, we decided to pass through the approved delta wattage estimates for all claims that adhered to this methodology.



5.3 EUL/RUL ANALYSIS

In order to develop lifecycle savings for each measure, the EUL was calculated. The EUL is a function of the service life of the measure divided by the ex post annual operating hours. The EUL is defined as:

EUL = Minimum of either $\frac{Service Life (hours)}{Annual Hours of Use}$ or 12 years.

Where:

Service Life = the rated service life of the measure.

Annual Hours of Use = the site-specific estimate of post-retrofit annual hours of use (HOU) as outlined in Table 5-4 through Table 5-7 or the ex ante HOUs.

The evaluation team did not conduct an analysis on the rated life for each of the LED measures installed in PY2017. We ultimately utilized the ex ante service life for each technology – 20,000 hours for LED lamps and 50,000 hours for downlight. As a result, any differences between the ex post EULs and the ex ante claimed EULs are predicated on differences in the assumed annual hours of use.

5.4 **GROSS IMPACT PARAMETER COMPARISON (EX POST VS EX ANTE)**

The objective of this study was to perform a measure and/or measure-parameter impact evaluation, utilizing existing evaluation data and new primary evaluation data, to update existing gross or net savings estimates and inform future savings values for specific lighting measures identified in the ESPI decision. As presented throughout this report, the gross savings values incorporate several different variables, including installation rates, operating hours, coincidence factors, installed/replaced wattages and EULs. The differences in ex post savings relative to the ex ante claim are predicated on differences among these variables. The following exhibits present a high-level comparison of the ex ante assumptions to the ex post impacts that were developed as a result of the gross analysis.

Section 7 presents the final aggregated first year and lifecycle GRRs and NRRs along with the specific algorithm that the evaluation team used to develop these rates and the ex post impacts, but the sample level results are presented below to better understand why the GRR is not equal to 100% for the indoor LED lamp measures that were evaluated.





FIGURE 5-12: PG&E LED A-LAMP EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For PG&E A-lamp measures, ex post hours of use (HOU) were greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, which leads to a slight increase in the GRR. The evaluation team estimated an installation rate of 96% which was lower than the ex ante claim, which reduces the GRR. The ex post delta wattage (the difference between the baseline and installed wattage) had the most significant impact on the GRR. The evaluation team estimated WRRs for A-lamp measures that were lower than ex ante claims. Finally, the ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR because the ex post HOU were higher. Since the evaluation team did not update the ex ante service life for measures, the HOU (the denominator in the EUL calculation) have an inverse effect on the EUL. If ex post HOU are higher than the ex ante claims, the EUL will be lower – and vice versa.



FIGURE 5-13: SCE LED A-LAMP EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL



For SCE A-lamp measures, ex post hours of use (HOU) were greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, which leads to a slight increase in the GRR. The evaluation team estimated an installation rate that was slightly lower than the ex ante claim, which reduces the GRR. The ex post delta wattage (the difference between the baseline and installed wattage) had the most significant impact on the GRR. Finally, the ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR because the ex post HOU were higher.



FIGURE 5-14: SDG&E LED A-LAMP EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For SDG&E A-lamp measures, ex post hours of use (HOU) were greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, which leads to a slight increase in the GRR. The evaluation team estimated an installation rate that was slightly lower than the ex ante claim, which reduces the GRR. The ex post delta wattage (the difference between the baseline and installed wattage) had the most significant impact on the GRR. Finally, the ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR because the ex post HOU were higher.



FIGURE 5-15: PG&E LED DOWNLIGHT EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL



For PG&E downlight measures, the lifecycle GRR is much greater than one. This is driven by the ex post hours of use (HOU) being greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim. The evaluation team estimated an installation rate of 99% which was slightly lower than the ex ante claim. The ex post delta wattage (the difference between the baseline and installed wattage) increased the GRR as well. The evaluation team estimated WRRs for downlight measures that were greater than ex ante claims. Finally, the ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR only slightly.



FIGURE 5-16: SCE LED DOWNLIGHT EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

The lifecycle GRR for SCE downlight measures is greater than one. While the ex post hours of use (HOU) are greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, the ex post EUL is less. This, combined with a higher ex post delta wattage, leads to an increased lifecycle GRR.





FIGURE 5-17: SDG&E LED DOWNLIGHT EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For SDG&E downlight measures, the lifecycle GRR is less than one. While the ex post hours of use (HOU) are greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, the ex post EUL is less. This, combined with a lower ex post delta wattage, leads to a decreased lifecycle GRR.



FIGURE 5-18: PG&E LED MR-16 EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For PG&E MR-16 measures, both the first year and lifecycle GRR are greater than one. This is driven by the ex post hours of use (HOU) being greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, along with the delta wattage. The evaluation team estimated WRRs for MR-16 measures that were higher than ex ante claims. The ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR slightly.





FIGURE 5-19: SCE LED MR-16 EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For SCE MR-16 measures, both the first year and lifecycle GRR are greater than one. This is driven by the ex post hours of use (HOU) being greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, along with the delta wattage. The evaluation team estimated WRRs for MR-16 measures that were higher than ex ante claims. The ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR slightly.



FIGURE 5-20: SDG&E LED MR-16 EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

A similar pattern is found with SDG&E MR-16 measures – both the first year and lifecycle GRR are greater than one. This is driven again by the ex post hours of use (HOU) being greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claim, along with the delta wattage. The evaluation team estimated WRRs for MR-16 measures that were higher than ex ante claims. The ex post EUL reduced the lifecycle GRR slightly.





FIGURE 5-21: PG&E LED REFLECTOR EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For PG&E reflector measures, the lifecycle GRR is less than one. While the ex post HOU are greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claims, the ex post delta wattage is less. The evaluation team developed WRRs that were less than ex ante claims.



FIGURE 5-22: SCE LED REFLECTOR EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For SCE reflector measures, both the first year and lifecycle GRR are less than one. While the ex post HOU are greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claims, the WRRs developed by the evaluation team are slightly less than the ex ante claims.





FIGURE 5-23: SDG&E LED REFLECTOR EX ANTE TO EX POST IMPACT WATERFALL

For SDG&E reflector measures, both the first year and lifecycle GRR are less than one. While the ex post HOU are greater (in aggregate) than the ex ante claims, the WRRs developed by the evaluation team are slightly less than the ex ante claims.

6 NET IMPACT ANALYSIS

The phone surveys that were conducted for this evaluation served not only to verify the installation of sampled measures and recruit for the on-site verification, but also to acquire information about the influence of the program on the purchase and installation of the measure. The questions asked of interviewees were designed to gather information that allowed the evaluation team to estimate participant free-ridership to support the development of net-to-gross ratios (NTGRs) and net savings values. A standard battery of NTG questions was asked of all phone survey respondents who purchased and installed different indoor and outdoor LED technologies. Below we discuss the methodology used to develop the NTGR and the results of that analysis.

6.1 NET IMPACT EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

Over the last several evaluation cycles, Net-to-Gross (NTG) analysis for nonresidential programs has used a standardized Self-Report Approach (SRA)¹ that is based on the results of self-report telephone surveys with program participants. This PY2017 evaluation continues use of this standard SRA framework with relatively minor modifications to NTG survey question batteries, and revisions to the NTG scoring algorithm based on specific recommendations from the 2013-2015 Program Performance Assessment of the Nonresidential Downstream Programs.² The most significant change to the NTG scoring algorithm is elimination of the PAI-1 score when calculating the NTG ratio. The evaluation team also considered modifying the NTG framework for this 2017 evaluation to incorporate a dual baseline NTG approach but decided to defer it to the 2018 evaluation cycle since there were very few measures in this cycle where the dual baseline approach applied. The evaluation team will continue to develop and refine the dual baseline framework using data collected in this cycle after this PY2017 evaluation is completed.

The net savings calculations for this evaluation follow the original methods, with the modifications noted.

6.1.1 Overview of Approach to Estimating Free Ridership

The methodology described in this section was developed to address the unique needs of nonresidential customer projects developed through energy efficiency programs offered by the four California IOUs and third-party implementers. The free ridership method used for this study relies exclusively on the standardized Self-Report Approach (SRA) to estimate project and domain-level net-to-gross ratios (NTGRs), since other available methods and research designs are generally not feasible. The SRA in this

¹ This SRA framework was originally developed by the statewide Nonresidential NTG working group during 2008.

² <u>https://pda.energydataweb.com/api/view/1975/2013-</u> 2015%20Program%20Performance%20Assessment%20Of%20The%20Nonresidential%20Downstream%20Progr ams%20-%20Final.pdf



evaluation was implemented in accordance with the relevant EM&V guidelines including the California Energy Efficiency Evaluation Protocols (April 2006).

The SRA methodology used in this study provides a standard framework, including decision rules, for integrating findings from both quantitative and qualitative information in the calculation of the NTGR in a systematic and consistent manner. The method uses a 0 to 10 scoring system for key questions used to estimate the NTGR rather than using fixed categories that were assigned weights. Respondents were asked to jointly consider and rate the importance of the many likely events or factors that may have influenced their energy efficiency decision making for the project in question, rather than focusing narrowly on only their rating of the program's importance. This question structure more accurately reflects the complex nature of the real-world decision making and helped to ensure that all non-program influences were accounted for in assessing the unique contribution of the program to the energy efficiency project's implementation.

6.1.2 NTG Questions and Scoring Algorithm

Approach Used in Previous Evaluations

Historically, the NTGR has been calculated as an average of three scores. Each of these scores represents the highest response or the average of several responses given to one or more questions about the decision to install a program measure.

- PAI-1 reflects the influence of the most important of various program and non-program elements in the customer's decision to select the specific program measure at this time. Program influence through vendor recommendations was also incorporated in this score. Note that Score PAI-1 took the highest program score divided by the sum of the maximum of the program and non-program scores.
- PAI-2 captures the perceived importance of the program (whether incentive, recommendation, audit or other program intervention) relative to non-program factors in the decision to implement the specific measure that was eventually adopted or installed. This score was determined by asking respondents to assign importance values to both the program and most important non-program influences so that the two values total 10. The program influence score was reduced by half if respondents said they had already made their decision to install the specific program qualifying measure before they learned their project was eligible for program rebates.
- PAI-3 captures the likelihood of various actions the customer might have taken at the time or project decision making, and in the future, if the program had not been available (the counterfactual). This score also accounts for deferred free ridership by incorporating the likelihood that the customer would have installed program-qualifying measures at a later date if the program had not been available.



When there are multiple questions that fed into the scoring algorithm, as is the case for Score PAI-1, the maximum value for program and non-program influences is always used. The rationale for using the maximum value is to capture the most important program and non-program elements in the participant's decision making. Thus, the score is always based on the strongest influence, whether program or non-program, indicated by the respondent. However, high scores that are inconsistent with other previous responses trigger consistency checks and lead to follow-up questions to clarify and resolve the discrepancy.

When there are missing data or 'don't knows' to critical elements of each score, one of two options are used. The most common approach, in cases where it was one of several other elements that are considered in the algorithm, is to simply exclude the missing element from consideration.

The resulting self-reported NTGR in most cases is simply the average of all three scores, divided by 10. The one exception to this is when the respondent indicated a 10 in 10 probability of installing the same equipment at the same time in the absence of the program, in which case the NTGR is based on the average of Scores 2 and 3 only.

Overview of Approach Used in 2017 Evaluation

This PY2017 evaluation has continued use of this standard SRA framework with certain changes, which were made based on specific recommendations from the 2013-2015 Program Performance Assessment:

The NTG scoring algorithm was revised. The only change was to eliminate the PAI-1 score from the calculation of the NTG ratio. PAI-1 was removed for two primary reasons:

- 1. **PAI-1 scores did not appear to be correlated with free ridership.** We compared the PAI-1 scores to other survey questions that would indicate a high likelihood for free ridership and did not see the PAI-1 scores correlate well to these metrics.
- 2. The inclusion of the PAI-1 score biases the NTGR towards a value of 0.5. The PAI-1 score tends to converge to a value of around 5. This is likely due to respondents rating at least one program and one non-program factor very highly, at least a 9. Averaging in the PAI-1 score with PAI-2 and PAI-3 will therefore move the NTGR towards a value of 0.5.

The analyses underlying these changes to the NTG algorithm are presented in the next section.


6.1.3 Analysis to Support Changes to NTG Algorithm

Issue 1: PAI-1 scores did not appear to be correlated with free ridership. We examined the relationship between PAI-1 and two survey questions that we felt were strong indications of free ridership:

N2: Did your organization make the decision to install this new equipment before, after, or at the same time as you became aware of the program rebate?

N6: Now I would like you to think one last time about what action you would have taken if the program had not been available. Which of the following alternatives would you have been MOST likely to do?

- 1 Install/Delamped fewer units
- 2 Install standard efficiency equipment or whatever required by code
- 3 Installed equipment more efficient than code but less efficient than what you installed through the program
- 4 Done nothing (keep existing equipment as is)
- 5 Done the same thing I would have done as I did through the program
- 6 Repair/rewind or overhaul the existing equipment
- 77 Something else (specify what _____)

For question N2, we would expect higher levels of free ridership to be associated with respondents stating they already made the decision to install their new equipment before they became aware of the program rebate, and that PAI-1 scores would be substantially lower for this response than the other two responses.

Table 6-1 provides a comparison of question N2 and the three PAI scores. Also shown is one additional question:

N41: If you were given 10 points to award in total, how many points would you give to the importance of the program and how many points would you give to these other non-program factors?

This question is a component of the PAI-2 score, as is the N2 question. The PAI-2 score is equal to N41 and is divided in half if the N2 response is "before". Because N2 is a component of PAI-2, it is obviously correlated with that response. Therefore, we are also presenting N41.



Timing of Decision Relative to Awareness of Rebate	PAI-1	PAI-2	PAI-3	N41
Before	4.87	2.78	1.77	5.56
Same Time	4.83	6.24	4.67	6.24
After	5.15	7.44	6.12	7.44

TABLE 6-1: COMPARISON OF PAI-1 SCORES WITH TIMING OF DECISION TO INSTALL EQUIPMENT

Our expectation is that we would see significant increases in the PAI and N41 scores as we move from Before to After. This is clearly the case for PAI-2, PAI-3 and N41. However, we see a decrease in PAI-1 as we move from N2 responses of Before to Same Time, and see very little increase between Before and After responses.

Another telling indication of program influence is the self-reported action that participants say they would have taken had the program not existed in question N6. Respondents were asked what they would have been most likely to do if the program had not been available. Two common responses were "done nothing and keep existing equipment as is", and "done the same thing I would have done as I did through the program". One would expect relatively high PAI scores for the "done nothing" and relatively low PAI scores for the "done the same thing" responses. As shown in the table below, PAI-1 had the lowest score for the "done nothing" response, significantly less than PAI-3 (5.12 versus 8.11), and PAI-1 had the smallest difference in scores between the "done the same thing" and "done nothing" responses (only a 0.49 difference compared to 5.67 for PAI-3).

TABLE 6-2: COMPARISON OF PAI-1 SCORES WITH NO-PROGRAM BEHAVIORS

Stated Action in Absence of the Program	PAI-1	PAI-2	PAI-3
Done nothing, keep existing equipment as is	5.12	6.48	8.11
Done the same thing I would have done as I did through the program	4.63	5.43	2.44

Issue 2: The inclusion of the PAI-1 score biases the NTGR towards a value of 0.5. Overall, we found the average PAI-1 score to be 4.9, with 80 percent of the individual scores within 0.5 of that mean (i.e., between 4.4 and 5.4). This is likely due to respondents rating at least one program and one non-program factor very high. We found that respondents rated at least one program factor a 9 or 10, 75 percent of the time, and at least one non-program factor a 9 or 10, 73 percent of the time. Furthermore, 60 percent of the time, the respondent's highest rated program and non-program factors were rated equally. Respondents are likely to score at least one program and one non-program influence very highly, leading most PAI-1 scores to fall near 4.9. This has the effect of biasing the NTGRs towards 0.5.



Table 6-3 provides a comparison of the NTGRs by PA and measure type with and without using PAI-1, along with the PAI-1 score. As show in the exhibit, the values of using PAI-1 are all closer to a value of 0.50 then without using it. Of the six PAI-1 scores, all but one are within approximately one half a point of 5.0. The only one outside this range also exhibited the highest NTGR score so is understandably higher than the rest, but including the PAI-1 still pulls the NTGR towards 0.50. Also note that the relative precision values are all lower using the PAI-1 score. This is because the PAI-1 score is pulling the values towards a more central mean and eliminating some of the variation in the scores.

		Sites		NTGR Scores						
PA	Measure Type	n	Using PAI-1	RP	Without PAI-1	RP	PAI-1			
	Indoor LED Fixtures	66	0.43	8%	0.39	13%	5.00			
PG&E	Outdoor LED Fixtures	60	0.47	7%	0.47	10%	4.76			
SCE	Indoor LED Fixtures	42	0.69	5%	0.79	6%	4.97			
SCE	Outdoor LED Fixtures	39	0.53	5%	0.55	7%	4.98			
	Indoor LED Fixtures	44	0.58	8%	0.64	11%	4.46			
SUGRE	Outdoor LED Fixtures	10	0.74	8%	0.81	9%	5.95			

TABLE 6-3: COMPARISON OF NTGRS WITH AND WITHOUT USING PAI-1

6.2 NET TO GROSS RESULTS

Table 6-4 presents the final ex post NTGR scores after eliminating the PAI-1 score, for indoor and outdoor LED fixtures by PA. Also presented are the ex ante NTG values as well as the average PAI-2 and PAI-3 scores for each segment. These data are weighted by ex ante lifecycle gross kWh. As discussed throughout the report, these estimates were developed for downstream-only measures (i.e., midstream programs were not evaluated as part of this evaluation). Furthermore, the evaluation team stratified the population of indoor LED fixtures based on the measure name associated with the claims in the tracking database, but the NTGs have been rolled up to the measure group level. Downlight measures, which are also classified as indoor LED fixtures, were not included in this analysis.



TABLE 6-4:	EX ANTE AN	D EX POST	NET-TO-GROSS	RATIOS AND	PAI SCORES	FOR INDOOR	AND OUTDOOR LEE)
FIXTURE M	EASURES BY L	ED TYPE						

DA		Sites		NTG kWh		NTG Components		
FA	measure Type	n	Ex Ante	Ex Post	RP	PAI-2	PAI-3	
	Indoor LED Fixtures	66	0.62	0.39	13%	4.81	3.04	
PG&E	Outdoor LED Fixtures	60	0.60	0.47	10%	5.59	3.66	
с. С.	Indoor LED Fixtures	42	0.67	0.79	6%	7.95	7.67	
SCE	Outdoor LED Fixtures	39	0.70	0.55	7%	5.50	5.60	
SDG&E	Indoor LED Fixtures	44	0.66	0.64	11%	6.31	6.78	
	Outdoor LED Fixtures	10	0.60	0.81	9%	7.53	8.59	

6.2.1 PG&E Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixtures

- The ex post NTG ratios are less than the ex ante values for both PG&E LED measure types. In addition, ex post values for this 2017 evaluation (0.39 for Indoor LED fixtures and 0.47 for outdoor LED fixtures) are down significantly from the 2015 evaluation in which the overall ex post NTG ratio was 0.55.
- The overall PAI-2 scores of 4.81 for Indoor LED Fixtures and 5.59 for Outdoor LED Fixtures suggest, on average, that program participants perceived the importance of program related factors to be approximately the same as for non-program factors. In other words, given 10 points to allocate between program and non-program factors, participants allocated approximately the same number of points to program factors as to non-program factors. The PAI-3 scores (3.04 for Indoor LED fixtures and 3.66 for Outdoor LED fixtures) were not as favorable. Results for PAI-2 and PAI-3 at the project level were highly variable with values ranging from 0 to 10.
- Results for PG&E Indoor and Outdoor LED fixtures are also significantly lower than those for SCE and SDG&E. This is in part due to a number of PG&E participants stating that they had already made the decision to participate prior to becoming aware of the rebate, and also stating that they would have done the same action in the absence of the program.

Table 6-5 presents the results of the N2 and N6 questions discussed above, by PA and Measure Type. For N2, we see that the PG&E participants were much more likely to have already made their decision to install the new equipment prior to becoming aware of the program rebate. Furthermore, significantly more PG&E participants reported they would have done the same thing in the absence of the program.



PA Measure Type		Sites	N2: Timing of Decision	N6: Action in Absence of Program
FA	measure type	n	Before Program Awareness	Do Same Thing
	Indoor LED Fixtures	66	55%	47%
PG&E	Outdoor LED Fixtures	60	24%	53%
сс г	Indoor LED Fixtures	42	3%	16%
SCE	Outdoor LED Fixtures	39	15%	26%
SDG&E	Indoor LED Fixtures	44	10%	29%
	Outdoor LED Fixtures	10	4%	0%

TABLE 6-5: TIMING OF DECISION AND SELF-REPORTED ACTION IN THE ABSENCE OF THE PROGRAM

It is not entirely clear why PG&E participants may have been more likely to have made their decision prior to becoming aware of the program or may have a higher likelihood of doing the same thing in the absence of the program. However, we do see some differences in the characteristics of the PG&E participants relative to SCE and SDG&E. As shown in Appendix D, question CC2A indicates that the PG&E participants have larger facilities on average than SCE and SDG&E. And, question FM050 shows that PG&E has a different distribution of business types, with significantly more agricultural green houses, industrial facilities and retail businesses among the indoor fixture participants; and more lodging, education and public assembly among the outdoor fixture participants. Therefore, some of the differences we see in the NTGR may be attributable to these differences in participant characteristics.

6.2.2 SCE Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixtures

- In general, these measures exhibited medium-high program influence with an average ex post NTG ratio of 0.79 for Indoor LED fixtures and 0.55 for Outdoor LED fixtures. The ex post NTG ratio for Indoor fixtures exceeds the ex ante value of 0.70, while the value for Outdoor fixtures falls short of the ex ante value of 0.70. Results are in line with the 2015 evaluation, in which an ex post NTG ratio of 0.63 was found.
- PAI-2 and PAI-3 scores provide some insight into these results.
 - For Indoor LED fixtures, the PAI-2 and PAI-3 score values were nearly identical (7.95 for PAI-2 and 7.67 for PAI-3). These are very consistent with the overall ex post NTG ratio of 0.79.
 PAI-2 scores at the project level were generally very strong, with two-thirds of respondents providing scores of 7 and above.
 - PAI-2 and PAI-3 results were not as favorable for Outdoor LED fixtures. PAI-2 and PAI-3 score values were 5.50 and 5.60, respectively. Project-level PAI-2 scores span a wide range, with values from 2 to 10, with half of respondents providing scores of 5 and below. The range for PAI-3 scores is even broader, with values from 0 to 10 and a high degree of variability in responses.



6.2.3 SDG&E Indoor and Outdoor LED Fixtures

- Ex post NTG results for these measure categories were favorable with NTG ratios of 0.64 for Indoor LED fixtures and 0.81 for Outdoor LED fixtures. The Indoor value is in line with the ex ante value of 0.64, while the Outdoor value exceeds the ex ante value of 0.60. Both results are consistent with the ex post NTGR from the 2015 evaluation of 0.67.
- PAI-2 and PAI-3 scores were generally very strong, and consistent. For both Indoor and Outdoor LED fixtures, the PAI-2 and PAI-3 score values were nearly identical (Indoor 6.31 for PAI-2 and 6.78 for PAI-3; Outdoor 7.53 for PAI-2 and 8.59 for PAI-3).
- Individual PAI-2 and PAI-3 scores for both measures generally ranged between 5 and 10, signifying strong program influence. One-third of the scores provided were scores of 8, 9 and 10.

7 EVALUATION RESULTS

This section of the report presents the gross and net realization rates the evaluation team developed for the 2017 deemed ESPI lighting measures discussed throughout the report. The evaluation team studied a subset of the measures within the PY2017 population of nonresidential deemed measures. Table 7-1 presents the four ESPI measures subject to ex post evaluation for PY2017 along with the measure types ultimately evaluated.

		Data	Source	Ex Post	Update
2017 ESPI Measure	Measure Type	New Phone Surveys	Existing On- sites	NTG	Gross
	Downlight		x	Pass Through	X
LED Fixture	High/Non-Highbay	x		X	Pass Through
	A-Lamps		x	Pass Through	X
LED Lamp	Specialty Lamps			Pass Through	Pass Through
	MR-16		x	Pass Through	X
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors		x	Pass Through	X
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	x		x	Pass Through

TABLE 7-1: DATA SOURCES AND EX POST UPDATE FOR PY2017 ESPI MEASURES

7.1 **GROSS FIRST YEAR REALIZATION RATES**

The evaluation team estimated gross realization rates (GRR) by examining the ratio of the aggregate evaluated gross savings to the aggregated ex ante gross savings. The evaluation team utilized the following algorithm to develop GRRs:

$$\textit{Gross_Realization_Rate}_{m} = \frac{\sum_{i,m=1}^{n}\textit{Gross_Ex_Post_Impact}_{i,m}}{\sum_{i,m=1}^{n}\textit{Gross_Ex_Ante_Impact}_{i,m}}$$

Where:

 $Gross_Ex_Post_Impact_{i,m}$ = the gross ex post impact estimate for $claim_i$ of $measure_m$ in the population.

 $Gross_Ex_Ante_Impact_{i,m}$ = the gross ex ante impact estimate $claim_i$ of measure_m in the population.



Table 7-2 through Table 7-4 below present the population level first year gross MWh and MW realization rates for evaluated deemed ESPI lighting measures along with the aggregate ex ante and ex post first year MWh and MW savings for each IOU. Realization rates that are *italicized* signifies the ex ante savings were passed through.

2017 ESPI Measure		First Ye	ar Gross MWł	ı Savings	First Year Gross MW Savings			
	measure type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	1,300.9	2,286.2	176%	0.3	0.4	159%	
	High/Non-Highbay	28,849.0	28,849.0	100%	6.9	6.9	100%	
	A-Lamps	7,545.1	5,157.5	68%	1.5	0.9	59%	
	Specialty Lamps	674.0	674.0	100%	0.1	0.1	100%	
LED Poflactor Lamp	MR-16	812.8	1,194.1	147%	0.2	0.3	141%	
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	9,653.0	8,674.0	90%	2.4	2.0	86%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	25,436.7	25,436.7	100%	-	-	-	

TABLE 7-2: PG&E FIRST YEAR GROSS MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

TABLE 7-3: SCE FIRST YEAR GROSS MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 ESDI Moneuro	Monsuro Typo	First Ye	ar Gross MWI	n Savings	First Year Gross MW Savings			
2017 ESFI Meusore	measure type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	608.4	1,211.6	199%	0.1	0.2	172%	
	High/Non-Highbay	3,845.2	3,845.2	100%	1.3	1.3	100%	
	A-Lamps	2,480.5	2,145.8	87%	0.5	0.4	69%	
	Specialty Lamps	807.9	807.9	100%	0.2	0.2	100%	
	MR-16	688.0	1,030.2	150%	0.2	0.3	152%	
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	4,303.1	4,775.4	111%	1.0	1.1	103%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	13,357.1	13,357.1	100%	-	-	-	

TABLE 7-4: SDG&E FIRST YEAR GROSS MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 ESDI Monsuro		First Ye	ar Gross MWł	n Savings	First Year Gross MW Savings			
ZUI7 ESFI Meusure	measure Type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	327.4	395.2	121%	0.1	0.1	74%	
	High/Non-Highbay	5,647.0	5,647.0	100%	1.3	1.3	100%	
	A-Lamps	1,531.5	1,357.8	89%	0.3	0.2	70%	
	Specialty Lamps	1,216.7	1,216.7	100%	0.2	0.2	100%	
LED Reflector Lamp	MR-16	374.4	523.6	140%	0.1	0.1	146%	
	Reflectors	2,593.1	2,553.7	98%	0.6	0.5	96%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	849.9	849.9	100%	-	-	-	



As discussed in Section 5.4, the first-year realization rates are influenced by differences in the underlying impact parameters – installation rates, operating hours, coincidence factors and installed/replaced wattages – from the ex ante claim to the ex post evaluation. Below is a brief discussion of those differences for each evaluated measure:

- Downlight
 - PG&E (176%) The ex post delta wattages and the ex post operating hours were much higher than the ex ante claim.
 - SCE (199%) Similar to PG&E, the ex post delta wattages and operating hours were greater than the ex ante claim.
 - SDG&E (121%) The ex post operating hours were greater, but the ex post delta wattages were less than the ex ante claim.
- A-Lamps
 - PG&E (68%) The ex post delta wattage was much less than the ex ante claim, along with a slightly lower ex post installation rate. HOU were comparable.
 - SCE (87%) Lower ex post delta wattages had a more significant impact on the GRR than the increased ex post HOU.
 - SDG&E (89%) The same as for SCE.
- MR-16
 - PG&E (147%) Higher ex post operating hours and delta wattages lead to a GRR greater than
 1.
 - SCE (150%) The same as for PG&E.
 - SDG&E (140%) The same as for PG&E and SCE.
- Reflector Lamps
 - PG&E (90%) A slightly lower ex post installation rate and lower ex post delta wattage were more significant than the higher ex post operating hours.
 - SCE (111%) Higher ex post operating hours, but a lower ex post delta wattage leads to GRR greater than 1.
 - SDG&E (98%) Higher ex post operating hours, but a lower ex post delta wattage leads to a GRR almost equal to 1.



7.2 **GROSS LIFECYCLE REALIZATION RATES**

Table 7-5 through Table 7-7 present the population level gross lifecycle MWh and MW realization rates for the evaluated deemed ESPI lighting measures along with the aggregate ex ante and ex post lifecycle MWh and MW savings.

2017 ESPI Measure	Manauna Tuna	Life Cyclo	e Gross MWh Sa	Life Cycle Gross MW Savings			
	measure Type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR
LED Fixture	Downlight	15,572.0	27,108.8	174%	3.2	5.1	158%
	High/Non-Highbay	339,720.9	339,720.9	100%	84.0	84.0	100%
	A-Lamps	76,139.0	47,063.8	62%	14.8	7.9	53%
	Specialty Lamps	4,618.6	4,618.6	100%	0.9	0.9	100%
LED Poflactor Lamp	MR-16	7,412.1	9,295.6	125%	1.6	1.9	120%
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	87,392.3	69,110.5	79%	21.3	16.2	76%
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	305,240.4	305,240.4	100%	-	-	-

TABLE 7-5: PG&E LIFECYCLE GROSS MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

TABLE 7-6: SCE LIFECYCLE GROSS MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 ESPI Measure	Monsura Typa	Life Cycle Gross MWh Savings			Life Cycle Gross MW Savings			
	measure Type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	5,597.4	7,137.5	128%	1.2	1.4	117%	
	High/Non-Highbay	23,170.2	23,170.2	100%	7.8	7.8	100%	
	A-Lamps	25,045.0	19,965.7	80%	5.2	3.3	64%	
	Specialty Lamps	6,716.2	6,716.2	100%	1.7	1.7	100%	
	MR-16	6,053.5	7,913.6	131%	1.6	2.0	129%	
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	39,487.3	35,911.6	91%	9.8	8.2	83%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	160,285.7	160,285.7	100%	-	-	-	

TABLE 7-7: SDG&E LIFECYCLE GROSS MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 ESPI Measure	Manauna Tuna	Life Cycle	Life Cycle Gross MW Savings				
	meusore rype	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	GRR
	Downlight	3,413.3	2,531.8	74%	0.9	0.4	48%
LED Fixture	High/Non-Highbay	43,932.2	43,932.2	100%	10.4	10.4	100%
	A-Lamps	12,095.3	10,055.6	83%	2.6	1.6	62%
LED Lamp	Specialty Lamps	7,061.1	7,061.1	100%	1.4	1.4	100%
LED Deflector Lamp	MR-16	2,597.2	3,313.4	128%	0.6	0.7	128%
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	19,135.9	16,174.0	85%	4.3	3.4	79%
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	10,198.8	10,198.8	100%	-	-	-



The lifecycle GRRs for each of the evaluated measures are influenced by the effective useful life (EUL) of the measures. Higher ex post annual operating hours (at the measure level) will lead to a decrease in the ex post EUL.

7.3 NET FIRST YEAR REALIZATION RATES

The evaluation team estimated the net ex post impacts in a similar manner as the gross impacts, however, the NTG ratios were multiplied by the gross impacts. The resulting net realization rates (NRR) represent the ratio of aggregated evaluated net savings to the aggregated ex ante net savings. The evaluation team utilized the following formula to develop customer specific NRRs:

$$Net_Realization_Rate_{m} = \frac{\sum_{i,m=1}^{n} Net_Ex_Post_Impact_{i,m}}{\sum_{i,m=1}^{n} Net_Ex_Ante_Impact_{i,m}}$$

Where:

Net_Ex_Post_Impact_{i,m} = the net ex post impact estimate for claim_i of measure_m in the population

Net_Ex_Ante_Impact_{i,m} = the net ex ante impact estimate for claim_i of measure_m in the population

Table 7-8 below presents the population level first year MWh and MW net realization rates for the evaluated deemed ESPI lighting measures along with the aggregate ex ante and ex post first year net MWh and MW savings. The net realization rate is impacted by the difference in ex ante and ex post gross savings along with the differences between the ex ante and ex post NTG ratios.

2017 ESPI Measure	Manauna Tuna	First Yea	r Net MWh Sav	First Year Net MW Savings			
	measure type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR
LED Fixture	Downlight	845.7	1,486.2	176%	0.2	0.3	159%
	High/Non-Highbay	19,731.2	12,739.2	65%	4.8	3.3	69%
	A-Lamps	5,239.4	3,708.8	71%	1.0	0.6	61%
	Specialty Lamps	438.1	438.1	100%	0.1	0.1	100%
LED Reflector Lamp	MR-16	528.3	776.2	147%	0.1	0.2	141%
	Reflectors	7,224.9	6,603.3	91%	1.8	1.5	87%
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	16,610.3	13,190.0	79%	-	-	-

	TABLE 7-8: PG&E FIRST	YEAR NET MWH AND	MW REALIZATION RATES	FOR EVALUATED MEASURES
--	-----------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------



2017 ESPI Measure	Monsuro Typo	First Yea	r Net MWh S	avings	First Year Net MW Savings			
	measure type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	395.9	788.1	199%	0.1	0.1	172%	
	High/Non-Highbay	2,839.5	3,226.8	114%	0.9	1.1	114%	
IED Jamp	A-Lamps	2,048.6	1,866.2	91%	0.4	0.3	73%	
	Specialty Lamps	525.1	525.1	100%	0.1	0.1	100%	
LED Reflector Lamp	MR-16	447.9	670.6	150%	0.1	0.2	152%	
	Reflectors	3,738.7	4,187.7	112%	0.9	0.9	104%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	10,138.4	8,033.0	79%	-	-	-	

TABLE 7-9: SCE FIRST YEAR NET MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

TABLE 7-10: SDG&E FIRST YEAR NET MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 ESDI Monguno	Moneyro Typo	First Yea	r Net MWh S	avings	First Year Net MW Savings			
2017 ESPI Measure	measure type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	250.8	296.4	118%	0.1	0.0	76%	
	High/Non-Highbay	4,077.8	3,875.0	95%	1.0	0.9	93%	
	A-Lamps	1,257.7	1,175.4	93%	0.3	0.2	73%	
	Specialty Lamps	790.8	790.8	100%	0.2	0.2	100%	
LED Reflector Lamp	MR-16	243.4	340.3	140%	0.1	0.1	146%	
	Reflectors	2,088.5	2,096.0	100%	0.5	0.4	96%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	552.4	727.5	132%	-	-	-	

The evaluation team did not conduct any new primary data collection for LED lamp measures and downlighting, so the ex ante NTG ratios were passed through. For indoor and outdoor LED fixture measures, however, the evaluation team fielded self-report phone surveys to update NTG ratios and net savings values for these measures.¹ As discussed in Section 6 and presented below in Table 7-11, the ex post NTG ratios were different from the ex ante claim.

¹ This analysis was conducted only for downstream program participants. Midstream point-of-purchase programs were not included.



TABLE 7-11: EX ANTE AND EX POST NET-TO-GROSS RATIOS AND PAI SCORES FOR INDOOR AND OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE MEASURES BY LED TYPE

DA		Sites		NTG kWh		NTG Components		
FA	meusore rype	n	Ex Ante	Ex Post	RP	PAI-2	PAI-3	
DCRE	Indoor LED Fixtures	66	0.62	0.39	13%	4.81	3.04	
PG&E	Outdoor LED Fixtures	60	0.60	0.47	10%	5.59	3.66	
SCE	Indoor LED Fixtures	42	0.67	0.79	6%	7.95	7.67	
SCE	Outdoor LED Fixtures	39	0.70	0.55	7%	5.50	5.60	
SDC & E	Indoor LED Fixtures	44	0.66	0.64	11%	6.31	6.78	
SDG&E	Outdoor LED Fixtures	10	0.60	0.81	9%	7.53	8.59	

While the gross savings were passed through for these measures (i.e., GRR = 100%), the net first year savings were updated with the NTG ratios developed from the phone survey. If the ex post NTG was less than the ex ante claim, the NRR is less than 100%, and vice versa.

7.4 NET LIFECYCLE REALIZATION RATES

Table 7-12 through Table 7-14 presents the population lifecycle MWh and MW net realization rates for the evaluated deemed ESPI lighting measures along with the aggregate ex ante and ex post lifecycle net MWh and MW savings.

TABLE 7-12: PG&E LIFECYCLE NET MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 FSPI Measure	Measure Type	Life Cyc	le Net MWh Sav	Life Cycle Net MW Savings			
2017 ESFT Meusore		Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR
	Downlight	10,123.9	17,623.6	174%	2.1	3.3	158%
LED Fixture	High/Non-Highbay	235,637.8	150,014.3	64%	58.6	39.8	68%
	A-Lamps	52,737.0	33,787.7	64%	10.3	5.7	55%
LED Lamp	Specialty Lamps	3,002.1	3,002.1	100%	0.6	0.6	100%
LED Reflector Lamp	MR-16	4,817.9	6,042.1	125%	1.0	1.3	120%
	Reflectors	65,175.4	52,354.8	80%	15.9	12.2	77%
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	199,323.1	158,279.7	79%	-	-	-



2017 ESPI Monsuro	Measure Type	Life Cycl	e Net MWh Sav	/ings	Life Cycle Net MW Savings			
2017 ESFI Meusore		Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	3,643.7	4,645.9	128%	0.8	0.9	117%	
	High/Non-Highbay	16,844.1	19,443.5	115%	5.7	6.6	116%	
	A-Lamps	20,713.1	17,354.2	84%	4.3	2.9	67%	
	Specialty Lamps	4,365.5	4,365.5	100%	1.1	1.1	100%	
LED Deflector Lemm	MR-16	3,942.8	5,155.4	131%	1.0	1.3	129%	
LED Reflector Lamp	Reflectors	34,241.1	31,392.0	92%	8.5	7.1	84%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	121,661.3	96,395.5	79%	-	-	-	

TABLE 7-13: SCE LIFECYCLE NET MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

TABLE 7-14: SDG&E LIFECYCLE NET MWH AND MW REALIZATION RATES FOR EVALUATED MEASURES

2017 FSPI Measure	Manauna Tuna	Life Cycl	e Net MWh Sav	/ings	Life Cycle Net MW Savings			
2017 ESPI Measure	Measure Type	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	Ex Ante	Ex Post	NRR	
LED Fixture	Downlight	2,630.8	1,996.5	76%	0.7	0.3	51%	
	High/Non-Highbay	31,073.3	30,146.9	97%	7.4	7.0	95%	
	A-Lamps	10,112.3	8,823.8	87%	2.2	1.4	64%	
	Specialty Lamps	4,589.7	4,589.7	100%	0.9	0.9	100%	
LED Reflector Lamp	MR-16	1,688.2	2,153.7	128%	0.4	0.5	128%	
	Reflectors	15,781.8	13,456.5	85%	3.6	2.8	79%	
Outdoor LED Fixture	Non-Street Light	6,629.2	8,730.4	132%	-	-	-	

8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the report provides conclusions and recommendations related to the findings that were developed from this evaluation.

Conclusion 1a [Section 5]: The evaluation team found the ex post operating hours for certain measure types (like downlighting) were significantly higher than ex ante assumptions. While there were measurable differences between ex ante and ex post operating hours for each technology type, downlight kits were generally installed in high usage areas like lobbies and hallways that can operate at or near to 8,760 hours and the differences between ex ante and ex post were quite dramatic.

Conclusion 1b [Section 3]: Many sampled nonresidential facilities were on energy management systems (EMS) and LED technologies are exhibiting more sophisticated dimming and communication capabilities. The evaluation team verified a greater percentage of nonresidential sites that operated on EMS schedules compared to prior evaluations. The operation of these schedules along with the advanced dimming capabilities associated with retrofit equipment can have a significant impact on the load profiles for these sites and measures.

Recommendation 1: Based on the above two conclusions, future evaluations should consider conducting a large-scale monitoring study, especially for technologies like LED downlights and reflector lamps installed in high usage areas. The annual operation of these technologies can have potentially significant impacts on realized energy and demand savings moving forward. Furthermore, the presence of EMS and advanced dimming capabilities, along with the fact that these technologies are generally recessed into the ceiling, suggest that monitoring studies should consider alternative monitoring techniques (like panel metering and other connected devices) to augment traditional photocell logging techniques. The study should be conducted by technology and building type to capture differences across building type within a given technology.

Conclusion 2 [Section 5]: The average replaced wattages for screw-in LED A-lamps continue to decrease relative to prior evaluations. More research is needed for reflector and downlight measures. The evaluation team continued to verify the increased percentage of lower wattage CFLs in the baseline for A-lamp technologies. Again, these data represented a sample of program participants from 2014-2015, so it's feasible that, in the subsequent two program years, that distribution has increased. Furthermore, it's possible that like-for-like replacement of earlier generation LED technologies may further reduce savings impacts. Reflector lamps and downlighting, however, continue to have a significant share of halogen in the baseline.

Recommendation 2: While ex ante savings claims move away from a dependence on lamp wattages and continue moving toward savings based on EISA wattages and lamp efficacy, future evaluations



should continue to track and verify (where possible) the replaced/baseline wattage of all LED measure installations to determine, for LED A-Lamps, if the percentage of CFLs/LEDs in the baseline continues to grow, and for reflector lamps and downlighting, if there are any significant changes in the distribution of baseline technologies moving forward.

Conclusion 3 [Appendix D]: A not insignificant percentage of program participants installing LED fixture measures self-reported metal halide (MH), mercury vapor (MV) and high-pressure sodium (HPS) as the baseline technology replaced as part of the retrofit – especially for outdoor LED fixture measures.

Recommendation 3: Further research should be conducted to continue to track the typical baseline and efficiency of equipment replaced with program rebated LED indoor and outdoor technologies.

Conclusion 4 [Appendix D]: A significant percentage of program participants installing LED fixtures self-reported the condition of the pre-existing equipment in NOT poor condition and/or that the program influenced them to retrofit the equipment prior to the burn-out or failure of the existing equipment.

Recommendation 4: Future studies and programs should consider a framework to recognize the age of the existing equipment and the likelihood that a program participant would have either 1) deferred installation and maintained or continually repaired their existing system or 2) installed equipment that was no more efficient than code at the time they did, in the absence of the program.

Conclusion 5 [Over-arching]: When comparing ex ante parameter estimates to ex post results, not all documentation could be found detailing the specific parameters comprised of the ex ante claimed savings values. This caused unnecessary coordination with the PAs to find missing workpapers.

Recommendation 5: All workpaper documentation (workbook calculations and supporting documents) should be posted on the workpaper project archive (WPA) at <u>www.deeresources.info</u>.

Conclusion 6 [Over-arching]: The evaluation team sometimes found that the expected parameter values used in the ex ante savings claims were not based on the reported ex ante IDs.

Recommendation 6: Ex ante IDs should match with parameters used in the *actual* reported ex ante savings.

Conclusion 7 [Over-arching]: The evaluation team found a significant percentage of claims and associated energy/demand savings used the "COM" building type designation in PG&E.

Recommendation 7: For ex ante HOU and CDF, the "COM" building type should be avoided and only used when necessary.

APPENDIX AA STANDARDIZED HIGH LEVEL SAVINGS



Gross Lifecycle Savings (MWh)

		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		% Ex-Ante Gross Pass	Eval
PA	Standard Report Group	Gross	Gross	GRR	Through	GRR
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	355,293	366,830	1.03	95.6%	1.74
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	80,758	51,682	0.64	5.7%	0.62
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	94,804	78,406	0.83	0.0%	0.83
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	305,240	305,240	1.00	100.0%	
PGE	Total	836,095	802,159	0.96	77.7%	0.82
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	236,818	238,358	1.01	97.6%	1.28
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	31,761	26,682	0.84	21.1%	0.80
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	45,541	43,825	0.96	0.0%	0.96
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	160,286	160,286	1.00	100.0%	
SCE	Total	474,406	469,151	0.99	83.9%	0.93
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	57,419	56,537	0.98	94.1%	0.74
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	19,156	17,117	0.89	36.9%	0.83
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	21,733	19,487	0.90	0.0%	0.90
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	10,199	10,199	1.00	100.0%	
SDGE	Total	108,507	103,340	0.95	65.7%	0.86
	Statewide	1,419,008	1,374,650	0.97	78.9%	0.85



Net Lifecycle Savings (MWh)

					% Ex-Ante			Eval	Eval
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Net Pass	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Net	Net	NRR	Through	NTG	NTG	NTG	NTG
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	245,762	167,638	0.68	4.1%	0.69	0.46	0.69	0.44
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	55,739	36,790	0.66	100.0%	0.69	0.71		
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	69,993	58,397	0.83	100.0%	0.74	0.74		
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	199,323	158,280	0.79	0.0%	0.65	0.52	0.65	0.52
PGE	Total	570,817	421,104	0.74	23.8%	0.68	0.52	0.67	0.48
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	162,914	166,516	1.02	89.7%	0.69	0.70	0.73	0.84
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	25,079	21,720	0.87	100.0%	0.79	0.81		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	38,184	36,547	0.96	100.0%	0.84	0.83		
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	121,661	96,396	0.79	0.0%	0.76	0.60	0.76	0.60
SCE	Total	347,838	321,179	0.92	60.2%	0.73	0.68	0.75	0.63
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	41,259	39,698	0.96	24.7%	0.72	0.70	0.71	0.69
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	14,702	13,413	0.91	100.0%	0.77	0.78		
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	17,470	15,610	0.89	100.0%	0.80	0.80		
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	6,629	8,730	1.32	0.0%	0.65	0.86	0.65	0.86
SDGE	Total	80,060	77,452	0.97	52.9%	0.74	0.75	0.70	0.72
	Statewide	998,716	819,735	0.82	38.8%	0.70	0.60	0.69	0.52



Gross Lifecycle Savings (MW)

					% Ex-Ante	
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Gross Pass	Eval
PA	Standard Report Group	Gross	Gross	GRR	Through	GRR
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	87.3	89.1	1.02	96.3%	1.58
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	15.7	8.8	0.56	5.9%	0.53
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	22.9	18.1	0.79	0.0%	0.79
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0			
PGE	Total	125.9	116.1	0.92	67.5%	0.76
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	71.8	72.0	1.00	98.3%	1.17
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	6.9	5.0	0.73	24.5%	0.64
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11.4	10.2	0.90	0.0%	0.90
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0			
SCE	Total	90.1	87.2	0.97	80.2%	0.84
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	13.7	13.3	0.97	93.6%	0.48
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	4.0	3.0	0.76	35.3%	0.62
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	4.9	4.1	0.85	0.0%	0.85
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0			
SDGE	Total	22.6	20.4	0.90	63.1%	0.74
	Statewide	238.6	223.7	0.94	71.9%	0.78



Net Lifecycle Savings (MW)

					% Ex-Ante			Eval	Eval
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Net Pass	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Net	Net	NRR	Through	NTG	NTG	NTG	NTG
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	60.7	43.1	0.71	3.5%	0.70	0.48	0.70	0.47
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10.9	6.3	0.58	100.0%	0.69	0.71		
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	16.9	13.5	0.80	100.0%	0.74	0.74		
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0						
PGE	Total	88.5	62.9	0.71	33.8%	0.70	0.54	0.70	0.47
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	49.4	50.5	1.02	88.5%	0.69	0.70	0.73	0.84
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	5.4	4.0	0.74	100.0%	0.78	0.79		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	9.5	8.4	0.89	100.0%	0.83	0.83		
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0						
SCE	Total	64.3	62.9	0.98	91.2%	0.71	0.72	0.73	0.84
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	9.9	9.2	0.93	25.4%	0.72	0.69	0.71	0.68
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	3.1	2.3	0.75	100.0%	0.77	0.76		
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	3.9	3.3	0.83	100.0%	0.81	0.80		
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0						
SDGE	Total	16.9	14.8	0.87	56.4%	0.75	0.72	0.71	0.68
	Statewide	169.7	140.6	0.83	57.8%	0.71	0.63	0.70	0.52



Gross Lifecycle Savings (MTherms)

					% Ex-Ante	
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Gross Pass	Eval
PA	Standard Report Group	Gross	Gross	GRR	Through	GRR
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-2,400	-2,452	1.02	96.0%	1.54
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-420	-272	0.65	6.7%	0.62
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-428	-355	0.83	0.0%	0.83
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0			
PGE	Total	-3,248	-3,079	0.95	71.8%	0.82
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-637	-640	1.00	98.3%	1.25
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-76	-65	0.85	20.7%	0.81
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-102	-97	0.95	0.0%	0.95
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0			
SCE	Total	-815	-802	0.98	78.7%	0.92
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-608	-605	1.00	98.3%	0.78
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-64	-58	0.91	36.9%	0.85
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-66	-59	0.88	0.0%	0.88
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0			
SDGE	Total	-738	-722	0.98	84.2%	0.86
	Statewide	-4,800	-4,602	0.96	74.9%	0.84



Net Lifecycle Savings (MTherms)

					% Ex-Ante			Eval	Eval
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Net Pass	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Net	Net	NRR	Through	NTG	NTG	NTG	NTG
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-1,659	-1,113	0.67	3.8%	0.69	0.45	0.69	0.44
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-291	-195	0.67	100.0%	0.69	0.72		
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-326	-272	0.84	100.0%	0.76	0.77		
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0						
PGE	Total	-2,276	-1,581	0.69	29.8%	0.70	0.51	0.69	0.44
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-442	-447	1.01	94.6%	0.69	0.70	0.74	0.84
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-61	-53	0.88	100.0%	0.80	0.82		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-86	-81	0.95	100.0%	0.84	0.84		
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0						
SCE	Total	-589	-581	0.99	96.0%	0.72	0.73	0.74	0.84
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-452	-422	0.94	18.2%	0.74	0.70	0.74	0.69
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-49	-45	0.93	100.0%	0.77	0.79		
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-53	-47	0.88	100.0%	0.80	0.80		
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0						
SDGE	Total	-553	-514	0.93	33.2%	0.75	0.71	0.74	0.69
	Statewide	-3,418	-2,676	0.78	41.8%	0.71	0.58	0.70	0.49



Gross First Year Savings (MWh)

		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		% Ex-Ante Gross Pass	Eval
PA	Standard Report Group	Gross	Gross	GRR	Through	GRR
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	30,150	31,135	1.03	95.7%	1.76
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	8,219	5,832	0.71	8.2%	0.68
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	10,466	9,868	0.94	0.0%	0.94
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	25,437	25,437	1.00	100.0%	
PGE	Total	74,271	72,271	0.97	74.0%	0.90
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	28,225	28,828	1.02	97.8%	1.99
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	3,288	2,954	0.90	24.6%	0.87
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	4,991	5,806	1.16	0.0%	1.16
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	13,357	13,357	1.00	100.0%	
SCE	Total	49,862	50,945	1.02	83.8%	1.13
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	7,989	8,057	1.01	95.9%	1.21
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	2,748	2,575	0.94	44.3%	0.89
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	2,967	3,077	1.04	0.0%	1.04
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	850	850	1.00	100.0%	
SDGE	Total	14,555	14,559	1.00	66.8%	1.00
	Statewide	138,688	137,775	0.99	76.8%	0.97



Net First Year Savings (MWh)

					% Ex-Ante			Eval	Eval
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Net Pass	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Net	Net	NRR	Through	NTG	NTG	NTG	NTG
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	20,577	14,225	0.69	4.1%	0.68	0.46	0.68	0.44
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	5,678	4,147	0.73	100.0%	0.69	0.71		
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	7,753	7,379	0.95	100.0%	0.74	0.75		
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	16,610	13,190	0.79	0.0%	0.65	0.52	0.65	0.52
PGE	Total	50,618	38,942	0.77	28.2%	0.68	0.54	0.67	0.48
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	20,084	20,864	1.04	85.9%	0.71	0.72	0.74	0.84
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	2,574	2,391	0.93	100.0%	0.78	0.81		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	4,187	4,858	1.16	100.0%	0.84	0.84		
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	10,138	8,033	0.79	0.0%	0.76	0.60	0.76	0.60
SCE	Total	36,983	36,146	0.98	64.9%	0.74	0.71	0.75	0.65
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	5,840	5,683	0.97	30.2%	0.73	0.71	0.72	0.69
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	2,049	1,966	0.96	100.0%	0.75	0.76		
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	2,332	2,436	1.04	100.0%	0.79	0.79		
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	552	728	1.32	0.0%	0.65	0.86	0.65	0.86
SDGE	Total	10,773	10,813	1.00	57.0%	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71
	Statewide	98,373	85,901	0.87	45.2%	0.71	0.62	0.69	0.54



Gross First Year Savings (MW)

					% Ex-Ante	
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Gross Pass	Eval
PA	Standard Report Group	Gross	Gross	GRR	Through	GRR
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	7.2	7.4	1.02	96.2%	1.59
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1.6	1.0	0.62	8.3%	0.59
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	2.5	2.3	0.90	0.0%	0.90
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0			
PGE	Total	11.4	10.7	0.94	62.1%	0.83
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	8.5	8.6	1.01	98.4%	1.72
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0.7	0.5	0.78	27.3%	0.69
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1.2	1.3	1.10	0.0%	1.10
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0			
SCE	Total	10.4	10.5	1.01	82.1%	1.03
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1.9	1.9	0.99	95.7%	0.74
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0.6	0.5	0.83	42.7%	0.70
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0.6	0.7	1.02	0.0%	1.02
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0			
SDGE	Total	3.1	3.0	0.97	66.5%	0.90
	Statewide	24.9	24.1	0.97	71.0%	0.89



Net First Year Savings (MW)

					% Ex-Ante			Eval	Eval
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Net Pass	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Net	Net	NRR	Through	NTG	NTG	NTG	NTG
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	4.9	3.6	0.72	3.6%	0.69	0.48	0.69	0.47
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1.1	0.7	0.64	100.0%	0.69	0.71		
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1.9	1.7	0.90	100.0%	0.74	0.75		
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0						
PGE	Total	7.9	6.0	0.75	40.1%	0.70	0.56	0.69	0.47
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	6.0	6.2	1.03	84.4%	0.71	0.73	0.74	0.84
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0.5	0.4	0.79	100.0%	0.77	0.79		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1.0	1.1	1.10	100.0%	0.83	0.83		
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0						
SCE	Total	7.6	7.8	1.02	87.6%	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.84
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1.4	1.3	0.94	30.6%	0.73	0.70	0.72	0.68
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0.4	0.3	0.83	100.0%	0.75	0.75		
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0.5	0.5	1.01	100.0%	0.80	0.79		
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0.0	0.0						
SDGE	Total	2.3	2.2	0.94	58.3%	0.75	0.73	0.72	0.68
	Statewide	17.9	15.9	0.89	62.7%	0.72	0.66	0.70	0.55



Gross First Year Savings (MTherms)

					% Ex-Ante	
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Gross Pass	Eval
PA	Standard Report Group	Gross	Gross	GRR	Through	GRR
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-216	-221	1.02	96.3%	1.56
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-46	-32	0.71	9.6%	0.68
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-51	-48	0.96	0.0%	0.96
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0			
PGE	Total	-313	-302	0.96	68.1%	0.89
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-79	-80	1.02	98.3%	1.87
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-9	-9	0.90	26.1%	0.87
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-13	-15	1.11	0.0%	1.11
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0			
SCE	Total	-102	-104	1.02	78.8%	1.08
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-106	-106	1.00	99.1%	1.34
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-11	-10	0.93	42.9%	0.88
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-10	-10	0.96	0.0%	0.96
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0			
SDGE	Total	-127	-126	0.99	86.4%	0.95
	Statewide	-541	-531	0.98	74.4%	0.93



Net First Year Savings (MTherms)

					% Ex-Ante			Eval	Eval
		Ex-Ante	Ex-Post		Net Pass	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Net	Net	NRR	Through	NTG	NTG	NTG	NTG
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-147	-100	0.68	3.5%	0.68	0.45	0.68	0.44
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-32	-23	0.73	100.0%	0.69	0.71		
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-38	-37	0.97	100.0%	0.76	0.77		
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0						
PGE	Total	-218	-160	0.74	34.6%	0.70	0.53	0.68	0.44
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-57	-58	1.02	93.1%	0.72	0.72	0.75	0.84
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-7	-7	0.93	100.0%	0.79	0.81		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-11	-12	1.11	100.0%	0.84	0.84		
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0						
SCE	Total	-75	-77	1.03	94.8%	0.74	0.75	0.75	0.84
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	-79	-74	0.94	19.6%	0.75	0.70	0.75	0.69
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	-8	-8	0.95	100.0%	0.75	0.77		
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	-8	-8	0.96	100.0%	0.78	0.78		
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0						
SDGE	Total	-95	-90	0.94	33.1%	0.75	0.71	0.75	0.69
	Statewide	-388	-327	0.84	45.9%	0.72	0.62	0.70	0.52

APPENDIX AB STANDARDIZED PER UNIT SAVINGS



Per Unit (Quantity) Gross Energy Savings (kWh)

		Pass	% ER	% ER	Average	Ex-Post	Ex-Post	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Through	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	EUL (yr)	Lifecycle	First Year	Annualized
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.1	874.3	73.7	73.7
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	9.5	221.9	24.3	24.3
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.3	563.7	70.9	70.9
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		15.0	483.5	41.1	41.1
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		7.6	295.1	43.1	43.1
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	4,542.5	378.5	378.5
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.6	501.3	85.1	85.1
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	10.0	190.8	20.5	20.5
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.2	569.3	75.4	75.4
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		5.6	349.2	41.7	41.7
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		9.6	339.9	40.9	40.9
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	12,096.1	1,008.0	1,008.0
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.6	588.9	91.9	91.9
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.3	269.2	36.4	36.4
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.0	653.4	103.2	103.2
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	88.5%		14.7	326.4	46.3	23.8
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		6.9	383.4	66.1	66.1
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	2,328.5	194.0	194.0



Per Unit (Quantity) Gross Energy Savings (Therms)

		Pass	% ER	% ER	Average	Ex-Post	Ex-Post	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Through	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	EUL (yr)	Lifecycle	First Year	Annualized
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.1	-4.8	-0.4	-0.4
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	9.5	-1.2	-0.1	-0.1
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.3	-2.6	-0.3	-0.3
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		15.0	-3.3	-0.3	-0.3
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		7.6	-1.8	-0.3	-0.3
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.6	-1.0	-0.2	-0.2
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	10.0	-0.5	-0.1	-0.1
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.2	-1.3	-0.2	-0.2
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		5.6	-0.9	-0.1	-0.1
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		9.6	-0.8	-0.1	-0.1
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.6	-1.9	-0.3	-0.3
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.3	-0.9	-0.1	-0.1
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.0	-2.0	-0.3	-0.3
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	88.5%		14.7	-3.6	-0.6	-0.2
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		6.9	-1.3	-0.2	-0.2
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



Per Unit (Quantity) Net Energy Savings (kWh)

		Pass	% ER	% ER	Average	Ex-Post	Ex-Post	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Through	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	EUL (yr)	Lifecycle	First Year	Annualized
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	15.0	213.5	18.1	18.1
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.0	2,355.5	196.3	196.3
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.1	568.4	47.9	47.9
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		9.3	161.5	18.2	18.2
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1	0.0%		8.3	419.8	53.1	53.1
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.1	119.5	19.8	19.8
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.0	7,400.8	616.7	616.7
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		5.7	286.4	34.3	34.3
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		9.9	174.6	19.2	19.2
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1	0.0%		8.2	474.7	63.1	63.1
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	83.0%	83.0%	14.6	269.5	34.6	20.0
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.0	1,993.2	166.1	166.1
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	92.6%		14.4	165.0	31.2	13.8
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		7.9	240.5	35.3	35.3
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1	0.0%		7.0	523.4	81.7	81.7



Per Unit (Quantity) Net Energy Savings (Therms)

		Pass	% ER	% ER	Average	Ex-Post	Ex-Post	Ex-Post
PA	Standard Report Group	Through	Ex-Ante	Ex-Post	EUL (yr)	Lifecycle	First Year	Annualized
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	15.0	-1.4	-0.1	-0.1
PGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.1	-3.1	-0.3	-0.3
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		9.3	-0.9	-0.1	-0.1
PGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1	0.0%		8.3	-2.0	-0.3	-0.3
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		5.7	-0.8	-0.1	-0.1
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		9.9	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1	0.0%		8.2	-1.1	-0.2	-0.2
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	0.0%		12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	83.0%	83.0%	14.6	-3.1	-0.5	-0.2
SDGE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1	92.6%		14.4	-1.4	-0.3	-0.1
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	1	0.0%		7.9	-0.8	-0.1	-0.1
SDGE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	1	0.0%		7.0	-1.6	-0.3	-0.3

APPENDIX AC RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS

EM&V Impact Study Recommendations Study Title: 2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation Study Manager: CPUC

ID		Section	Conclusion	Recommendation	Disposition (Accepted, Rejected, or Other)	Disposition Notes (e.g. Description of specific program change or Reason for rejection or Under further review)
1a	CPUC	5	Overall, ex post operating hours for LED downlight measures were dramatically different than ex ante claims.	Based on these two conclusions, future evaluations should consider conducting a large-scale monitoring study, especially for technologies like LED downlights and reflector lamps installed in high usage areas. The annual operation of		
1b	CPUC	3	A number of sampled nonresidential facilities were on energy management systems (EMS) and many of the measure installations represented dimmable technologies.	these technologies can have potentially significant impacts on realized energy and demand savings moving forward. Furthermore, the presence of EMS and advanced dimming capabilities, along with the fact that these technologies are generally recessed into the ceiling, suggest that monitoring studies should consider alternative monitoring techniques (like panel metering and other connected devices) to augment traditional photocell logging techniques. The study should be conducted by technology and building type to capture differences across building type within a given technology.		
2	CPUC	5	The average replaced wattages for screw-in LED A-Lamps continue to decrease relative to prior evaluations, and this is likely true for other reflector/downlight measures.	While ex ante savings claims move away from a dependence on lamp wattages and continue moving toward savings based on EISA wattages and lamp efficacy, future evaluations should continue to track and verify (where possible) the replaced/baseline wattage of all LED measure installations to determine, for LED A-Lamps, if the percentage of CFLs/LEDs in the baseline continues to grow, and for reflector lamps and downlighting, if there are any significant changes in the distribution of baseline technologies moving forward.		



ID		Section	Conclusion	Recommendation	Disposition (Accepted, Rejected, or Other)	Disposition Notes (e.g. Description of specific program change or Reason for rejection or Under further review)
3	CPUC	Appendix D	A not insignificant percentage of program participants installing LED fixture measures self-reported metal halide (MH), mercury vapor (MV) and high-pressure sodium (HPS) as the baseline technology replaced as part of the retrofit – especially for outdoor LED fixture measures.	Further research should be conducted to continue to track the typical baseline and efficiency of equipment replaced with program rebated LED indoor and outdoor technologies.		
4	CPUC	Appendix D	A significant percentage of program participants installing LED fixtures self-reported the condition of the pre-existing equipment in NOT poor condition and/or that the program influenced them to retrofit the equipment prior to the burn-out or failure of the existing equipment.	Future studies and programs should consider a framework to recognize the age of the existing equipment and the likelihood that a program participant would have either 1) deferred installation and maintained or continually repaired their existing system or 2) installed equipment that was no more efficient than code at the time they did, in the absence of the program.		
5	PG&E, SCE, SDG&E	Over- arching	When comparing ex ante parameter estimates to ex post results, not all documentation could be found detailing the specific parameters comprised of the ex ante claimed savings values. This caused unnecessary coordination with the PAs to find missing workpapers.	All workpaper documentation (workbook calculations and supporting documents) should be posted on the workpaper project archive (WPA) at <u>www.deeresources.info</u> .		


ID		Section	Conclusion	Recommendation	Disposition (Accepted, Rejected, or Other)	Disposition Notes (e.g. Description of specific program change or Reason for rejection or Under further review)
6	PG&E, SCE, SDG&E	Over- arching	The evaluation team sometimes found that the expected parameter values used in the ex ante savings claims were not based on the reported ex ante IDs.	Ex ante IDs should match with parameters used in the <i>actual</i> reported ex ante savings.		
7	PG&E	Over- arching	The evaluation team found a significant percentage of claims and associated energy/demand savings used the "COM" building type designation in PG&E.	For ex ante HOU and CDF, the "COM" building type should be avoided and only used when necessary.		

APPENDIX A PHONE SURVEY INSTRUMENT

Participant Survey for CPUC PY2017 Downstream Lighting Evaluation

INTRODUCTION AND FINDING CORRECT RESPONDENT

OUTCOME1	This is %n calling on behalf of the CPUC, from PACIFIC MARKET RESEARCH. THIS IS NOT A SALES CALL NOR A SERVICE CALL. May I please speak with <%CONTACT><%OLDCONTACT> <%BUSINESS> the person at your organization that is most knowledgeable about your participation in <%UTILITY>'s <%PROGRAM> program. ![IF NEEDED]This is a fact- finding survey only, authorized by the California Public Utilities Commission.	
1	Yes (go to next screen)	Continue
2	Make appointment	Make appt and record time
3	Busy/engaged	Record Response and T&T
4	No Answer	Record Response and T&T
5	Refused	Record Response and T&T
6	Disconnected	Record Response and T&T
7	Answering Machine - no message	Record Response and T&T
8	Duplicate	Record Response and T&T
9	DRNA	Record Response and T&T
10	Disability	Record Response and T&T
11-12	Language Barriers	Record Response and T&T
13	Answering Machine - left message	Record Response and T&T
14	NO SCREEN - Participant	Record Response and T&T
15	Hang up	Record Response and T&T
16	Residence	Record Response and T&T
17	Fax	Record Response and T&T
18	Quota full	Record Response and T&T
19	Wrong Address	Record Response and T&T
20	Home office	Record Response and T&T
21	Max attempts	Record Response and T&T
24	General callback	Record Response and T&T
25	Name/Number changed	Record Response and T&T

Thank &	Thenk you for your time. For this study, we need to speak to someone	
Terminate	mank you for your time. For this study, we need to speak to someone	
	about your organization's installation of energy efficient equipment that	END
PBLOCK	your organization installed through <%/ITHITY>'s <%PROGRAM> program	
NO ONE		



Q1B	 [IF YOU ARE TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER PERSON OTHER THAN THE BEST CONTACT] Who would be the person most familiar about your organization's participation in <%UTILITY>'S <%PROGRAM> program? [ENTER NEW CONTACT NAME AND MOVE ON] [IF NEEDED] This is not a sales call. [IF NEEDED] This is a fact-finding survey only, and responses will not be connected with your firm in any way. The California Public Utilities Commission wants to better understand how businesses think about and manage their energy consumption 	
77	There is no one here who can help you	
1	Continue Q1B until you find appropriate contact person, record as &NEW CONTACT NAME	
	[IF BEST CONTACT IS AVAILABLE] Hello, my name is%n and I am calling on behalf of	

the California Public Utilities Commission from PACIFIC MARKET RESEARCH. THIS IS NOT A SALES CALL. We are interested in speaking with the person most knowledgeable about your organization's participation in ... <%UTILITY>'s Intro3:S <%PROGRAM> program during 2017...I was told that would be you. ...Your organization participated in <%UTILITY>'s <%PROGRAM> by installing lighting equipment in 2017. [Small Commercial/HVAC/ERS only no Lighting] You should have received an email recently that explained the evaluation process and provided a letter from the CPUC validating this study. Through this program, your organization installed.... <%CUSTOM MEASURE> on <CUST INSTALL DATE>...<CUST PAID DATE>... <%UNITS 1> ... <%MEASURE 1> on <MEASURE 1 DATE> <%UNITS 2> ... <%MEASURE 2> on <MEASURE 2 DATE> <%UNITS_3> ... <%MEASURE_3> on <MEASURE_3_DATE> Are you the best person to speak to about your organization's participation in this program? 1 Yes

2	No, there is someone else	Intro3:s
3	No and I don't know who to refer you to	Appoint
5	Property management company handles this	PMNAME
99	Don't know/refused	T&T

Ext	Is there a phone extension or phone number you recommend we use when we call back?	
77	Record Extension or Phone Number, & PHONE	Thank&Terminate
88	Refused	Thank&Terminate
99	Don't know	Thank&Terminate

T&T Intro3:s

Person:s



PMNAME	company?	
1	Yes - RECORD	Record Response and T&T
2	No	Thank&Terminate
88	Refused	Thank&Terminate
99	Don't Know	Thank&Terminate

the name and contact information of your property manage

[IF RECOMMENDED CONTACT IS NOT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE] Appoint When would be a good day and time for us to call back?

77	Record day of the week, time of day and date to call back, as & APPOINT	Record Response and T&T
88	Refused	Intro3(99)
99	Don't know	Intro3(99)

	If Person(3)	
Intro3(99)	Thank you for your time. We need to speak with the person at your organization that is most familiar with this facility's energy using equipment. Those are all of the questions I have for you today.	Abandoned User30

Who would be the person at this location who is most knowledgeable about this PBLOCK Hi facility's energy using equipment? [Enter New Contact Name and move on.]

77	Record Name, as &CONTACT	May_l
88	Refused	Thank&Terminate
99	Don't know	Intro3(99)

May_I May I speak with him/her?

77 16	es	Intro3:s
88 No	lo (not available right now@, set cb)	Abandoned Appointment

According to our records, your organization participated in <%UTILITY>'s <%PROGRAM> program by installing energy saving equipment around ... <%DEEM_PAID_DATE1> <%CUST_PAID_DATE> Through this program, your organization installed.... <%CUSTOM_MEASURE> on <CUST_INSTALL_DATE>...<CUST_PAID_DATE>... PERSON:s <%UNITS_1> ... <%MEASURE_1> on <MEASURE_1_DATE> <%UNITS_2> ... <%MEASURE_2> on <MEASURE_2_DATE> <%UNITS_3> ... <%MEASURE_3> on <MEASURE_3_DATE> Are you the person most knowledgeable about your organization's participation in ...<%UTILITY>'s <%PROGRAM> Program?

1	1 Yes	
2 Yes, need to make appointment		Appoint
4	No, but I will give you a name	Thank&Terminate
99	No one knows about the energy using equipment	Thank&Terminate



If you need to provide validation for this survey, provide the following contact

name and number: XXX and the following website:

www.cpuc.ca.gov/eevalidation

Before we start, I would like to inform you that for quality control purposes, this call may be monitored by my supervisor.

Today we're conducting a very important study on the energy needs and perceptions of organizations like yours. We are interested in how organizations like yours think about and manage their energy consumption.

DISPLAY

Your input will allow the California Public Utilities Commission to build and maintain better energy savings programs for customers like you. And we would like to remind you, your responses will not be connected with your organization in any way.

SCREENER

VERIFY	For verification purposes only, may I please have your name?	
77	Get name	Scrn_Addr
88	Refused	Scrn_Addr
99	Don't know	Scrn_Addr
DISPLAY	For the sake of expediency, I will refer to<%UTILITY>'s <%PROGRAM> program as the PROGRAM.	
Scrn_Addr	First, I'd like to ask you a few questions about your organization and facility. Our records show your organization is located at %ADDRESS in %CITY. Is that correct?	
	[CONTINUE IF ADDRESS REPORTED BY RESPONDENT IS SIMILAR ENOUGH]	
1	Yes	Bus_Name
2	No	CORRECT
88	Refused	COMMENT
99	Don't Know	COMMENT
COMMENT	We were attempting to reach <%UTILITY>'s customer at <%ADDRESS> and since you cannot confirm this address, those are all the questions that we have for you today, on behalf of the California Public Utilities Commission, thank you for your time.	
CORRECT	May I have your correct address?	
%CORRECT	Corrected Address	COMPARE



COMPARE Are these addresses similar or totally different? Computer Address - %ADDRESS Corrected Address - &CORRECT

1	Similar	Bus_Name
2	Totally Different	COMMENT2

COMMENT2	We were attempting to reach the <%UTILITY> customer at <%ADDRESS> in <%CITY> and since that does not match your address, then we must have mis- dialed the telephone number. Those are all the questions that we have for you today, on behalf of the California Public Utilities Commission. Thank you for your time and cooperation.	Thank and Terminate
----------	--	------------------------

BUS_NAME Our records show your organization's name as: <%BUSINESS> <%CONTACT> <%OLDCONTACT>. Is that correct?

1	Yes	INCENT
2	No	Bus_Correct
88	Refused	COMMENT
99	Don't Know	COMMENT

BUS_CORRECT What is the correct name for your organization?

What percentage of the cost of your rebated equipment was covered by the

	program?	
77	RECORD RESPONSE	A1gg
101	REFUSED	FM050
102	DON'T KNOW	<mark>A1gg</mark>

IF INCENT <> 100 then ask; Else skip to FM050

What incentive amount did your organization receive from the program towards **A1gg** your energy efficient equipment installation?

77	RECORD VERBATIM	FM050
88	Refused	FM050
99999	Don't know	FM050



FM050	RESPONSE)	
1	Offices (non-medical)	FM050a
2	Restaurant/Food Service	FM050b
3	Food Store (grocery/liquor/convenience)	FM050c
4	Agricultural (farms, greenhouses)	FM050d
5	Retail Stores	FM050e
6	Warehouse	FM050f
7	Health Care	FM050g
8	Education	FM050h
9	Lodging (hotel/rooms)	FM050i
10	Public Assembly (church, fitness, theatre, library, museum, convention)	FM050j
11	Services (hair, nail, massage, spa, gas, repair)	FM050k
12	Industrial (food processing plant, manufacturing)	FM050l
13	Laundry (Coin Operated, Commercial Laundry Facility, Dry Cleaner)	FM050m
14	Condo Assoc./Apartment Mgr (Garden Style, Mobile Home Park, High-rise, Townhouse)	FM050n
15	Public Service (fire/police/postal/military)	FM050o
77	OPEN\Record Other Service Shop	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

What is the main business ACTIVITY at this facility? [DO NOT READ] (SINGLE

FM050a Which of the following types of offices best describes this facility? Would you say...[READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Administration and management	LANG
2	Financial/Legal	LANG
3	Insurance/Real Estate	LANG
4	Data Processing/Computer Center	LANG
5	Mixed-Use/Multi-tenant	LANG
6	Lab/R&D Facility	LANG
7	Software Development	LANG
8	Government Services	LANG
9	Office with Warehouse	LANG
10	Contractor's Offices	LANG
11	Telecommunications Center (call center)	LANG
12	Travel Services (Travel Agent)	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG



FM050b Which of the following types of restaurants or food service best describes this facility? Would you say [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)		
1	Fast Food or Self Service	LANG
2	Specialty/Novelty Food Service	LANG
3	Table Service	LANG
4	Bar/Tavern/Nightclub/Brew Pub or Microbrewery/Other entertainment	LANG
5	Caterer	LANG
6	Other Food Service	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

Which of the following types of food stores best describes this facility? Would you FM050c say...[READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Supermarkets	LANG
2	Small General Grocery	LANG
3	Specialty/Ethnic Grocery/Deli	LANG
4	Convenience Store	LANG
5	Liquor Store	LANG
6	Retail Bakery	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

FM050d What type of agricultural facility is this? [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Commercial Greenhouse	LANG
2	Commercial Farm	LANG
3	Dairy/Ranch	LANG
4	Vineyard/Orchard	LANG
5	Agricultural Storage (Grain Elevators, etc.)	LANG
6	Equine Facility (Horse Boarding/Grooming/Racing/Breeding)	LANG
77	OPEN\Describe type of agricultural facility	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG



FM050e	say [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Department/Variety Store	LANG
2	Retail Warehouse/Club	LANG
3	Shop in Enclosed Mall	LANG
4	Shop in Strip Mall	LANG
5	Auto/Truck/Motorcycle Sales	LANG
6	Art Gallery	LANG
7	Auction House	LANG
8	Heavy Equipment Sales	LANG
9	Facility is a Mall/Strip Mall	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

Which of the following types of retail stores hest describes this facility? Would you

Which of the following types of warehouses best describes this facility? Would you FM050f say... [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Refrigerated Warehouse	LANG
2	Unconditioned Warehouse, High Bay (lighting higher than 13 ft.)	LANG
3	Unconditioned Warehouse, Low Bay	LANG
4	Conditioned Warehouse, High Bay (lighting higher than 13 ft.)	LANG
5	Conditioned Warehouse, Low Bay	LANG
6	Shipping/Distribution Center	LANG
7	Garage/Parking/Storage for Commercial Fleet	LANG
8	Public Self Storage Facility	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

FM050g

Which of the following types of health care centers best describes this facility? Would you say... [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Hospital	LANG
2	Nursing Home	LANG
3	Medical/Dental Office	LANG
4	Clinic/Outpatient Care	LANG
5	Medical/Dental Lab	LANG
6	Alcohol/Drug Treatment/Rehabilitation	LANG
7	Doctor's Office	LANG
8	Dentist's Office	LANG
9	Veterinary Hospital/Clinic	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG



FM050h	Which of the following types of educational centers best describes this facility? Would you say [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Daycare or Preschool	LANG
2	Elementary School	LANG
3	Middle/Secondary School	LANG
4	College or University	LANG
5	Vocational or Trade School	LANG
6	Instructional Studio (Dance/Music/Martial Arts)	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

Which of the following types of lodging best describes this facility? Would you say... [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE) FM050i

1	Hotel	LANG
2	Motel	LANG
3	Resort	LANG
4	Bed and Breakfast	LANG
5	Campground/Trailer Camping/KOA	LANG
6	Residential Hotel/Motel	LANG
7	Dormitory/Sorority/Fraternity	LANG
8	Activity Camp/Summer Camp	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

Which of the following types of public assembly buildings best describes this FM050j

	facility? Would you say [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Religious Assembly (worship only)	LANG
2	Religious Assembly (mixed use)	LANG
3	Health/Fitness Center/Athletic Center/Gym	LANG
4	Movie Theaters	LANG
5	Theater/Performing Arts Venue	LANG
6	Library/Museum	LANG
7	Conference/Convention Center	LANG
8	Community Center/Activity Center	LANG
9	Country Club	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG



FM050k	Which of the following types of service buildings best describes this facility? Would you say[READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Hair Salon	LANG
2	Nail Salon	LANG
3	Massage Spa	LANG
4	Day Spa	LANG
5	Gas Station/Auto Repair	LANG
6	Gas Station w/Convenience Store	LANG
7	Repair (Non-Auto)	LANG
8	Copy Center/Printing	LANG
9	Package Delivery (Fed Ex/UPS/DHL)	LANG
10	HVAC Repair Installation	LANG
11	Aircraft Maintenance/Repair	LANG
12	Airport	LANG
13	Parking Lot/Commuter Service	LANG
14	Marina	LANG
15	Amusement (mini-golf/go-carts/skating/bowling)	LANG
16	Pet Care/Grooming	LANG
17	Car Rental	LANG
18	Car Wash	LANG
19	Cemetery/Mortuary/Crematorium	LANG
20	Equipment Rental	LANG
21	Fleet Fueling Services	LANG
22	Pest Control	LANG
23	Photographer	LANG
24	Vehicle Inspections	LANG
25	Transportation	LANG
26	Upholstery	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG



FM050I	say[READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Assembly/Light Manufacturing	LANG
2	Food Processing Plant	LANG
3	Recycling Center	LANG
4	Commercial/Industrial Bakery	LANG
5	Commercial Brewery/Winery	LANG
6	Chemical/Petrochemical Production	LANG
7	Industrial Process	LANG
8	Radio/Television/Film/Music Production	LANG
9	Energy Generation/Distribution	LANG
10	Machine Shop	LANG
11	Pharmaceutical Production/Manufacturing	LANG
12	Mail Sorting	LANG
13	Mining	LANG
77	OPEN\DO NOT USE unless necessary	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

Which of the following types of buildings best describes this facility? Would you

FM050m What type of laundry facility is this? [READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Coin Operated	LANG
2	Commercial Laundry Facility	LANG
3	Dry Cleaners	LANG
77	OPEN\Record other building type	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

Which of the following types of buildings best describes this facility? Would you FM050n say...[READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)

1	Garden Style	LANG
2	Mobile Home	LANG
3	High-rise	LANG
4	Townhouse	LANG
5	Condominium	LANG
6	Apartment	LANG
7	Artists' Studio/Live Work/Loft	LANG
8	Assisted Living	LANG
77	OPEN\Record other building type	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG



FM050o	say[READ] (SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Police station	LANG
2	Fire station	LANG
3	Post office	LANG
4	Military	LANG
5	Ambulance Service	LANG
6	Jail/Correctional facility	LANG
7	Courthouse	LANG
8	Library	LANG
9	Water/Waste Water Treatment	LANG
10	General Government (Municipal/State/Federal Agency Buildings)	LANG
11	Public Park	LANG
77	OPEN\Record other building type	LANG
88	Refused	LANG
99	Don't know	LANG

following types of huildings best describes this facility? Would you Which of the

LANG Is another language besides English used to conduct business at this facility?

1	Yes	OTH_LANG
2	No	CC2a
88	Refused	CC2a
99	Don't Know	CC2a

OTH_LANG Which languages are used to conduct business at this facility? [ACCEPT MULTIPLES]

1	Spanish	CC2a
2	Chinese	CC2a
3	Korean	CC2a
4	Vietnamese	CC2a
5	Japanese	CC2a
6	Hindi	CC2a
77	OPEN	CC2a
88	Refused	CC2a
99	Don't know	CC2a



CUSTOMER CHARACTERISTICS

Now, I'd like to ask you questions regarding your facility.

CC2a What is the total square footage at this facili	ty?
--	-----

77	RECORD Square feet	CC2c
888888	Refused	CC3
999999	Don't know	CC3

IF CC2a IN (88, 99)

CC3	Would you say that the floor area is?	
1	less than 1,500 sq. ft.	CC2c
2	1,500 - 5,000 sq. ft.	CC2c
3	5,000 - 10,000 sq. ft.	CC2c
4	10,000 – 25,000 sq. ft.	CC2c
5	25,000 – 50,000 sq. ft.	CC2c
6	50,000 – 75,000 sq. ft.	CC2c
7	75,000 – 100,000 sq. ft.	CC2c
8	over 100,000 sq. ft. (ag area)	CC2c
88	Refused	CC2c
99	Don't know	CC2c

CC2c	Is the entire floor area of this facility heated or cooled?	

1	Yes	CC3a
2	No	CC2d
88	Refused	CO
99	Don't know	CO

CC2d	What percentage of the floor area is heated or cooled?	
77	Percent	CC3a
101	Refused	CO
102	Don't know	CO

If CC2d > 0 or CC2c = 1; else skip to C0

CC3a	is your space heated using electricity of gas or something else?	
1	Electricity	CO
2	Gas	CO
3	Both electricity and gas	CO
4	Propane	CO
77	OPEN\Other-record	CO
88	Refused	CO
99	Don't know	CO

CC3a Is your space heated using electricity or gas or something else?



C0 About what percentage of your operating costs does energy account for?

1	Less than 1 percent	CC4
2	1-2 percent	CC4
3	3-5 percent	CC4
4	6-10 percent	CC4
5	11-15 percent	CC4
6	16-20 percent	CC4
7	21-50 percent	CC4
8	Over 51 percent	CC4
88	Refused	CC4
99	Don't Know	CC4

CC4 Does your organization own, lease, or manage the facility?

1	Own	C5
2	Lease/Rent	C5
3	Manage	C5
88	Refused	C5
99	Don't know	C5

C5 How many locations does your organization have. Is it....

1	This facility only	CC6
2	2 to 4 locations	CC6
3	5 to 10 locations	CC6
4	11 to 25 locations	CC6
5	more than 25 locations	CC6
88	Don't know	CC6
99	Refused	CC6

CC12a In what year was this organization established at this location?

7777	Year	BC090
8888	Refused	CC12b
9999	Don't know	CC12b



If CC12a in (88, 99) then ask; else skip to BC090

CC12b	Would you say it was	
1	After 2010	BC090
2	Between 2006 and 2010	BC090
3	Between 2000 and 2005	BC090
4	In the 1990s	BC090
5	In the 1980s	BC090
6	In the 1970s	BC090
7	In the 1960s or	BC090
8	Before 1960	BC090
88	Don't know	BC090
99	Refused	BC090

ADDITIONAL FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

BC090 Has the square footage of the facility increased, decreased or remained the

	same since January 2016?	
1	Increase in square footage	BC100
2	Decrease in square footage	BC110
3	Stayed the same	V1
88	Refused	V1
99	Don't know	V1

If BC090 = 1 then ask; else skip to BC110

BC100	How many square feet were added?	
77	Square feet	BC120
88	Refused	BC120
99	Don't know	BC120

If BC090 = 2 then ask; else skip to BC120

BC110	By how many square feet was the facility reduced?	
77	Square feet	BC120
88	Refused	BC120
99	Don't know	BC120

If BC090 in (1, 2) then ask; else skip to CA15

BC120 In what year did this <%BC090> occur?

1	2016	V1
2	2017	V1
88	Refused	V1
99	Don't know	V1



ROLE OF CONTRACTORS

Did you use a contractor/vendor to install any of the energy efficient measures that were purchased through the program?

V1	were purchased through the program?	
1	Yes	V2
2	No	AP9
88	Refused	AP9
99	Don't Know	AP9

If V1 = 1 then ask; else skip to AP9

v2 How did you come into contact with the contractor/vendor?

1	They contacted you	V2b
2	You contacted them	V3
3	You had worked with them before	V2a
77	OTHER - Record	V3
88	Refused	V3
99	Don't Know	V3

Ask if V2 = 3; else skip to V2b

In relation to this project, did the vendor/contractor approach you about your

V2a	energy efficient equipment retrofit/installation?	
1	Yes	V2b
2	No	V3
88	Refused	V3
99	Don't Know	V3

Ask if V2 = 1 or V2a = 1; else skip to V3

V2h

On a scale of 0 - 10, with 0 being NOT AT ALL LIKELY and 10 is VERY LIKELY, how likely is it that your organization would have installed this new equipment had the contractor/vendor not contacted you?

120	contractory vehabilihot contracted you.	
1	0-10 response	V3
88	Refused	V3
99	Don't Know	V3

V3 Did the contractor/vendor tell you about or recommend the program?

1	Yes	V4
2	No	AP9
88	Refused	AP9
99	Don't Know	AP9



Ask if V3 = 1; else skip to AP9

Prior to coming into contact with the contractor/vendor, did your organization have plans to replace/install this equipment?

V4	plans to replace/install this equipment?	
1	Yes	V4a
2	No	V4a
88	Refused	V4a
99	Don't Know	V4a

Using the same scale of 0 - 10 as before, how likely is it that your organization would have installed the new energy efficient equipment had the contractor/vendor not

V4a	recommended it?	
1	0-10 response	V4b
88	Refused	V4b
99	Don't Know	V4b

Using the same scale, how likely is it that your organization would have installed the energy efficient equipment with the same level of efficiency if the ctor/vendor had not ndad ta ، ام

V4b	contractor/vendor had not recommended to do so?	
1	0-10 response	V40
88	Refused	V40
99	Don't Know	V40

On a scale of 0 - 10, with 0 being not at all important and 10 being very important, how important was the input from the contractor you worked with in deciding

V40	which specific equipment to install?	
1	0-10 response	AP9
88	Refused	AP9
99	Don't Know	AP9

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation



PROGRAM AWARENESS

Next, I'd like to ask you about various energy efficiency programs and what influenced your program participation.

How did you FIRST learn about <%UTILITY>'s program? [DO NOT READ ANSWERS](SINGLE RESPONSE)

AP9	ANSWERS](SINGLE RESPONSE)	
1	Bill insert	AP9a
2	Program literature	AP9a
3	Account representative	AP9a
4	Program approved vendor	AP9a
5	Program representative	AP9a
6	Utility or program website	AP9a
7	Trade publication	AP9a
8	Conference	AP9a
9	Newspaper article	AP9a
10	Word of mouth	AP9a
11	Previous experience with it	AP9a
12	Company used it at other locations	AP9a
13	Contractor	AP9a
14	Result of an audit	AP9a
15	Part of a larger expansion or remodeling effort	AP9a
77	Other (RECORD VERBATIM)	AP9a
88	Refused	A1b
99	Don't know	A1b

If AP9 in (1-77) then ask; else skip to [MEASURE]

How ELSE did you learn about <%UTILITY>'s program? [DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT

AP9a	MULTIPLES]	
1	Bill insert	N33
2	Program literature	N33
3	Account representative	N33
4	Program approved vendor	N33
5	Program representative	N33
6	Utility or program website	N33
7	Trade publication	N33
8	Conference	N33
9	Newspaper article	N33
10	Word of mouth	N33
11	Previous experience with it	N33
12	Company used it at other locations	N33



13	Contractor	N33
14	Result of an audit	N33
15	Part of a larger expansion or remodeling effort	N33
66	No other sources	N33
77	Other (RECORD VERBATIM)	N33
88	Refused	N33
99	Don't know	N33

If AP9 = 3 or AP9A = 3 then ask; else skip to [MEASURE]

You mentioned that you have a Utility or Program Administrator Account Rep. Can you give me his or her name?

!!____Do you have his/her email address?

!____Do you have a phone number for him/her?

N33	!Do you have a cell phone number for him/her?	
77	RECORD NAME, Phone, Email, etc.	
88	Refused	

PROGRAM LIGHTING EQUIPMENT

99

A3[A-C]

Don't know

Ask if LIGHTING = 1; else skip to NEXT BATTERY

Comment	One way that organizations like yours can reduce their energy use is to install more energy efficient lighting equipment. I would like to ask you about the lighting changes you made as part of your participation in <%UTILITY>'s program.	A3A
---------	--	-----

ASK IF LT_QTY_x > 0; ELSE SKIP TO A3a[A-C]

According to our records, your organization installed <%LT_QTY_x> <%LT_MEAS_x> through <%UTILITY>'s program, is this correct?

		DEEMED_INSTAL
1	Yes - Quantity is Correct	L_DATE_NU
2	Yes - Installed Different Quanity	A3_QTY
3	No, did not install	DISPLAY
88	Refused	DISPLAY
99	Don't know	DISPLAY

ASK A3a[A-C] if LT_QTY_x = 0

According to our records, your organization installed <%LT_MEAS_x> through A3a[A-C] <%UTILITY>'s program, is this correct?

1	Yes	A3_QTY
2	No, did not install	DISPLAY
88	Refused	DISPLAY
99	Don't know	DISPLAY

A3A A3A

A3A



IF A3[A-C](3 - 99), READ: "We must conduct this study with someone that knows about the installation of this measure." and ABANDON USER. Else continue with DISPLAY A3[A-C]_QTY

Ask if A3[A-C] = 2 or A3a[A-C] = 1

Approximately how many units of <%LT_MEAS_x> were installed under the A3[A-C] QTY %PROGRAM program?

		DEEMED_INSTAL
77	Record #	L_DATE_NU
8888	Refused	A3_OTH
9999	Don't know	A3_OTH

IF A3_QTY IN (88, 99)

A3[A-C]_OTH Would you say that the number of <%LT_MEAS_x> -installed-are...

1	less than 10 units	DEEMED_INSTAL L_DATE_NU
2	11 - 50 units	DEEMED_INSTAL L_DATE_NU
3	50 - 100 units	DEEMED_INSTAL L_DATE_NU
4	More than 100 units	DEEMED_INSTAL L_DATE_NU
88	Refused	DEEMED_INSTAL L_DATE_NU
99	Don't know	DEEMED_INSTAL L_DATE_NU

IF ^UNRECORDED(DEEM_INSTALL_DATEx)

DEEM_INSTAL Our records indicate that your organization <installed>...<%LT_MEAS_x> on L DATEX NU <%DEEM INSTALL DATEx>. Is this correct?

1	Yes	LI18
2	No	DEEM_INSTALL_Y EAR
88	Refused	DEEM_INSTALL_Y EAR
99	Don't know	DEEM_INSTALL_Y EAR



IF UNRECORDED(DEEM_INSTALL_DATEx) & ^UNRECORDED(DEEM_PAID_DATEx)

According to our records, your organization received a rebate for the installation> of **DISPLAY** ...<%LT_MEAS_x>... on <%DEEM_PAID_DATEx>.

IF DEEM_INSTALL_DATEx_NU in (2,88,99) | (UNRECORDED(DEEM_INSTALL_DATEx) & ^UNRECORDED(DEEM_PAID_DATEx))

DEEM_INSTAL

L_YEARx In what year did you install <%LT_MEAS_x>? (PROBE FOR BEST GUESS)

		DEEM_INSTALL_
1	<mark>2016</mark>	MONTHx
		DEEM_INSTALL_
2	<mark>2017</mark>	MONTHx
88	Refused	LI18
99	Don't know	LI18

IF DEEM_INSTALL_YEARx in (1-3)

DEEM_INSTAL

L_MONTHx And what month? {If they can not recall month, try to get the season.}

1	January	LI18
2	February	LI18
3	March	LI18
4	April	LI18
5	Мау	LI18
6	June	LI18
7	July	LI18
8	August	LI18
9	September	LI18
10	October	LI18
11	November	LI18
12	December	LI18
13	Fall	LI18
14	Winter	LI18
15	Spring	LI18
16	Summer	LI18
88	Refused	LI18
99	Don't know	LI18

If A3[A-C] is 1 or 2;

Ask only if CFLx = 1 and (LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1); else skip to Ll181[A-C] Of the CFLs you received through the program, what percentage do you estimate Ll18[A-C] were placed into storage for later use?

77	Open Record	LI181
101	Refused	LI181
102	Don't know	LI181



Ask only if LEDx = 1 and $(LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1)$; else skip to LI182[A-C]

Of the LEDs you received through the program, what percentage do you estimate

L181[A-C]	were placed into storage for later use?	
77	Open Record	LI182
101	Refused	LI182
102	Don't know	LI182

ASK ONLY IF LEDRLx = 1 and (LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1); else skip to LI183[A-C]

Of the LED Reflector Lamps you received through the program, what percentage do LI182[A-C] you estimate were placed into storage for later use?

77	Open Record	LI183
101	Refused	LI183
102	Don't know	LI183

ASK ONLY IF LEDOUTx = 1 and (LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1); else skip to LI184[A-C]

Of the LED Outdoor lighting you received through the program, what percentage do **LI183[A-C]** you estimate were placed into storage for later use?

77	Open Record	LI184
101	Refused	LI184
102	Don't know	LI184

ASK ONLY IF LEDINTx = 1 and (LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1); else skip to LI19[A-C]

Of the LED fixtures/lamps you received through the program, what percentage do **LI184[A-C]** you estimate were placed into storage for later use?

77	Open Record	LI185
101	Refused	LI185
102	Don't know	LI185

ASK ONLY IF LEDDOWNx = 1 and (LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1); else skip to LI19[A-C]

Of the LED Downlighting you received through the program, what percentage do you estimate were placed into storage for later use?

77	Open Record	LI19
101	Refused	LI19
102	Don't know	LI19



IF C5 <> 1 and (LT_QTY_x >1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1) ASK LI19[A-C]; else skip to LI190[A-C]

Were any of the program provided <%LT_MEAS_x> installed at another facility? If LI19[A-C] so, what percentage would you estimate?

77	Yes, #record percentage	LI190
101	Refused	LI190
102	Don't know	LI190

ASK ONLY IF LEDOUTx = 1

Where did you install the LED outdoor lighting that you received through the program? (ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

1	Parking lots	LI191
2	Garages	LI191
3	Walkways	LI191
4	Patios/Outdoor seating areas	LI191
5	Outside door	LI191
77	Other	LI191
88	Refused	LI191
99	Don't know	LI191

ASK ONLY IF LEDINTx = 1

Where did you install the LED fixtures/lamps that you received through the LI191[A-C] program? (ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

1	Open office	LI192
2	Private office	LI192
3	Hallway	LI192
4	Lobby	LI192
5	Stairwell	LI192
6	Kitchen/Break area	LI192
7	Restrooms	LI192
8	Dining	LI192
9	Retail space	LI192
10	Conference room	LI192
11	Warehouse	LI192
12	Storage	LI192
13	Outdoor	LI192
14	Guest rooms	LI192
15	Gynasium	LI192
77	Other	LI192
88	Refused	LI192
99	Don't know	LI192



ASK ONLY IF LEDDOWNx = 1

Where did you install the LED downlighting that you received through the program? LI192[A-C] (ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

	1	
1	Open office	LI20
2	Private office	LI20
3	Hallway	LI20
4	Lobby	LI20
5	Stairwell	LI20
6	Kitchen/Break area	LI20
7	Restrooms	LI20
8	Dining	LI20
9	Retail space	LI20
10	Conference room	LI20
11	Warehouse	LI20
12	Storage	LI20
13	Outdoor	LI20
14	Guest rooms	LI20
77	Other	LI20
88	Refused	LI20
99	Don't know	LI20

What type of lighting was removed and replaced when you installed <%LT_MEAS_x>

LI20[A-C]	through the program? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]	
1	High performance T8 (1" diameter bulbs)	LI22
2	T8 fluorescent fixtures (1" diameter bulbs)	LI22
3	T10 fluorescent fixtures	LI22
4	T12 Fixtures (1.5" diameter bulbs)	LI22
5	Compact HID (High Density Discharge) Fixtures	LI21
6	Screw-in Modular CFLs	LI22
7	Hardwire CFL Fixtures	LI22
8	Incandescent	LI22
9	CFL Exit Signs	LI22
10	LED Exit Signs	LI22
11	Halogen bulbs	LI22
12	Reflectors	LI22
13	Electronic Ballast	LI22
14	Magnetic Ballast	LI22
15	Manual Switches	LI22
16	Lighting Controls, Time Clock	LI22
17	Lighting Controls, Occupancy Sensor	LI22
18	Lighting Controls, Bypass/Delay Timers	LI22
19	Lighting Controls, Photocell	LI22



20	Other Fluorescent	LI22
21	Fat/Thick Tubes	L122
22	Skinny/Thin Tubes	L122
23	T5 Fixtures (5/8" diameter)	L122
24	Screw-in LEDs	L122
25	Screw-in LEDs Reflector Lamps	LI22
26	LED Fixtures or Panels (e.g., replacement for linear fixtures)	L122
66	DID NOT REMOVE ANYTHING-ADDITIONAL EQUIP ONLY	NTGCHECK1
77	Other (PLEASE SPECIFY)	LI22

ASK IF LI20[A-C] = 5; else skip to LI22[A-C]

Were the HID lamps you removed High Pressure Sodium, Metal Halide, Mercury

LI21[A-C]	Vapor or Incandescent?	
1	High pressure sodium	LI22
2	Metal Halide	LI22
3	Mercury Vapor	LI22
4	Incandescent	LI22
88	Refused	LI22
99	Don't know	LI22

If LI20[A-C]^= 66 then ask; else skip to end of DEEMED Loop

Approximately how old was the equipment that were removed and replaced?

LI22[A-C]	Would you say	
1	Less than 5 years old	LI23
2	Between 5 and 10 years old	LI23
3	Between 10 and 15 years old	LI23
4	More than 15 years old	LI23
88	Refused	LI23
99	Don't know	LI23

How would you describe the removed equipment's condition? Would you say they

	now would you describe the removed equipment's condition. Would you say they	
LI23[A-C]	were in	
1	Poor condition	LI24
2	Fair condition	LI24
3	Good condition	LI24
88	Refused	LI24
99	Don't know	LI24

ASK IF LT_QTY_x > 1 | A3[A-C]_QTY > 1

Approximately what percentage of the lighting equipment that was removed and LI24[A-C] replaced was broken or not working prior to installing <%LT_MEAS_x>?

%	Percent	LI30
101	Refused	LI30
102	Don't know	LI30



ASK IF LIGHTING=1

Considering all of the lighting changes we just discussed, approximately what LI30 percentage of the facility's lighting was affected by those changes?

%	Percent	HB1
101	Refused	HB1
102	Don't know	HB1

HIGH BAY

If LEDINTx = 1 ; else skip to DEL5

Thinking about all of the types of LED fixtures/lamps that were installed through the program, what is the highest height, in feet, above the area they light? [IN FEET] [PROBE FOR HEIGHT - 13 FEET OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERED HB AND WILL TRIGGER HB1 FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS]

1	Record number of feet	HB2
88	Refused	HB2
99	Don't know	HB2

IF HB1 < 13 then ask; else skip to HB3

Just to double check, was any of the LED lighting installed through the program at a height of 13 or more feet above the area it is meant to light? This would qualify as **HB2** HIGH BAY lighting.

1	Yes	HB3
2	No	DEL5
88	Refused	DEL5
99	Don't know	DEL5

ASKI IF IF (HB1 >> 12 & HB1 <> 88 & HB1 <> 99) | HB2(1)

HB3 What is the main kind of LED Fixture located at this height?

1	Linear LED (T-LED)	DEL5
2	Integrated LED Troffers	DEL5
3	Round LED High Bay (similar shape to an HID fixture)	DEL5
4	Panel LED	DEL5
77	OPEN\RECORD OTHER	DEL5
88	Refused	DEL5
99	Don't know	DEL5



DEL5	Is the amount of lighting better.	worse, or the same than before your LED retrofit?

1	Better	NEXT SECTION (NTG BATTERY)
2	Worse	DEL11
3	Same	NEXT SECTION (NTG BATTERY)
88	Refused	DEL11
99	Don't know	DEL11

If DEL5 in (2, 88, 99) then ask; else skip to NTG BATTERY

Did you install additional lighting equipment to increase the amount of lighting in the LED retrofitted area(s)?

DEL11	the LED retrofitted area(s)?	
1	Yes	
2	No	NEXT SECTION
88	Refused	(NTG BATTERY)
99	Don't know	



	NET TO GROSS	
DISPLAY	For the sake of expediency, during this next battery we will be referring to the program as THE PROGRAM and we will be referring to the installation of <%NTGMEASURE> as THE MEASURE.	
AA3	There are usually a number of reasons why an organization like yours decides to participate in energy efficiency programs like this one. In your own words, can you tell me why you decided to participate in this program?	
1	To replace old or outdated equipment	AA3a
2	As part of a planned remodeling, build-out, or expansion	N2
3	To gain more control over how the equipment was used	N2
4	Maintenance downtime/associated expenses for old equipment were too high	AA3a
5	Had process problems and were seeking a solution	N2
6	To improve equipment performance	N2
7	To improve production as a result of the change in equipment	N2
8	To comply with codes set by regulatory agencies	N2
9	To improve visibility/plant safety	N2
10	To comply with company policies regarding regular equipment retrofits or remodeling	AA3a
11	To get a rebate from the program	N2
12	To protect the environment	N2
13	To reduce energy costs	N2
14	To reduce energy use/power outages	N2
15	To update to the latest technology	N2
16	To improve the comfort level of the facility	N2
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N2
88	Don't know	N2
99	Refused	N2

IF AA3=1, 4 or 10 THEN ASK. ELSE N2

AA3a Had the equipment that you replaced reached the end of its useful life?

1	Yes	N2
2	No	N2
88	Refused	N2
99	Don't know	N2



Did your organization make the decision to install this new equipment before or, N2 after, or at the same time as you became aware of that rebates [IF NEEDED: to reduce the cost of the measure] were available through the PROGRAM?

1	Before	N3a
2	After	N3a
3	Same time	N3a
88	Refused	N3a
99	Don't know	N3a

Next, I'm going to ask you to rate the importance of the program as well as other factors that might have influenced your decision to install this equipment through the program. Using a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 means not at all important and 10

DISPLAY means extremely important, how would you rate the importance of...

N3a	The age or	condition of t	he old e	auinment
iv sa	The age of	condition of t	.ne olu e	quipinent

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3aa
88	Refused	N3b
99	Don't know	N3b

IF N3a > 5 and NTG_TYPE >= 2 THEN ASK

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to install/delamp this

N3aa	equipment?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3b
88	Don't know	N3b
99	Refused	N3b

N3b Availability of the PROGRAM rebate [IF NEEDED: to reduce the cost of the measure]

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3bb
88	Refused	N3c
99	Don't know	N3c

IF N3b > 7 AND NTG_TYPE >= 2, THEN ASK

N3bb Why do you give it this rating? Record VERBATIM N3D 77 N3D 88 Refused N3D 99 Don't know



If V1 = 1 THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO N3e

Recommendation from an equipment vendor that sold you the equipment and/or

N3d	installed it for you [VENDOR_1]	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3e
88	Refused	N3e
99	Don't know	N3e

N3e Your previous experience with similar types of energy efficient projects?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3f
88	Refused	N3f
99	Don't know	N3f

N3f Your previous experience with <%UTILITY>'s program or a similar utility program?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3g
88	Don't know	N3g
99	Refused	N3g

NTG_TYPE >= 3 THEN ASK, ELSE N3h

N3g Information from the Program, Utility, or Program Administrator training course?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3gg
88	Refused	N3h
99	Don't know	N3h

IF N3g > 5, THEN ASK

N3gg What type of information was provided during the training?

77	Record VERBATIM	N3ggg
88	Refused	N3h
99	Don't know	N3h

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to install/delamp this

 N3ggg
 equipment?

 77
 RECORD VERBATIM
 N3h

 88
 Don't know
 N3h

 99
 Refused
 N3h

Information from the Program, Utility, or Program Administrator Marketing

N3h	materials?	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3hh
88	Refused	N3j
99	Don't know	N3j



IF N3h > 5 and NTG_TYPE >= 2, THEN ASK

N3hh What type of information was provided that pertained to the PROJECT?

77	Record VERBATIM	N3hhh
88	Refused	N3j
99	Don't know	N3j

IF N3hh = 77, THEN ASK

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to install/delamp this energy

N3hhh	efficient equipment?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3j
88	Don't know	N3j
99	Refused	N3j

IF NTG_TYPE >= 2

N3j	Standard practice in your business/industry	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3k
88	Refused	N3k
99	Don't know	N3k

If AP9 = 3 or AP9a = 3 THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO N3m

N3I Endorsement or recommendation by your account rep?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3II
88	Refused	N3m
99	Don't know	N3m

IF N3I > 5 & NTG_TYPE >= 2 THEN ASK

N3II	What did they recommend?	
77	Record VERBATIM	N3III
88	Refused	N3m
99	Don't know	N3m

IF N3LL(77)

N3III How specifically did this enter into your decision to install this project using energy efficient equipment?

77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3m
88	Don't know	N3m
99	Refused	N3m

IF NTG_TYPE >= 2, ASK

N3m	Corporate policy or guidelines	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3mm
88	Refused	N3n
99	Don't know	N3n



....

IF N3m > 5, THEN ASK

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to install/delamp this

N3mm	equipment?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3n
88	Don't know	N3n
99	Refused	N3n

N3n Payback or return on investment of installing this equipment

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3o
88	Refused	N30
99	Don't know	N30

N30 Improved product quality

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N300
88	Refused	N3p
99	Don't know	N3p

IF N3o > 5, THEN ASK

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to install/delamp this

N300	equipment?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3p
88	Don't know	N3p
99	Refused	N3p

IF FM050 = 12 AND NTG_TYPE = 4, THEN ASK, ELSE SKIP TO N3r

Compliance with state or federal regulations such as Title 24, air quality, OSHA, or

N3p	FDA regulations	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3pp
88	Refused	N3r
99	Don't know	N3r

IF N3p > 5, THEN ASK

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to upgrade to energy efficient

мзрр	equipment?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3r
88	Don't know	N3r
99	Refused	N3r

ASK IF NTG_TYPE >= 3

Compliance with your organization's normal remodeling or equipment replacement N3r practices?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N3rrr
88	Refused	N3s
99	Don't know	N3s



IF AA3(2|10)&N3R(6||10);

According to your organization's remodeling and equipment replacement policies, how often are you supposed to replace this type of equipment? [IF NEEDED: in terms of the number of years]

N3RRR	terms of the number of years]	
# yrs	Record Number of Years	N3rr
88	Refused	N3rr
99	Don't know	N3rr

IF N3r > 5, THEN ASK

How, specifically, did this enter into your decision to install/delamp this equipment?

N3rr	equipment?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	N3s.
88	Don't know	N3s.
99	Refused	N3s.

Were there any other factors we haven't discussed that were influential in your decision to install/delamp this MEASURE?

N3s	decision to install/delamp this MEASURE?	
1	Nothing else influential	CC1
77	Record verbatim	N3ss
88	Refused	CC1
99	Don't know	CC1

ASK IF N3s = 77

N3ss Using the same zero to 10 scale, how would you rate the influence of this factor?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	CC1
88	Refused	CC1
99	Don't know	CC1

CONSISTENCY CHECKS ON N3p, N3q and N3r

If NTG_TYPE = 4

IF AA3 = 8, AND N3p < 4, THEN ASK

You indicated earlier that compliance with codes or regulatory policies was one of the reasons you did the project. However, just now you scored the importance of compliance with state or federal regulations or standards such as Title 24, air
 CC1 quality, OSHA, or FDA regulations in your decision making fairly low, why is that?

77	RECORD VERBATIM	CC1a
88	Don't know	CC1a
99	Refused	CC1a



CC1-

NICC3

IF AA3 ^= 8, and N3p > 7, THEN ASK

You indicated earlier that compliance with codes or regulatory policies was not one of the primary reasons you did the project. However, just now you scored the importance of compliance with state or federal regulations or standards such as Title 24,air quality, OSHA, or FDA regulations in your decision making fairly high, why is that?

CCIa		
77	RECORD VERBATIM	CC3
88	Don't know	CC3
99	Refused	CC3

IF <mark>A</mark>A3 = 2 or 10, AND N3r < 4, THEN ASK

You indicated earlier that a regularly scheduled retrofit was one of the reasons you did the project. However, just now you scored the importance of compliance with your company's regularly scheduled retrofit or equipment replacement in your decision making fairly low, why is that?

Nees	decision making fairly low, why is that:	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	NCC3a
88	Don't know	NCC3a
99	Refused	NCC3a

IF AA3 ^= 2 and AA3 ^= 9 and AA3^=10 AND N3r > 7 THEN ASK

You indicated earlier that a regularly scheduled retrofit was NOT one of the reasons you did the project. However, just now you scored the importance of compliance with your company's regularly scheduled retrofit or equipment replacement in your decision making fairly high, why is that?

NCC3a	decision making fairly high, why is that?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	P1
88	Don't know	P1
99	Refused	P1

PAYBACK BATTERY

If INCENT <> 100 AND NTG_TYPE >= 2, THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO P3

What financial calculations does your company typically make before proceeding with the installation of energy efficient equipment like you installed through the program?

P1	program?	
1	Payback	P2A
2	Return on investment	P2B
77	Record VERBATIM	Р3
88	Don't know	Р3
99	Refused	P3



P2A

If P1 = 1 THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO P2B

What is your threshold in terms of the payback or return on investment your company uses before deciding to proceed with installing energy efficient equipment like you installed through the program? Is it...

1	0 to 6 months	P3
2	6 months to 1 year	Р3
3	1 to 2 years	Р3
4	2 to 3 years	Р3
5	3 to 5 years	Р3
6	Over 5 years	Р3
88	Don't know	Р3
99	Refused	P3

IF P1 = 2 THEN ASK

P2B	What is your ROI?	
1	Record ROI;	Р3

Did the rebate move your energy efficient equipment project within this acceptable

P3	range?	
1	Yes	P4
2	No	P3a
88	Don't know	P3a
99	Refused	РЗа

If P3 = 1 THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO P3A

On a scale of 0 to 10, with a zero meaning NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT and 10 meaning Very Important, how important in your decision was it that the project was in the acceptable range?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	РЗа
88	Refused	P3a
99	Don't know	РЗа

CONSISTENCY CHECKS ON N3b and P3

IF P3 = 1, AND N3b < 5, THEN ASK

The rebate seemed to make the difference between meeting your financial criteria and not meeting them, but you are saying that the rebate didn't have much effect **P3a** on your decision, why is that?

77	Record VERBATIM	P3e
88	Don't know	P3e
99	Refused	P3e


IF P3 = 2, AND N3b > 5, THEN ASK

The rebate didn't cause the installation of energy efficient equipment to meet your company's financial criteria, but you said that the rebate had an impact on the decision to install this energy efficient equipment. Why did it have an impact?

77	Record VERBATIM	N33
88	Don't know	N33
99	Refused	N33

IF N3D(8||10) | N3E(8||10) | N3F(8||10) | N3J(8||10) | N3M(8||10) | N3N(8||10) | N3O(8||10) | N3P(8||10) | N3R(8||10);

DISPLAY	Next, with regard to your decision to implement this energy efficient MEASURE instead of either less energy efficient or standard efficiency equipment, I would like you to rate the importance of the PROGRAM as opposed to other Non-program factors that may have influenced your decision such as(SCAN BELOW AND READ TO THEM THOSE FACTORS THAT INFLUENCED THEIR DECISION) (READ ITEMS WHERE THEY GAVE A BATING OF 8 or higher)	
	Program-related factors	
	<%N3B> Availability of the PROGRAM rebate	@[%N3B>@
	<%N3G> Information from the Program, Utility, or Program Administrator training course?	@[%N3G>@
	Marketing materials?	@[%N3H>@
	<%N3L> Endorsement or recommendation by your account rep?	@[%N3L>@
	Non-Program factors	
	<%N3D> Equipment Vendor recommendation	@[%N3D>@
	<%N3E> Previous experience with this measure	@[%N3E>@
	<%N3F> Previous experience with this program	@[%N3F>@
	<%N3J> Standard practice in your business/industry	@[%N3J>@
	<%N3M> Corporate policy or guidelines	@[%N3M>@
	<%N3N> Payback on investment.	@[%N3N>@
	<%N3O> To improve production as a result of lighting,	@[%N3O>@
	<%N3P> Compliance with state or federal regulations or standards such as Title 24, air quality, OSHA, or FDA regulations	@[%N3P>@
	<%N3R> Compliance with normal maintenance or retrocommissioning policies or your companies regularly scheduled retrofit or lighting replacement	@[%N3R>@

If you were given 10 points to award in total, how many points would you give to the importance of the program and how many points would you give to these other non-program factors?

How many of the ten points would you give to the importance of the PROGRAM in **N41** your decision?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N42
88	Refused	N42
99	Don't know	N42

DISPLAY



N42	and how many points would you give to all of these other non-program factors?	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N41P
88	Refused	N41P
99	Don't know	N41P

If N41 <> 88 and N41 <> 99 and N42 <> 88 and N42 <> 99, compute N41 + N42. While N41+N42 <> 10, display:

___We want these two sets of numbers to equal 10.

<%N41> for Program influence and

<%N42> for Non Program factors

Next, I would like for you to consider the importance of the PROGRAM in your decision to install your equipment at the time you did rather than waiting to install new equipment sometime in the future, regardless of the actual efficiency of the equipment you selected. Please rate the importance of the program on this timing decision as opposed to other non-program factors that may have influenced your decision.

DISPLAY

N41P

If Needed - else skip...

If you were given 10 points to award in total, how many points would you give to the importance of the program and how many points would you give to these other non-program factors in your decision to install your equipment at the time you did rather than waiting to install new equipment sometime in the future.

How many of the ten points would you give to the importance of the PROGRAM in your decision TO INSTALL YOUR EQUIPMENT AT THE TIME YOU DID?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N42P
88	Refused	N42P
99	Don't know	N42P

N42P and how many points would you give to all of these other non-program factors?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	REPLACE
88	Refused	REPLACE
99	Don't know	REPLACE

If N41P <> 88 and N41P <> 99 and N42P <> 88 and N42P <> 99, compute N41P + N42P. While N41P+N42P <> 10, display:

_We want these two sets of numbers to equal 10.

- <%N41P> for Program influence and
- <%N42P> for Non Program factors



ASK ALL

Was the installation of this measure....<%NTGMEASURE>...a replacement of **REPLACE** existing equipment or was it additional equipment you installed in your facility?

1	Replace/Modification/Retrofit	DISPLAY
2	Add-on	DISPLAY
88	Refused	DISPLAY
99	Don't know	DISPLAY

Now I would like you to think about the action you would have taken with regard to **DISPLAY** the installation of this equipment if the program had not been available.

IF REPLACE(1) | DELAMP == 1

Using a likelihood scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all likely and 10 is extremely likely, if THE PROGRAM had NOT BEEN AVAILABLE, what is the likelihood that you would have installed exactly the same program-qualifying energy efficient equipment that you did for this project regardless of when you would have installed it?

N5 it?

N5a

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N5a
88	Refused	N5B
99	Don't know	N5B

IF REPLACE(2) THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO N6

Using a likelihood scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is Not at all likely and 10 is Extremely likely, if THE PROGRAM had NOT BEEN AVAILABLE, what is the likelihood that you would have installed exactly the same energy efficient equipment at the same time

N5aa	as you did?	
#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N6
88	Don't know	N6
99	Refused	N6

CONSISTENCY CHECKS

IF N3b > 7 and N5 > 7, THEN ASK

When you answered ...<%N3B> ... for the question about the influence of the rebate, I would interpret that to mean that the rebate was quite important to your decision to install. Then, when you answered ..<%N5>... for how likely you would be to install the same equipment **without** the rebate, it sounds like the rebate was not very important in your installation decision.

I want to check to see if I am misunderstanding your answers or if the questions may have been unclear. Will you explain in your own words, the role the rebate played in your decision to install this efficient equipment?

77	Record VERBATIM	NN5aa
88	Don't know	NN5aa
99	Refused	NN5aa



NN5aa

Would you like for me to change your score on the importance of the rebate that you gave a rating of <%N3B> and/or change your rating on the likelihood you would install the same equipment without the rebate which you gave a rating of <%N5> and/or we can change both if you wish?

1	No change	N5b
77	Record how they would rate rebate influence and how they would rate likelihood to install without the rebate	N5b
88	Don't know	N5b
99	Refused	N5b

ASK IF REPLACE(1)

Using the same scale as before, if the program had not been available, what is the **N5b** likelihood that you would have done this project at the same time as you did?

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	N5bb
88	Refused	N5bb
99	Don't know	N5bb

If N5b < 9 THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO N6

N5bb	Why do you say that?	
77	Record VERBATIM	N6
88	Don't know	N6
99	Refused	N6

ADDITIONAL BASELINE INPUT

Now I would like you to think one last time about what action you would have

taken if the program had not been available. Which of the following alternatives

N6 would you have been MOST likely to do?

1	Install/Delamped fewer units	N6aa
2	Install standard efficiency equipment or whatever required by code	N6aa
3	Installed equipment more efficient than code but less efficient than what you installed through the program	N6aa
4	Done nothing (keep existing equipment as is)	N6ba
5	Done the same thing I would have done as I did through the program	N6aa
6	Repair/rewind or overhaul the existing equipment	N7
77	Something else (specify what)	N6ca
88	Don't know	N6ca
99	Refused	N6ca

If N6 = 1,2,3,5 ASK, ELSE N6ba

N6aa Would you have [FILL IN RESPONSE TO N6 for N6 = 1,2, 3, 5] at the same time as you did under the program, within a year, or at a later time?

1	Same time	N7
2	Within one year	N7
3	At a later time	N6ab
88	Don't know	N7
99	Refused	N7



N6ab	How many years later would it have been?	
77	Record VERBATIM	N7
88	Don't know	N6ac
99	Refused	N7

N6ac Would it have been....

1	Less than one year	N7
2	About a year	N7
3	A couple of years	N7
4	A few years	N7
5	More than four years	N7
88	Don't know	N7
99	Refused	N7

If N6 = 4 THEN ASK, ELSE N6ca

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
N6ba	How long would you have waited to replace your equipment?	
1	Less than one year	N7
2	About a year	N7
3	A couple of years	N7
4	A few years	N7
5	More than four years	N7
88	Don't know	N7
99	Refused	N7

IF N6=77, 88, 99 THEN ASK, ELSE N7

Would you still have replaced your equipment at the same time as you did underN6cathe program, within a year, or at a later time?

1	Same time		N7
2	Within one year		N7
3	At a later time		N6cb
88	Don't know	N7	
99	Refused	N7	

N6cb How many years later would it have been?

77	Record VERBATIM	N6
88	Don't know	N6cc
99	Refused	N6



N6cc	Would it have been	
1	Less than one year	N7
2	About a year	N7
3	A couple of years	N7
4	A few years	N7
5	More than four years	N7
88	Don't know	N7
99	Refused	N7

CONSISTENCY CHECK

N6a

Nec

Ask if N6 = (1, 2, 3, 4) and ((N5 > 8 and N5b > 8) OR N5aa > 8)

In an earlier response, you said that if the program had not been available, there was a very high likelihood that you would have installed exactly the same equipment as you did through the program. However, just now you have indicated that you would not have installed the same equipment as you did without the
N7 benefit of the program. Can you explain to me why there is this difference?

77	Record VERBATIM	N6a
88	Don't know	N6a
99	Refused	N6a

Ask if N6(1);

How many fewer units would you have installed/Delamped? (It is okay to take an answer such as ...HALF...or 10 percent fewer ... etc.)

77	RECORD VERBATIM	ER2
88	Refused	ER2
99	Refused	ER2

Ask if N6(3);

Can you tell me what model or efficiency level you were considering as an alternative? (It is okay to take an answer such as ... 10 percent more efficient than code or 10 percent less efficient than the program equipment)

N6b	code or 10 percent less efficient than the program equipment)	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	ER2
88	Don't know	ER2
99	Refused	ER2

Ask if N6(6);

How long do you think the repaired equipment would have lasted before requiring replacement?

77	RECORD VERBATIM	ER2
88	Don't know	ER2
99	Refused	ER2



DISPLAY

ER9

EARLY REPLACEMENT BATTERY

[IF N5b < 8 and A3 = 1, 4, 8, or 10 THEN ASK. ELSE SKIP TO PP1]

Earlier, when I asked you a question about why you decided to implement the project using high efficiency equipment, you gave reasons related to <A3> Now I would like to ask you some follow up questions regarding these responses you gave me.

ER2

IF REPLACE(1) AND N6c IS UNRECORDED;

How many more years do you think your equipment would have gone before failing **ER2** and required replacement?

77	Estimated Remaining Useful Life (in years)	ER6
88	Don't know	ER6
99	Refused	ER6

IF AA3 = 4, THEN ASK

ER6	How much downtime did you experience in the past year?		
77	Downtime Estimate (in weeks) ER9		
88	Don't know	ER9	
99	Refused	ER9	

In your opinion, based on the economics of operating this equipment, for how

many more years could you have kept this equipment functioning?

Yrs	Estimated Remaining Useful Life	ER15
88	Don't know	ER15
99	Refused	ER15

IF AA3 = 8, THEN ASK

Can you briefly describe the specific code/regulatory requirements that this project **ER15** addressed?

77	RECORD VERBATIM	ER19
88	Don't know	ER19
99	Refused	ER19

IF AA3 = 10, THEN ASK

Can you briefly describe the specific company policies regarding regular/normal maintenance/replacement policy(ies) that were relevant to this project? Or briefly describe the specific company policies regarding regular equipment retrofits and remodeling?

ER19	remodeling?	
77	RECORD VERBATIM	PP1
88	Don't know	PP1
99	Refused	PP1



PROCESS QUESTIONS - ASK ALL

PP1	What do	vou believe t	he PROGRAM'S	primary	v strengths ;	are?
	winac ao			printial	, suchguis	

77	Record VERBATIM	PP2
88	Don't know	PP2
99	Refused	PP2

What concerns do you have about the PROGRAM, if any? (IF NEEDED: What do you view as the primary features that need to be improved?)

PP2	view as the primary features that need to be improved?)		
77	77 Record VERBATIM		
88	Don't know	PP4	
99	Refused	PP4	

On a scale of 0 - 10, where 0 is completely dissatisfied and 10 is completely

PP4	satisfied, how would you rate your OVERALL satisfaction with the <%PROGRAM>?
-----	--

#	Record 0 to 10 score ()	PP5
88	Refused	PP5
99	Don't know	PP5

IF PP4 < 4 THEN ASK; ELSE SKIP TO LT2

PP5	Why do you say that?	
77	Record VERBATIM	LT2
88	Don't know	LT2
99	Refused	LT2

LONG TERM INFLUENCE

	If NTG_TYPE >= 2	
	IF N3f > 4, THEN ASK, ELSE GO TO <mark>OPERATING HOURS SECTION</mark>	
	Now I'd like you to think about your organization's experiences with %UTILITY's	
	energy efficiency programs and efforts over the longer term, for example, over the	
	past 5, 10, or even 20 years.	
	In an earlier question, you indicated that your previous experience with utility	
	energy efficiency programs was a factor that influenced your decision to implement	
DISPLAY	this PROJECT. I would like to ask you a few questions about this experience.	LT2
	For how many years have you been participating in %UTILITY's energy efficiency	

LT2 programs?

# yrs	Record Number of Years	LT3
88	Refused	LT3
99	Don't know	LT3



During this time, how many times has your organization participated in these

LT3	PROGRAM(s)?	
1	7 to 10 times, or more	CA6
2	4 to 7 times	CA6
3	2 to 4 times	CA6
4	less than 2 times	CA6
88	Refused	LT6
99	Don't know	LT6

IF LT3(1||4);

CA6 What type of equipment did you install through this (these) program(s)? [READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES]

1	Indoor lighting	LT6
2	Cooling equipment	LT6
3	Natural gas equipment, such as water heater, furnace or appliances	LT6
4	Insulation or windows	LT6
5	Refrigeration	LT6
6	Industrial process equipment	LT6
7	Greenhouse heat curtains	LT6
8	Food service equipment	LT6
77	OPEN \SOMETHING OTHER (specify)	LT6
88	Refused	LT6
99	Don't Know	LT6

LT6	What factors led you to participate in these program(s)?	
77	Record VERBATIM	LT7
88	Refused	LT7
99	Don't know	LT7

And exactly how did that experience help to convince you to install this energy

LT7	efficient equipment?	
77	Record VERBATIM	LT8
88	Refused	LT8
99	Don't know	LT8



IF LT3 = 1 or 2, THEN ASK. ELSE GO TO OPERATING HOURS SECTION

Have these programs had any long-term influence on your organization's energy efficiency related practices and policies that go beyond the immediate effect of incentives on individual projects? [DO NOT READ: Examples are causing them to add energy efficiency procurement policies, internal incentive or reward structures
 LT8 for improving energy efficiency, or adoption of energy management best practices.]

1	Yes	ALWAYS
2	No	ALWAYS
88	Refused	ALWAYS
99	Don't know	ALWAYS

OPERATING HOURS

We are almost finished. The next few questions are to help us get a full understanding of your organization's operational hours.

ALWAYS Is your organization operation 24 hours a day, 7 days a week?

1	Yes	HOLIDAYS
2	No	HOLIDAYS
88	Refused	HOLIDAYS

HOLIDAYS Dose your facility close for any holidays during the year? If so, which one(s)?

1	New Year's Day - January 1	DAYS
2	Martin Luther King Jr. Day - January 18, 2010 (3rd Monday in January)	DAYS
3	President's Day - February 15, 2010 (3rd Monday in February)	DAYS
4	Memorial Day - May 31, 2010 (Last Monday in May)	DAYS
5	Independence Day - July 4th (Or Surrounding Monday/Friday if July 4 is a weekend)	DAYS
6	Labor Day - September 6, 2010 (First Monday in September)	DAYS
7	Thanksgiving - November 26, 2010 (4th Thursday in November)	DAYS
8	Day after Thanksgiving	DAYS
9	Christmas Eve - December 24	DAYS
10	Christmas Day - December 25	DAYS
66	NO HOLIDAY CLOSURES	DAYS
77	Other - Specify	DAYS
88	Refused	DAYS
99	Don't Know	DAYS



Ask if ALWAYS = 2; else skip to OS_REC;

Is your facility closed any of the 7 days of the week? If so, which days are you

DAYS	CLOSED?	
1	Monday	MONDAY_OPEN
2	Tuesday	MONDAY_OPEN
3	Wednesday	MONDAY_OPEN
4	Thursday	MONDAY_OPEN
5	Friday	MONDAY_OPEN
6	Saturday	MONDAY_OPEN
7	Sunday	MONDAY_OPEN
66	Open EVERYDAY	MONDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	MONDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	MONDAY_OPEN

Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(1); else skip to TUESDAY_OPEN;

MONDAY_O

PEN	What time do you open your facility on MONDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	MONDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	MONDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	MONDAY_CLOSE

IF MONDAY_OPEN(1||64)

MONDAY_C

LOSE	What time do you close your facility on MONDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	TUESDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	TUESDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	TUESDAY_OPEN

Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(2); else skip to WEDNESDAY_OPEN;

TUESDAY_O

PEN	What time do you open your facility on TUESDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	TUESDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	TUESDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	TUESDAY_CLOSE

IF TUESDAY_OPEN(1||65)

TUESDAY_C

LOSE	What time do you close your facility on TUESDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	WEDNESDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	WEDNESDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	WEDNESDAY_OPEN



Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(3); else skip to THURSDAY_OPEN;

WEDNESDA

Y_OPEN	What time do you open your facility on WEDNESDAY?	
		WEDNESDAY_CLOS
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	E
		WEDNESDAY_CLOS
88	REFUSED	E
		WEDNESDAY_CLOS
99	DON'T KNOW	E

IF WEDNESDAY_OPEN(1||65)

WEDNESDA

Y_CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on WEDNESDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	THURSDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	THURSDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	THURSDAY_OPEN

Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(4); else skip to FRIDAY_OPEN;

THURSDAY_

OPEN	What time do you open your facility on THURSDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	THURSDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	THURSDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	THURSDAY_CLOSE

IF THURSDAY_OPEN(1||65)

THURSDAY_

CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on THURSDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	FRIDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	FRIDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	FRIDAY_OPEN

Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(5); else skip to SATURDAY_OPEN;

FRIDAY_OP

EN What time do you open your facility on FRIDAY?

	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	FRIDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	FRIDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	FRIDAY_CLOSE

IF FRIDAY_OPEN(1||65)

FRIDAY_CLO

SE	What time do you close your facility on FRIDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	SATURDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	SATURDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	SATURDAY_OPEN



Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(6); else skip to SUNDAY_OPEN;

SATURDAY_

OPEN	What time do you open your facility on SATURDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	SATURDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	SATURDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	SATURDAY_CLOSE

IF SATURDAY_OPEN(1||65)

SATURDAY_

CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on SATURDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	SUNDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	SUNDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	SUNDAY_OPEN

Ask if ALWAYS(2)&^DAYS(7); else skip to DIFF_SCHEDULE;

SUNDAY_O		
PEN	What time do you open your facility on SUNDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	SUNDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	SUNDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	SUNDAY_CLOSE

IF SUNDAY_OPEN(1||65)

SUNDAY_CL

.....

OSE	What time do you close your facility on SUNDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	DIFF_SCHEDULE
88	REFUSED	DIFF_SCHEDULE
99	DON'T KNOW	DIFF_SCHEDULE

DIFF_SCHED Some organizations have different schedules for certain times of the year. Does

ULE your organization maintain a different schedule for certain months of the year?

1	Yes	MONTHS
2	No	OS_REC
88	REFUSED	OS_REC
99	DON'T KNOW	OS_REC



Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE = 1; Else skip to OS_REC;

MONTHS Which months of the year does the schedule vary from the times I just recorded?

1	January	ALT_DAYS
2	February	ALT_DAYS
3	March	ALT_DAYS
4	April	ALT_DAYS
5	Мау	ALT_DAYS
6	June	ALT_DAYS
7	July	ALT_DAYS
8	August	ALT_DAYS
9	September	ALT_DAYS
10	October	ALT_DAYS
11	November	ALT_DAYS
12	December	ALT_DAYS
88	REFUSED	ALT_DAYS
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_DAYS

ALT_ALWAY

S	Is your organization operation 24 hours a day, 7 days a week?	
---	---	--

1	Yes	HOLIDAYS
2	No	HOLIDAYS
88	Refused	HOLIDAYS

If ^ALT_ALWAYS(1) then ask; Else skip to OS_REC;

During this alternate schedule, is your facility closed any of the 7 days of the **ALT_DAYS** week? If so, which days are you CLOSED?

1	Monday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
2	Tuesday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
3	Wednesday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
4	Thursday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
5	Friday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
6	Saturday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
7	Sunday	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
66	Open EVERYDAY	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_MONDAY_OPEN



Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(1); else skip to ALT_TUESDAY_OPEN;

ALT_MOND

AY_OPEN For the alternate schedule, what time do you open your facility on MONDAY?

	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_MONDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	ALT_MONDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_MONDAY_CLOSE

IF ALT_MONDAY_OPEN(1||64)

ALT_MOND

AY_CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on MONDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_TUESDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	ALT_TUESDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_TUESDAY_OPEN

Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(2); else skip to ALT_WEDNESDAY_OPEN;

ALT_TUESD

AY_OPEN What time do you open your facility on TUESDAY during your alternate schedule?

	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_TUESDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	ALT_TUESDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_TUESDAY_CLOSE

IF ALT_TUESDAY_OPEN(1||65)

ALT_TUESD

AY_CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on TUESDAY?	
		ALT_WEDNESDAY_OP
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	EN
		ALT_WEDNESDAY_OP
88	REFUSED	EN
		ALT_WEDNESDAY_OP
99	DON'T KNOW	EN

Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(3); else skip to ALT_THURSDAY_OPEN;

ALT_WEDNE What time do you open your facility on WEDNESDAY during your alternate sDAY OPEN schedule?

		ALT_WEDNESDAY_CL
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	OSE
		ALT_WEDNESDAY_CL
88	REFUSED	OSE
		ALT_WEDNESDAY_CL
99	DON'T KNOW	OSE



IF ALT_WEDNESDAY_OPEN(1||65)

ALT_WEDNE SDAY_CLOS

E	What time do you close your facility on WEDNESDAY?	
		ALT_THURSDAY_OPE
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	Ν
		ALT_THURSDAY_OPE
88	REFUSED	Ν
		ALT_THURSDAY_OPE
99	DON'T KNOW	Ν

Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(4); else skip to ALT_FRIDAY_OPEN;

ALT_THURS What time do you open your facility on THURSDAY during your alternate

DAY_OPEN	schedule?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_THURSDAY_CLOS E
88	REFUSED	ALT_THURSDAY_CLOS E
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_THURSDAY_CLOS E

ALT_THURSDAY_OPEN(1||65)

ALT_THURS

DAY_CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on THURSDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_FRIDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	ALT_FRIDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_FRIDAY_OPEN

Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(5); else skip to ALT_SATURDAY_OPEN;

ALT_FRIDAY

_OPEN What time do you open your facility on FRIDAY during this alternate schedule?

	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_FRIDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	ALT_FRIDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_FRIDAY_CLOSE

IF ALT_FRIDAY_OPEN(1||65)

ALT_FRIDAY

_CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on FRIDAY?	
		ALT_SATURDAY_OPE
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	N
		ALT_SATURDAY_OPE
88	REFUSED	
		ALT_SATURDAY_OPE
99	DON'T KNOW	Ν

Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(6); else skip to ALT_SUNDAY_OPEN;



ALT_SATUR	I recorded that during your alternate schedule you are also open on Saturday.	
DAY_OPEN	What time do you open your facility on SATURDAY?	
		ALT_SATURDAY_CLOS
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	E
		ALT_SATURDAY_CLOS
88	REFUSED	E
		ALT_SATURDAY_CLOS
99	DON'T KNOW	E

IF ALT_SATURDAY_OPEN(1||65)

ALT_SATUR

DAY_CLOSE	What time do you close your facility on SATURDAY?	
	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_SUNDAY_OPEN
88	REFUSED	ALT_SUNDAY_OPEN
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_SUNDAY_OPEN

Ask if DIFF_SCHEDULE(1)&^ALT_DAYS(7); else skip to OS_REC;

ALT_SUNDA I recorded that during your alternate schedule you are also open on Sunday. What Y OPEN time do you open your facility on SUNDAY?

	Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24	ALT_SUNDAY_CLOSE
88	REFUSED	ALT_SUNDAY_CLOSE
99	DON'T KNOW	ALT_SUNDAY_CLOSE

IF ALT_SUNDAY_OPEN(1||65)

ALT_SUNDA

Y_CLOSE What time do you close your facility on SUNDAY? LOG_REC Record Time 1AM - 12:30 AM in 12 hour format by half hour as 1-24 LOG_REC REFUSED 88 LOG_REC 99 DON'T KNOW



DISPLAY

Participant Survey for CPUC

PY2017 Downstream Lighting Evaluation

ONSITE RECRUITING

TO SCHEDULE INSTALLATION OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT

If LOGGER= 1; Else Skip to Comment1

In order to improve this program's performance, <%UTILITY> would also like to make an accurate measurement of the energy savings associated with the energy efficient equipment installed by collecting and analyzing information from selected customers. If you agree to participate, Itron, on behalf of <%UTILITY>, will come to your business to install monitoring devices on your equipment to record when the equipment is in use. The monitoring devices will be installed in an unobtrusive place and would be removed by us at the end of the research project. We expect the site visit to take about two hours. We'll come back and remove the monitoring devices within 3-6 months. Note, the electric use data will be used strictly for the study of the <%PROGRAM> and will not affect your electric service at all. You will need to sign a brief participation agreement.

LOG_REC

LOG_REC Are you interested in participating in this project?

1	Yes	LOG_NAME
2	No	Comment1
88	Refused	Comment1
99	Don't know	Comment1

ASK IF LOG_REC(1)

LOG_NAME	May I have the name of the person that our technician should contact to make an appointment? What would be the most convenient phone number for our technecian to contact<%LOG_NAME>?	LOG_PHONE
LOG_ALT LOG_PH_ALT	In the even that<%LOG_NAME> is unavailable, would there be an alternate contact that we could schedule an appointment with? What would be the most convenient phone number to reach this person?	LOG_PH_ALT LOG_NOTE

Are there any notes that would facilitate our technician's ability to make an appointment? For example, are some days of the week better for making contacts, **LOG NOTE** are early mornings better or are afternoons better?

66	No Notes	OS_NAME1
77	Record Notes	OS_NAME1

IF ONSITE = 1

TO SCHEDULE ONSITE VERIFICATION



As we've discussed, the <%PROGRAM> is an important component of the California Public Utilities Commission's ongoing efforts to save energy and reduce emissions affecting climate change. In order to improve this program's performance, the CPUC would like to make an accurate measurement of the energy savings associated with energy efficiency equipment installed by collecting and analyzing information from selected customers. Your input to this research is extremely important. By receiving a rebate through the <%PROGRAM>, your firm has agreed to allow verification of the installation of the equipment rebated through the program.

COMMENT1 p

Our verification technician will need to meet a facilities representative of your company. This should be either the manager of the facility or part of the facilities staff.

May I please have the name of the person who our technician can call you to set up **OS_NAME1** an appointment time?

1	Same as for logger	HB_Lift
77	Record Name	OS_PHONE1
99	Don't know	T&T

IF OS_NAME1(77)

OS_PHONE1 May I also have the best phone number for the technician to reach this person?

&OS_PHONE1	PHONE FOR PRIMARY CONTACT	OTHER
88	Refused	T&T
99	Don't know	T&T

Is there another person that the engineer might speak with at your company, if this primary person is not available?

OTHER	prindry person is not available.	
&OTHER	Get name	OS_NAME2
88	Refused	T&T
99	Don't know	T&T

OS_NAME2 May I please have their name so our technician can call them at another time?

&OS_NAME2	Get name	OS_PHONE2
88	Refused	T&T
99	Don't know	T&T

OS_PHONE2 May I also have the best phone number for the technician to reach them?

&OS_PHONE2	Get phone number	HB_Lift
88	Refused	T&T
99	Don't know	T&T



Ask if HIGHBAY = 1 or (HB1 > 12 and HB1<>66 and HB1<>88 and HB1<>99) or HB2 = 1 or HB1a = 1; Else skip to OS_Business

Do you have some form or a lift or ladder available to reach the lighting at your **HB Lift** facility that is located 13ft or more above ground?

1	Yes	OS_Business
2	No	OS_Business
88	Refused	T&T
99	Don't know	T&T

Do you have a sign or business name other than <%BUSINESS> that our technicians should look for when they visit your site?

OS_Business	should look for when they visit your site?	
1	Yes	OS_Bus_Name
2	No	Vendor_Name
88	Refused	T&T
99	Don't know	T&T

Ask if OS_BUSINESS(1)

OS_Bus_Nam

e	What is the sign or business name they should be looking for?	
1	Get name	Vendor_Name

DO NOT READ.....If you have any special notes about the on@-site visit or the

VISIT_NOTES	installation of loggers, add these notes here.			
1	No additional notes	Vendor_Name		
77	Record Notes	Vendor_Name		

Ask if V1(1)

Earlier you stated that you had a vendor/contractor that helped you with the installation of the lighting equipment that was installed through the <%UTILITY> Program. Could you provide me with their name and phone number?

Vendor_Name	Program. Could you provide me with their name and phone number?				
1	Cannot provide	END			
77	Record Name, Phone Number, Email Address or any other information they can provide. More is better.	END			
88	Refused	END			
99	Don't know	END			

	Those are all the questions I have for you today. On behalf of the CPUC, I would like
END	to thank you very much for your kind cooperation. Have a good day.

APPENDIX B PARTICIPANT ON-SITE SURVEY INSTRUMENT

CPUC 2015 Nonresidential On-Site Verification Survey Form

General Site Information (from phone survey & IOU tracking database)

Itron SiteID					
Sample Strata			What to Do		
Evaluation			What to		
Corporate (Multi-Site) Name				
Business Name (Tracl	king				
Actual Business Name					
Service Address					
City				Zip Code	
CORRECTIONS TO SIT	E INFOR	MATION			
Revised Corp. (Multi-	Site)				
Revised Business Name					
Revised Service Address					
Revised City				<u>Revised</u> Zip	

Site Contact Information

PS Completion Date:			Length (min)			Respondent:		Date of Ins	tall:	
	Contacted	Co	ntact Nam	e	P	none Number	Alterr	ate Phone	Em	nail Address
OS Primary										
OS Back-up										
OS Other										

Note: Use the "Contacted" check box to indicate the actual contact(s) for the site visit.

Scheduling Notes/Special Instructions for On-site Visit:

Survey Tracking Information

Survey Company:		Assigned Surveyor's Initials:		
Survey Travel Mileage:	miles	Total <u>Travel</u> Time		hrs
Survey Duration (24 hr clock)	Start:	Survey Duration (24 hr clock)	End:	
Total <u>Onsite</u> Time	hrs	Total Time to Fill Out Survey Form		hrs
			Initiala	
		Date:	muais	
	Field survey completed:	/		



Survey received from surveyor:	//
Initial QC check completed:	//
Survey sent back to surveyor (if needed):	/
Received from surveyor (if needed):	/
Itron QC completed:	/
Data entry (DE) completed:	/
Logger extraction DE complete:	//
Follow-up Logger Extraction DE complete:	//



IOU Tracking Data Measure Summary Sheet

This is a summary of all of the measures implemented at this site as extracted from the IOU tracking database. All of the measures listed here should also be found on the measure-level verification forms.

Measure Category	Meas ID	Measure Code	IOU MeasureName	Unit Basis	Rebated # of Units	Reference Meas Code

Lighting Other Description

Measure Code	Revised MeasureName Description	Rebated # of Units

Phone Survey Self-Reported Measure Counts for Calculated kWh Measures

CATI Measure	Self Report # of
Category-RebatedUnits-UnitBasis	Units

Phone Survey High Bay Information

High Bay? Max Fixture Height (ft)		Access to fixtures via lift or ladder?

Custom Measure Summary

Meas ID	Measure Name	Measure State	Activity Area	Unit Basis	Qty	Lamps per Fixture	Length	Туре	Watts



Site & Business Characteristics

PRIMARY BUSINESS TYPE DESCRIPTION:
(do not leave blank)

Phone Survey	Phone Survey Building Type:	FM050
	Detailed Building Type:	FM050a-j

Recent Survey Area Changes: Give a brief description about any changes made to this site since January 2011 that significantly impacted energy usage.	
Percent of Site Lighting Retrofitted: What percent of the site lighting was retrofitted? Describe whether it was almost all of the lighting or just certain areas.	%

Fields in this table will be populated as much as possible with data from the phone survey. However, any fields that are blank should be completed during the on-site verification. Any fields that are incorrect should also be corrected.

Electric Utility	PGE SCE SDGE SMUD LADWP OT				
Gas Utility PGE SCG SDGE AllElec/None Propane LBGO SWG OT					
Is this premise o	wner-occupied (O) or leased (L)?	CC4	Revised O		
How many full-ti	me equivalent employees work at this premise?	FM070	Revised		
What is the tota garage)	l occupied floor area of this premise? (exclude prkg	<i>CC2a / CC2b</i> ft ²	² Revisedft ²		
If the premise	has an enclosed parking garage, what is the floor area?		ft ²		
What percent of	the total floor area is heated or cooled?	CC2c/CC2d %	Revised		
How many buildings are part of this premise?					
What <u>year</u> was t	he majority of the facility built?	CC8	Revised		
Cooling Type: 5=EvapCool 6=C	1 =No A/C 2 =Split-System 3 =PkgRooftop 4 =PTAC/PTHP hiller 7 =IndivAC/HP 8 =WLHP OT =Other		Revised		
Heating Fuel Typ OT =Other	e: 1=Electric 2=Gas 3=Both 4=Propane 5=None		Revised		
What kind of site CM = Camp	What kind of site is this? P = Part of a bldg B = Single building SM = Small multi-building CM = Campus (multi-bldg, subsampled bldgs) OT = Other Other				
For single, stand-alone buildings or partial buildings: Number of stories/floors					



Premise-Level Schedule Definitions

Standard Holidays (check all that apply)

Indicate below which, if any, standard holidays that the business is closed or operation deviates drastically from normal/typical operations, and indicate on Form BUS HRS what the holiday operation hours are.

Indicate any additional holidays in the comment block.

New Year's Eve	?
New Year's Day	?
New Year's Day Celebrated	?
Martin Luther King Day	?
Presidents' Day	?
St. Patrick's Day	?
Easter Sunday	?
Memorial Day	?
Flag Day	?
July 4 th	?
Other (1)	?

July 4th Celebrated	?
Labor Day	?
Columbus Day	?
Veterans' Day	?
Thanksgiving	?
Thanksgiving Friday	?
Christmas Eve	?
Christmas Day	?
Christmas Day Celebrated	?
Caesar Chavez Day	?
Other (2)	?

Seasonal Operation Periods

2 N/A

Define seasonal operation periods for significant periods of time where business hours and/or equipment operation differs significantly from normal or typical business hours and/or equipment operation. To indicate seasonal operation periods, provide a brief description of the period (e.g. "spring break", "winter break", "summer break", "extended holiday hours"), and list the beginning/ending months (1-12) and days for up to three time periods.

Typical Schedule		Seasonal Time Period			
1	2	2		3	
Description	Description	Description		Description	
Begin Month/Day	Begin Month/Day		Begin Month/Day		
End Month/Day	End Month/Day		End Month/Day		
Begin Month/Day	Begin Month/Day		Begin Month/Day		
End Month/Day	End Month/Day		End Month/Day		
Begin Month/Day	Begin Month/Day		Begin Month/Day		
End Month/Day	End Month/Day		End Month/Day		

Holiday and Seasonal Operation Comments:



Business Schedule Primary Business Hours

Define typical operation for <u>all</u> Day Types listed below and specify hours in military time (00 to 24). For partial (i.e. not full) operation days, also indicate the approximate % of full operation as Partial Op %.

Day Type	From Phone Survey	Corrected Business Hours	Closed All Day?	Open 24 hrs?	PartialOp%
Monday	from to	from to			
Tuesday	from to	from to			
Wednesday	from to	from to			
Thursday	from to	from to			
Friday	from to	from to			
Saturday	from to	from to			
Sunday	from to	from to			
Holidays	from to	from to			

Seasonal Operation Business Hours – Time Period 2

Day Type	From Phone Survey	Corrected Business Hours	Closed All Day?	Open 24 hrs?	PartialOp%
Monday	from to	from to			
Tuesday	from to	from to			
Wednesday	from to	from to			
Thursday	from to	from to			
Friday	from to	from to			
Saturday	from to	from to			
Sunday	from to	from to			
Holidays	from to	from to			

Seasonal Operation Business Hours – Time Period 3

Day Туре	Business Hours	Closed All Day?	Open 24 hrs?	PartialOp%
Monday	from to	Y N	Y N	
Tuesday	from to	Y N	Y N	
Wednesday	from to	Y N	Y N	
Thursday	from to	Y N	Y N	
Friday	from to	Y N	Y N	



Saturday	from to	Y N	Y N	
Sunday	from to	Y N	Y N	
Holidays	from to	Y N	Y N	



Activity Area Definitions

Activity Area ID# Assignments Identify an Area ID# for each distinct Activity Area type within the surveyed area.

Indicate each area on the Site Plan sketch, Form PREM_SKETCH. Also consider lighting system controls and operation when defining these areas.

Area	Activity Area Code	Surveyor's Description of Area (include floor and Bldg identifiers if needed)	% of Total Premise Floor	Windo	ws or	Conditioned Space Type	Total Qty of this Area Type
101	(AA Code)	big identifiers if fieldedy	Area	JKyll	Sills	Code	On-site
1				w	S		
2				W	S		
3				W	S		
4				W	S		
5				w	S		
6				w	S		
7				w	S		
8				w	S		
9				W	S		
10				w	S		
11				w	S		
12				w	S		
13				w	S		
14				w	S		
15				w	S		
16				w	S		
17				w	S		
18				w	S		
19				w	S		
20				w	S		
21				w	S		
22				w	S		
23				w	S		
24				W	S		
25				W	S		
Conc	litioned Space	Type Codes					
CH =	Cooled & Heat	ed CL = Only Cooled HT = Only Heated ECH = E	EvapCooled & H	eated	ECL =	Only EvapCool	
NU =	HVAC present	but not used RF = Refrigerated UN = Uncondition	ed OU = Outsi	de	OT =	Other (describe	in comments)



Premise/Site-Plan Sketch

This sketch should provide a high-level view of the <u>premise and its surroundings as it is actually configured</u>. Attach

site plans and floor plans available from other sources. Sketch all buildings and the closest streets/roadways in both directions. Mark the orientation of True North. Use multiple sheets/drawings if necessary. Also indicate the "front" or primary entrance for each building. A site map or site plans can be used in place of this, as long as streets can be shown.

•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	•	•	·	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	·	•	·	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	·	·	·	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	•	•	·	•
•	•	•	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	•	•	·	•
•	•	•	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	•	•	·	•
•	•	•	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	•	·	·	·	·	·	•	•	·	•
•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•



Hourly Operation Schedules

Use this form if equipment operation is independent of Business Hours <u>as indicated on Form BUS HRS</u>. Use one block for each end use. Indicate the applicable daytypes for each day type schedule, and account for all day types including holidays. Specify the % of max. occupancy or equipment-on for all time periods, and be sure to accurately capture <u>transition periods</u>. Pay attention to lighting control type as a separate schedule is needed for different control types.

Hour		12-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7	7-8	8-9	9-10	10-11	11-12
Scho	edule	: #	End U	se:		LtgCtrl	Туре:_		Descri	ption_			
Applicable					%	5 Equipm	nent On						
MTWTFSS	AM												
н	PM												
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	PM												
MTWTFSS	AM												
н	PM												
MTWTFSS	AM												
н	PM												
Scho	edule	e #	End U	se:		LtgCtrl	Туре:_		Descri	ption_			
Applicable					%	5 Equipm	nent On						
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	PM		<u> </u>										
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	PM		Γ	Γ	Γ				Γ	<u> </u>			
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	РМ												

MTWTFSS AM

ΡM

Н



Sche	edule	#	End U	se:		LtgCtrl	Type:_	 Descri	ption_	 	
Applicable			% Equipment On								
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
н	PM										



Hourly Operation Schedules

Use this form if equipment operation is independent of Business Hours <u>as indicated on Form BUS HRS</u>. Use one block for each end use. Indicate the applicable daytypes for each day type schedule, and account for all day types including holidays. Specify the % of max. occupancy or equipment-on for all time periods, and be sure to accurately capture <u>transition periods</u>. Pay attention to lighting control type as a separate schedule is needed for different control types.

Hour		12-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7	7-8	8-9	9-10	10-11	11-12
Sche	dule	#	End Us	se:	L	.tgCtrl	Туре:		Descri	ption_			
Applicable					%	Equipm	ient On						
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	PM												
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	PM												
MTWTFSS	AM												
Н	PM												
MTWTFSS	AM												
H	PM												

Sche	dule	#	End U	se:	I	.tgCtrl	Туре:_	 Descri	ption_	 	
Applicable					%	Equipm	ient On				
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										



Sche	edule	#	End U	se:		LtgCtrl	Type:_	 Descri	ption_	 	
Applicable			% Equipment On								
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
н	PM										



Hourly Operation Schedules

Use this form if equipment operation is independent of Business Hours <u>as indicated on Form BUS HRS</u>. Use one block for each end use. Indicate the applicable daytypes for each day type schedule, and account for all day types including holidays. Specify the % of max. occupancy or equipment-on for all time periods, and be sure to accurately capture <u>transition periods</u>. Pay attention to lighting control type as a separate schedule is needed for different control types.

Hour	12-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7	7-8	8-9	9-10	10-11	11-12

Schedule #		#	End Use: LtgCtrlType: I							ption_		
Applicable					%	Equipm	ient On					
MTWTFSS	AM											
Н	PM											
MTWTFSS	AM											
Н	PM											
MTWTFSS	AM											
Н	PM											
MTWTFSS	AM											
Н	PM											

Schedule #			_ End Use: LtgCtrlType: Descripti								
Applicable				%	Equipm	ient On					
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										
MTWTFSS	AM										
Н	PM										



Lighting Logger Installation Form

Installation Date	Extraction Date	
Installer's Initials	Extraction Initials	
Scheduled Extraction Date		

Installation

Logger Serial Number					
Primary or Backup Logger?	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ
Placement Area ID# (ref only)					
Lighting Tech Type (HIM)	CF LF HID LED HB				
Logger Placement on Fixture	l(nt) E(xt) O(ther)				
Placement Description					
Include building, floor,					
room #, etc. and be					
descriptive enough that it					
can be located for extraction.					
Schedule #					

Extraction

Logger Intact? See Legend Belo	Y	Ν	L	Р	Y	Ν	L	Р		Υ	Ν	L	Р		Y	Ν	L	Р		Υ	Ν	L	Р	
Logger Tested "OK" (On/Off)	Y	Ν		NA	Y	Ν		NA		Y	Ν		NA		Y	ľ	1	NA		Υ	Ν		NA	
% "ON" Time				%					%					%					%					%
Extraction Comments																								
Logger Date&Time (HH:MM)																								
Computer Date&Time (HH:MM)																								
Alternate Extraction Date																								

Logger Intact: "Y" – If logger is as originally installed, does <u>not</u> appear to be tampered with, and display indicates the logger is working **Logger Tested "OK"** – <u>If Logger Intact was "Y"</u> then <u>is it</u> properly logging the light ON/OFF, "Y" or "N"? <u>If Logger Intact was "N"</u> use "NA"



Lighting Logger Installation Form (continued)

Use this table to record information for installed measurement devices such as lighting loggers.

Installation

Logger Serial Number					
Primary or Backup Logger?	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ
Placement Area ID# (ref only)					
Lighting Tech Type (HIM)	CF LF HID LED HB				
Logger Placement on Fixture	l(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	I(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	I(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	I(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	I(nt) E(xt) O(ther)
Placement Description Include building, floor, room #, etc. and be descriptive enough that it can be located for extraction.					
Schedule #					

Extraction

Logger Intact? (L=Lost/missing)	YNLP	YNLP	YNL P	YNLP	YNL P
Logger Tested "OK" (On/Off)	Y N NA				
% "ON" Time	%	%	%	%	%
Extraction Comments					
Logger Date&Time (HH:MM)					
Computer Date&Time (HH:MM)					
Alternate Extraction Date					

Logger Intact: "Y" – If logger is as originally installed, does <u>not</u> appear to be tampered with, and display indicates the logger is working **Logger Tested "OK"** – <u>If Logger Intact is "Y"</u> then is it properly logging the light ON/OFF, "Y" or "N"? <u>If Logger Intact is "N"</u> use "NA"


Lighting Logger Installation Form (continued)

Installation

Logger Serial Number					
Primary or Backup Logger?	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ
Placement Area ID# (ref only)					
Lighting Tech Type (HIM)	CF LF HID LED HB				
Logger Placement on Fixture	I(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	l(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	I(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	l(nt) E(xt) O(ther)	l(nt) E(xt) O(ther)
Placement Description Include building, floor, room #, etc. and be descriptive enough that it can be located for extraction.					
Schedule #					

Extraction

Logger Intact? (L=Lost/missing)	YNLP	YNLP	YNL P	YNLP	YNLP
Logger Tested "OK" (On/Off)	Y N NA				
% "ON" Time	%	%	%	%	%
Extraction Comments					
Logger Date&Time (HH:MM)					
Computer Date&Time (HH:MM)					
Alternate Extraction Date					

Logger Intact: "Y" – If logger is as originally installed, does not appear to be tampered with, and display indicates the logger is working

Logger Tested "OK" – If Logger Intact is "Y" then is it properly logging the light ON/OFF, "Y" or "N"? If Logger Intact is "N" use "NA"



Lighting Logger Installation Form (continued)

Installation

Alternate Extraction Date

Logger Serial Number					
Primary or Backup Logger?	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ	РВ
Placement Area ID# (ref only)					
Lighting Tech Type (HIM)	CF LF HID LED HB				
Logger Placement on Fixture	l(nt) E(xt) O(ther)				
Placement Description Include building, floor, room #, etc. and be descriptive enough that it can be located for extraction.					
Schedule #					
Extraction					
Logger Intact? (L=Lost/missing)	Y N L P	YNL P	YNL P	YNL P	Y N L P
Logger Tested "OK" (On/Off)	Y N NA				
% "ON" Time	%	%	%	%	%
Extraction Comments					
Logger Date&Time (HH:MM)					
Computer Date&Time (HH:MM)					

Logger Intact: "Y" – If logger is as originally installed, does <u>not</u> appear to be tampered with, and display indicates the logger is working **Logger Tested "OK"** – <u>If Logger Intact is "Y"</u> then is it properly logging the light ON/OFF, "Y" or "N"? <u>If Logger Intact is "N"</u> use "NA"



Indoor/Outdoor LED Lamp Lighting Measures

	Measure Category LED_MeasCategory						
	Engineering Estimation Method	ngEstMethod					
	Measure Code	S_MeasCode					
IOU Tracking	Measure Name	LED_OS	S_MeasName				
Data	Rebated #of Units	LED_IOU	JnitQtyRebated				
	IOU Unit Basis	LED_I	OUUnitBasis				
	Correct Unit Basis (only if incorrect above)						
	Can Rebated measures be clearly identified?	,	Y N				
	Inside or outside light	ting?	I 0				
	Total number of fixt	ures					
Visual	Number of lamps per fix	ture					
Verification	I otal number of la	imps Codo					
Data		Lode					
		Code					
	Multilevel: Eixture or Lamp switch	hed?					
	(A) Installed & Operational # of units (ex post quant	ity)					
	Was subsampling or estimation used?	,	Y N				
	# of lamps burned out in partial operation fixture						
Verification	(B) # of Non-Operable (broken/entire fixture burned						
Counts	place						
	(C) # of Units in Storage/Spares						
	Utility rebate sticker observed on packages?	Y N					
	Lamps/fixtures are NOT accessible (Check box & expl	lain in comments)					
	Number of units ph						
	*If more than one type	*Secondary					
Physical	Lamp Wattage Make/Manufacturer						
Inspection	Model/Lamp Code						
Data	Lamp Shape/Features Code						
		ΡΜΟΙΜΟ	РМСІМО				
	Lamp Base Type Code:	ADP GU24 OT	ADP GU24 OT				
	Installed and OP # of lamps						
	Is post-installation operation the same as pre-re	etrofit operation?	Y BSCE				
Baseline System			N				
Summary Data	If pre-retrofit operation was differen	t, specify Sched #					
(Observed or		Lamp Type Code	B SC E				
Self-Reported)	Number of	lamos per fixture	B SC E				
	Number of	lamps per fixture	BSCE				
	Observed versus Rebated # of Units is: E=Equal M=More	L=Less OT (describe)	E M L OT				
It Disposition Not	Self-Reported # of rebated units onsite (probe for reb	ated under 10-12)					
Site Contact/Self-	Others purchased since rebated units installed						
Report Questions	(D) # of units located at Other Affiliated Sites						



Baseline Sources:

- B Baseline equipment (includes physical inspection, documentation, or building/energy management system)
- SC Site Contact
- E Engineering estimate

Failed (and Replaced) <u>Rebated</u> Units (Indirect/Self- Report)	How long o (E) # of reb # of rebate	How long did units typically operate before failure (months)? (E) # of rebated units that Failed, but replaced w/ incandescent # of rebated units that Failed but were replaced in-kind (Ref)					
Removed	(F) # of re	(F) # of rebated units that were Removed and not replaced					
Repated Units	when w	reference units removed? (month/year if possible)					
(Indirect/Self-	Describ	Describe why units were removed in comments					
		(Sum A-F) Total # of units accounted for on-site	(reqd)				
Total # of units (A	-F) MORE	# that were rebated by other programs/projects?					
than Rebated #	of Units	# that were obtained from OTHER means (explain in comments)?					
		# of rebated units, other site contact explanation (note in					
I otal # of units (A-F) LESS than	comments)					
Rebated # of	Units	# of rebated units, unaccounted for					

LED – Activity Area Assignment Table

Measure Code:___

Use this table to associate LED # of units to Activity Areas, equipment operation schedules, and lighting loggers. The values in the "Represented # of Units" column must add up to the total # of installed and operational units in the table above.

Area ID #	Sched #	ltem #	Primary or Secondary Type	Control type Code	Repres. # of Units	% of Total Inst&Op. Units (Ref)	Primary Logger S/N	Ref. Logger	Back-up Logger S/N	Comments
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			ΡS			%				
			P S			%				



% <= Totals # of Installed & Operational Units check (no data entry)

Comments:	

Baseline Characterization

Please describe why these lights were changed to LEDs instead of any other lighting		
technology		
	Approximate age of existing lighting system prior to retrofit (years)	
	Condition of original fixtures prior to retrofit (Good, Fair, Poor)	GFP
	What % of original fixtures were completely burned out?	
	What % of original fixtures were partially burned out?	
On a scale of 1-10, Please rate t	he following topics on their level of influence for retrofitting the lightir	ıg
	Burned out fixtures	
	Adequate lighting levels	
	Major Renovation / Re-Modeling	
	Safety of Occupants	
	Productivity of Occupants	
	Lowering energy consumption and energy bills	
	Long lamp life	
	Low maintenance	
	Going green	
	Utility Incentive	
	Other (describe in comments)	
Considering all of the in program: How long would	fluential factors above, in the absence of an energy efficiency rebate you have continued to operate the original fixtures before replacing	

Comments:	 	 	





	Measure Category LEDFixture_MeasCategory								
	Measure Code LEDFixture_OS_MeasCode								
IOU	Measure Name		l	EDFixture_OS	MeasN	ame			
Tracking			Rebate	d #of Units	L	EDFixture _	ixture _IOUUnitQtyRebated		
Data			IOL	J <u>Unit Basis</u>		LEDFixtu	ire_IOUUr	itBasis	
	Correct	<u>Unit I</u>	<u>Basis</u> (if incorrect ab	ove above)					
	Can Reb	ated I	measures be clearly	identified?			Y N		
			Insid	e or outside	e lightir	ng?	I	0	
				Ceiling h	eight iı	n ft			
			Fixture h	neight from	floor iı	n ft			
				Ltg Applicat	tion Co	ode			
			Fixt	ure Mount t	type co	ode			
			Tota	al number o	of fixtu	res			
Visual	If I ED Linear Tubes or	Fix	ture Replacement o	or Lamp Rep	lacem	ent	FR	LP	
Verification	Track lighting fixtures		PREDOMINAN	<u>r</u> # Lamps p	er Fixti	ure			
Data			Тс	otal number	r of lan	nps			
			Lamp S	Shape/Featu	ures Co	ode			
	If LED bar, strip, string, or tape: Provide length (ft)								
	If LED panel/head : Provide dimensions (length X width in ft)						Lengt		
	If LED linear fi x	xture:	Fixture dimensions	(length X w	idth in	ft)	Ler	igth	
				and Tube I	ength	(ft)			
			Multilevel: Fixtur	e or Lamp s	witche	ed?	Y	<u>N</u>	
	(A) Installed & Operational # of units (ex post quantity)								
Verification	Was sub sampling or estimation used?							Ŷ	N
Counts	# of <u>lamps</u> burned	out in	partial operation fi	xtures				+	
	(C) # of Rebated Units in Storage/Sparse							-	
		on Su	ov if Eixtures are NO	Taccessible	lovnic	nin in con	nmonts)	+;	
Physical			Nu	mber of uni	its phy	sically in	spected		
Inspection	If the Unit Basis = Lan	nn			<u>ر، بر مەر</u>	Fixture M	/attage:		
Data	Provide Lamp informat	tion	Fixture Make/Mar	nufacturer		inter e	rattage.		
	instead of Fixture inf	fo	Fixture Mode	l Number					
Baseline	ls post-insta	allatio	n operation the sam	e as pre-ret	rofit	Y N		B SC	E
System	If pre-retrofi	t oper	ration was different,	specify Sch	ed #				
Summary	Control type Code							B SC	E
			l	amp Type C	Code			B SC	E
	(If LF Baseline) - Tube Length and Diameter (e.g. 4ft T12)							B SC	E
	# Lamps/Fixture							B SC	E
	Lamp Wattage							B SC	E
	If NOT LF Baseline:	Fixtu	re Description (i.e.					B 50	
		uniq	ue characteristics)					ם אנ	C
	Observed versus R	ebate	ed # of Units is: E=Equ	al M=More	L=Less	OT (descril	be) E	ML	ОТ

Indoor/Outdoor LED Hardwired Fixture Lighting Measures

Baseline Sources:

- B Baseline equipment (includes physical inspection, documentation, or building/energy management system)
- SC Site Contact
- E Engineering estimate



If Disposition Not Equal:	Self-Reported # of rebated units onsite (probe for rebated under 10-	
Site Contact/Self-Report	Others purchased since rebated units installed	
Questions	(D) # of units located at Other Affiliated Sites	
Failed (and Replaced)	How long did units typically operate before failure (months)?	
<u>Rebated</u> Units	(E) # of rebated units that Failed, but were replaced w/ <u>different tech</u>	
(Indirect/Self-Report)	# of rebated units that Failed but were replaced in-kind (Ref)	
Removed <u>Rebated</u> Units	(F) # of rebated units that were Removed and not replaced	
(Indirect/Self-Report)	When were the units removed? (month/year if possible)	
	Describe why units were removed in comments	
	(Sum A-F) Total # of units accounted for on-site	(reqd)
Total # of units (A-F) MORE	# that were rebated by other programs/projects?	
than Rebated # of Units	# that were obtained from OTHER means (explain in comments)?	
Total # of units (A-F) LESS than	# of rebated units, other site contact explanation (note in	
Rebated # of Units	# of rebated units, unaccounted for	

LED Fixture - Activity Area Assignment Table (AAAT)

Measure Code:

Use the AAAT below to associate lighting units to Activity Areas, equipment oper. Schedules, and lighting loggers. The values in the "Represented # of Units" column must add up to the **total # of Installed and Operational** units in the table above.

- If ONLY FIXTURE **DENT LL**: Only fill out **AAAT** below.
- If DENT LL & (DENT CT or HOBO): Fill out AAAT with logger info & the HIGHBAY Form for Panel Metering
- If ONLY PANEL METERING: Check N/A box and only fill out HIGHBAY Form.

Circle all that apply: (If Verify Only, circle 'NA', and fill out AAAT)

Metering Type:	DENT LL	DENT CT	HOBO	NA	
----------------	---------	---------	------	----	--

?

									N/A
Area ID #	Sched #	ltem #	Control Type Code	Repres. # of Units	% of Total Inst&Op. Units (Ref)	Primary Logger S/N	Ref. Logger	Back-up Logger S/N	Comments
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%				
					%	<= Total # of Ins	talled & Operat	ional Units c	heck (no data entry)



Baseline Characterization

Please describe why these lights were changed to LEDs instead of any other lighting technology		
	Approximate age of existing lighting system prior to retrofit (years)	
	Condition of original fixtures prior to retrofit (Good, Fair, Poor)	GFP
	What % of original fixtures were completely burned out?	
	What % of original fixtures were partially burned out?	
On a scale of 1-10, Please rate t	he following topics on their level of influence for retrofitting the lightin	ıg
	Burned out fixtures	
	Adequate lighting levels	
	Major Renovation / Re-Modeling	
	Safety of Occupants	
	Productivity of Occupants	
	Lowering energy consumption and energy bills	
	Long lamp life	
	Low maintenance	
	Going green	
	Utility Incentive	
	Other (describe in comments)	
Considering all of the in program: How long would	fluential factors above, in the absence of an energy efficiency rebate you have continued to operate the original fixtures before replacing	



General Comments

ltem #	Form Name	Comments



Site Photo Log

Record site photo information here including the PhotoID (i.e. digital file name) and a brief description of the photo where needed. Site Photos should include the site entrance and entire building, rebated measures, and close-up photos of nameplates, lamp codes, and other make/model identification. Refer to the training manual for more on what photos to take. Photo/file naming conventions is SiteID_Item# or SiteID 00# (e.g. PGE_056789_1.jpg, PGE_056789 001.jpg).

Item #	Description/Comments/Measure Code (no data entry)
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

APPENDIX C SELF-REPORT AND BUSINESS HOUR METHODOLOGY

Are the Lights Really ON? Leveraging a Cost Effective Approach to Estimate Lighting Usage in Nonresidential Buildings

David Gonzales, Itron, Inc., San Diego, CA

Brian McAuley, Itron, Inc., San Diego, CA

ABSTRACT

There are a number of methods by which lighting usage can be estimated within nonresidential buildings. These methods range from the inexpensive, but less accurate – utilizing a facility's business hour schedule – to the more efficient, but more costly – installing onsite monitoring equipment. The difficulty with the first approach is that it ignores the variability in a facility's lighting load shape throughout open hours and does not capture any usage during closed hours or shoulder hours, which generally refer to the hours just before opening and right after closing. The latter approach involves extensive on-site visits that involve the installation of monitoring equipment over a long period of time.

This paper will discuss the methods and findings that were developed from comparing business hours and customer self-reported lighting usage to actual monitored lighting data. These results will provide evaluators with two cost effective methods for obtaining accurate lighting usage estimates within nonresidential buildings. With the self-report method, a ratio (or adjustment factor) of actual logger to self-report usage has been developed for linear and non-linear technologies at the building type and activity area level throughout open business hours. With the second approach, a usage rate (based on actual logger data) has been developed for three periods outside of open hours – an open/closed shoulder rate and a closed rate.

Introduction

This paper discusses methods that evaluators can leverage which are cost effective alternatives to installing onsite monitoring equipment to estimate lighting usage in nonresidential buildings. The paper relies on the results that were garnered from three extensive evaluation studies that were conducted within California. The onsite data collection effort for these studies included the installation of over 3,200 loggers monitoring CFLs and LEDs at more than 900 sites and roughly 5,000 loggers monitoring linear fluorescents at almost 900 sites. Along with the installation of monitoring equipment, auditors also collected business hour schedules from the site contact, including seasonal and holiday hours as well as hourly self-reported estimates of lighting usage by activity area.



This paper will discuss the methods and findings that were developed from comparing business hours and self-reported lighting usage to actual monitored lighting usage. With the self-report method, a ratio (or adjustment factor) of actual logger to self-report usage has been developed for each technology, building type and activity area throughout open business hours. With the second approach, a usage rate (based on actual logger data) has been developed for three periods outside of open hours – an open/closed shoulder rate, which is defined as two hours prior to opening and two hours after close and a closed rate, which is defined as all closed hours not within the shoulder hours.

Background

This paper leverages a method for estimating lighting usage in nonresidential buildings that was first presented at the 2011 IEPEC conference, *"Is the Customer Always Right? Two Cost-Effective Methods for Determining Lighting Usage in Commercial Buildings"* and expands upon those findings by including additional logger data that were collected for three impact evaluations prepared by Itron, Inc. for the California Public Utilities Commission – 2006-2008 Small Commercial Contract Group Direct Impact Evaluation Report (Sm Com)¹, 2010-2012 Nonresidential Downstream Lighting Impact Evaluation (NRL)² and 2010-2012 LED Impact Evaluation (LED)³. The primary purpose of those studies was to evaluate the California investor owned utilities' energy efficiency claims for each of the program periods detailed above. Each of these evaluations involved an extensive statewide phone survey effort and on-site verification as well as time-of-use data collection for several high impact lighting measures, including CFLs, LEDs and linear technologies installed in nonresidential buildings.

Data Sources

The three main sources of on-site data that were used in this paper from the evaluations detailed above were participant business hours, participant self-reported lighting usage and lighting logger data. Participant business hours were collected as part of the initial phone survey and were confirmed by an auditor at the time of the on-site visit. In order to capture any variability in business hour operations throughout the year, the auditor not only collected the open and close time for each day of the week, but they also captured any seasonal operations and holiday schedules.

Self-reported lighting usage was gathered at the time of the on-site visit. Since different activity areas⁴ within a building generally have different lighting usage schedules, the site contact was asked to estimate

¹ The Small Com Report can be found at www.CALMAC.org. Study ID: CPU0019.01.

² The NRL Report can be found at www.CALMAC.org. Study ID: CPU0078.01.

³ The LED Report can be found at www.CALMAC.org. Study ID: CPU0101.01.

⁴ Activity areas are defined as areas within the facility that have different occupancy and usage patterns. For example, the restroom(s) in a retail establishment may have a different usage pattern throughout business hours than the retail sales area.



the operating schedules for each of the activity areas where rebated measures were installed. The site contact was the individual who met with the surveyor onsite and, typically, was most knowledge about the facility's operations. These self-reported operating hours were collected as the percent of time "ON" per hour for each hour in each day of the week.

The time-of-use data were obtained through the installation of lighting loggers. A technical description of the lighting loggers and the installation/extraction procedures can be found in the NRL Report, Appendix G. Lighting loggers using optical sensors were the predominant type used for these studies, however, when lighting was not accessible, logging was done at the electrical panel where circuit amperage could be collected in order to develop lighting load shapes. As part of the on-site visit, surveyors attempted to log every representative activity area where rebated measures were installed. These loggers were generally in the field for anywhere from four weeks to one year.

Processing of Data

After the loggers were extracted, the data was processed into a percent "ON" per hour format such that the actual lighting usage for each activity area could be compared to the business and self-reported hours of operation. Figure 1 provides a site-specific example of those comparisons. The figure presents the average logger data collected for a typical weekday in the office area of an office building. The vertical axis represents the percent "ON" per hour for that day. The business hours have a value of one when the office building is open and a value of zero during closed hours. Likewise, the site contact self-reported that the lighting within the office area was "ON" eighty percent of the time throughout the open hours.





FIGURE 1: ACTUAL, SELF-REPORTED LIGHTING USAGE AND BUSINESS HOURS FOR A LOGGER MONITORING AN OFFICE

Figure 1 reveals a few important distinctions that, ultimately, represent the motivation behind this analysis. The first is that business hours may not be a reliable proxy to use in developing usage shapes and lighting load impacts. Customer self-reported lighting usage, which was garnered from the on-site visit, is 20% less than business hour estimates throughout the open period. The second is that actual lighting usage, which was garnered from monitoring data, is much less than both business hour and self-report estimates throughout open hours and there is significant hourly variability throughout that time frame. The third is that business hours and self-reports (in this case) do not account for any lighting usage throughout time periods prior to open or after close.

However, the intent of this analysis was not to accurately predict lighting usage at a single site, but rather for a large sample of similar technologies, building types and space types. In order to aggregate these adjustments and usage rates, logger data was compared to the business hours of the facility and each self-reported schedule at the facility. As mentioned above, for each hour in each day, four usage periods were generated for each facility – Open, Open Shoulder, Closed Shoulder and Closed. The actual and selfreported usage rates were then calculated for each logger by use period within the site and each logger was aggregated to a site-activity area level by measure. This aggregation only occurred when there was more than one logger installed in similar space types. The aggregation from individual loggers to activity areas was done based on the number of lamps that each logger was monitoring.



Results

Two sets of data were generated from the analysis detailed above – usage rates and adjustment factors. The results from the usage rates can be applied by knowing business operating hours, building type and activity areas and, in the case of the adjustment factors, by knowing the customer self-reported operating schedules which is typically gathered from on-site data collection.

Business Hour Rates

The business hour rates represent the actual average usage found in the logger sample for each use period by technology, building type and activity area. The usage rate represents a constant factor than can be applied to all hours within each use period and includes data from normal operation schedules as well as seasonal operations, where applicable. If a participant had more than one business operating schedule and logger data was collected during those times, the single hourly average usage rate for that logger (for each use period) was developed by weighting the number of days in the year represented in each schedule. Each individual logger was then weighted by the total number of lamps represented by the logger along with the total number of hours associated with each use period.

Table 1 and Table 2 present the results from that aggregation. Building type-activity area combinations for which at least 6 sites were monitored are included in these tables. The "Other" building type and "Other Miscellaneous" activity area represent all the unique building type or building type-space types where there were less than 6 sites represented in the sample.

Self-Report Adjustment Factors

The adjustment factor represents the actual monitored usage divided by the self-reported use. Again, these ratios were generated at the technology, building type and activity area level much like the business hour rates, but are applied only for the open period. The reason why adjustment factors were not developed for the shoulder and closed periods is that self-reported usage was often claimed to be zero during these periods. A zero value cannot be adjusted by a multiplicative factor, therefore a constant factor is more appropriate when analyzing the closed and shoulder periods.

Table 1 and Table 2 present the results associated with the adjustment factor analysis. The self-reported usage can then be multiplied by the adjustment factor to generate a proxy percent "ON" value throughout the open hours by technology, building type and activity area. Also presented are the averages by technology and building type alone.



			Self-Reported	d Adjustment	Busines	s Hour Usage	Rates		
		Number	Self-Reported	Adjustment	Open	Closed			
Building Type	Activity Area	of Sites	Usage	Factor	Shoulder	Shoulder	Closed		
	Classroom	8	9%	0.53	0.00	0.03	0.01		
	Dining	15	57%	0.88	0.25	0.34	0.16		
	HallwayLobby	67	69%	0.87	0.35	0.32	0.16		
	Kitchen/Break Room	15	34%	0.58	0.14	0.15	0.06		
	Office	28	67%	0.53	0.07	0.14	0.05		
Assembly	OtherMisc	34	58%	0.85	0.18	0.23	0.10		
	Recreation	16	39%	0.40	0.05	0.10	0.04		
	Religious Worship	31	25%	0.64	0.04	0.09	0.03		
	Restrooms	53	35%	0.84	0.18	0.23	0.11		
	Storage	38	27%	0.88	0.11	0.11	0.05		
	All	119	50%	0.79	0.17	0.21	0.09		
	OtherMisc	15	70%	0.68	0.04	0.14	0.04		
Education –	Restrooms	17	38%	0.97	0.06	0.09	0.03		
Primary/Secondary	Storage	6	28%	0.34	0.02	0.04	0.02		
	All	26	60%	0.71	0.05	0.12	0.04		
	OtherMisc	7	70%	0.98	0.64	0.13	0.04		
Grocery	Storage	6	36%	1.54	0.10	0.10	0.02		
	All	9	56%	1.13	0.43	0.12	0.04		
	Comm/Ind Work	6	36%	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.00		
	HallwayLobby	47	82%	0.79	0.29	0.36	0.15		
	Kitchen/Break Room	8	43%	0.95	0.75	0.82	0.21		
Health/ Medical-	Office	28	85%	0.49	0.11	0.19	0.03		
Clinic	OtherMisc	12	55%	0.26	0.04	0.11	0.03		
	Restrooms	32	15%	1.04	0.03	0.05	0.01		
	Storage	13	9%	3.82	0.06	0.05	0.05		
	All	77	52%	0.42	0.24	0.30	0.10		
	Comm/Ind Work	13	28%	1.14	0.05	0.01	0.01		
	Dining	10	70%	0.91	0.06	0.18	0.07		
	Guest Rooms	93	34%	0.24	0.10	0.05	0.07		
	HallwayLobby	55	81%	0.87	0.21	0.19	0.25		
Lodging	Kitchen/Break Room	12	51%	0.67	0.40	0.27	0.13		
Louging	Office	13	81%	0.42	0.05	0.09	0.07		
	OtherMisc	13	46%	1.18	0.02	0.06	0.09		
	Restrooms	39	32%	0.22	0.16	0.15	0.09		
	Storage	13	27%	0.70	0.43	0.22	0.14		
	All	109	38%	0.36	0.11	0.08	0.08		

TABLE 1: SELF-REPORTED ADJUSTMENT FACTORS – NON-LINEAR FLUORESCENT



			Self-Reported	d Adjustment	Busines	s Hour Usage	Rates	
		Number	Self-Reported	Adiustment	Open	Closed		
Building Type	Activity Area	of Sites	Usage	Factor	Shoulder	Shoulder	Closed	
	HallwayLobby	21	86%	0.85	0.28	0.69	0.42	
	Office	6	90%	0.69	0.34	0.44	0.25	
Office – Large	OtherMisc	8	41%	0.68	0.05	0.15	0.08	
	Restrooms	11	30%	1.82	0.24	0.37	0.13	
	All	28	72%	0.87	0.26	0.53	0.31	
	Conference Room	9	29%	0.87	0.06	0.11	0.01	
	HallwayLobby	47	73%	0.76	0.29	0.33	0.15	
	Kitchen/Break	12	1 1 9/	0.95	0.06	0.09	0.02	
	Room	12	44%	0.85	0.00	0.08	0.03	
Office - Small	Office	39	82%	0.76	0.07	0.25	0.03	
	OtherMisc	13	50%	0.71	0.45	0.17	0.28	
	Restrooms	90	19%	0.93	0.06	0.08	0.03	
	Storage	22	33%	0.66	0.13	0.14	0.03	
	All	151	55%	0.77	0.16	0.20	0.08	
Other	OtherMisc	22	54%	0.83	0.24	Solution Closed Closed Shoulder Closed 0.69 0.42 0.44 0.25 0.15 0.08 0.37 0.13 0.53 0.31 0.11 0.01 0.33 0.15 0.08 0.03 0.17 0.28 0.08 0.03 0.14 0.03 0.14 0.03 0.20 0.08 0.21 0.04 0.23 0.12 0.24 0.37 0.21 0.04 0.09 0.04 0.19 0.09 0.04 0.01 0.05 0.06 0.33 0.11 0.27 0.12 0.33 0.11 0.27 0.12 0.33 0.12 0.34 0.12 0.35 0.27 0.26 0.13 0.10 0.02		
	All	151 55% 0.7 Misc 22 54% 0.8 22 54% 0.8 ayLobby 14 88% 0.8 11 81% 0.5 Misc 9 48% 0.7	0.83	0.24	0.24	0.37		
	HallwayLobby	14	88%	0.82	0.13	0.21	0.04	
	Office	11	81%	0.57	0.03	0.09	0.04	
Other Industrial	OtherMisc	9	48%	0.74	0.19	0.19	0.09	
Other mousthal	Restrooms	29	13%	1.32	0.08	0.04	0.01	
	Storage	7	25%	0.49	0.06	0.06	0.02	
	All	49	63%	0.73	0.09	0.12	0.04	
	Dining	101	87%	0.91	0.24	0.32	0.06	
	HallwayLobby	43	82%	0.80	0.43	0.38	0.29	
	Kitchen/Break	33	93%	0.90	0.49	0.33	0.11	
	Office	16	35%	1 16	0.29	0.27	0.12	
Restaurant	OtherMisc	8	62%	0.92	0.25	0.27	0.12	
Other Industrial	Bestrooms	70	52%	0.92	0.35	0.25	0.12	
	RetailSales	10	94%	0.98	0.31	0.51	0.14	
	Storage	54	42%	1 11	0.40	0.52	0.01	
		28 72% 0.87 0.26 0.53 0.31 9 29% 0.87 0.06 0.11 0.01 47 73% 0.76 0.29 0.33 0.15 12 44% 0.85 0.06 0.08 0.03 39 82% 0.76 0.07 0.25 0.03 13 50% 0.71 0.45 0.17 0.28 90 19% 0.93 0.06 0.08 0.03 22 33% 0.66 0.13 0.14 0.03 22 54% 0.83 0.24 0.24 0.37 22 54% 0.83 0.24 0.24 0.37 14 88% 0.82 0.13 0.19 0.09 29 13% 1.32 0.08 0.04 0.01 7 25% 0.49 0.06 0.06 0.02 49 63% 0.73 0.09 0.12						
	Office	4	97%	0.98	0.61	0.13	0.03	
	OtherMisc	6	90%	0.96	0.30	0.13	0.05	
	Restrooms	12	35%	1 35	0.35	0.51	0.13	
Retail – Large	RetailSales	22	95%	1.02	0.25	0.20	0.13	
	Storage	2.5	33%	0.25	0.20	0.10	0.02	
	All	39	95%	1.02	0.20	0.10	0.02	



			Self-Reported	l Adjustment	Business Hour Usage Rates			
Building Type	Activity Area	Number of Sites	Self-Reported Usage	Adjustment Factor	Open Shoulder	Closed Shoulder	Closed	
	Auto Repair Workshop	6	80%	0.63	0.19	0.29	0.15	
	Comm/Ind Work	9	80%	0.82	0.16	0.06	0.02	
	HallwayLobby	23	85%	0.63	0.30	0.28	0.17	
Retail – Small	Kitchen/Break Room	9	40%	0.62	0.12	0.13	0.09	
	Office	28	64%	1.19	0.39	0.37	0.28	
	OtherMisc	14	72%	0.58	0.15	0.19	0.02	
	Restrooms	126	15%	1.16	0.05	0.06	0.03	
	RetailSales	98	87%	0.98	0.31	0.19	0.09	
	Services	9	96%	0.91	0.34	0.43	0.17	
	All	227	79%	0.96	0.27	0.19	0.10	
	OtherMisc	11	83%	0.72	0.10	0.21	0.07	
Warehouse	Restrooms	15	6%	0.90	0.01	0.01	0.00	
	All	24	62%	0.73	0.08	0.17	0.06	

The results from the adjustment factor analysis for non-linear technologies (CFLs and LEDs) reveal that site contacts generally over-estimate lighting usage in their facilities for most building types. For example, the average overall self-reported lighting usage throughout open hours in office – small was 55%. However, the overall adjustment factor is .77, which reveals that actual usage, on average, was roughly 25 % lower.⁵ For retail – large, site contacts were generally accurate in predicting usage throughout open hours (1.02 adjustment factor). This was driven predominantly by an almost identical self-report to actual in retail sales areas.

The results from the usage rate analysis reveal that facilities experience measured lighting loads throughout closed hours. The most significant loads come during the two hours prior to opening and two hours after close (the shoulder periods). For example, the average usage for restaurants for each hour in the open and closed shoulder period was .30 and .34, respectively. Likewise, the usage rate throughout all other closed hours was .12 with the most significant load being generated in retail sales areas and hallways/lobbies.

⁵ A 42% actual divided by the 55% self-report yields an adjustment factor of .77 throughout open hours.



			Self-Reporte	d Adjustment	Business Hour Usage Rates			
Building Type	Activity Area	Number of Sites	Self-Reported Usage	Adjustment Factor	Open Shoulder	Closed Shoulder	Closed	
	Classroom	30	64%	0.47	0.05	0.12	0.02	
	Conference Room	7	55%	0.55	0.14	0.27	0.06	
	Dining	14	63%	0.64	0.27	0.11	0.06	
	HallwayLobby	32	91%	0.42	0.17	0.33	0.13	
	Kitchen/Break Room	31	43%	0.83	0.18	0.22	0.07	
Accombly	Office	43	66%	0.57	0.26	0.20	0.06	
Assembly	OtherMisc	28	91%	0.61	0.35	0.33	0.20	
	Recreation	21	75%	0.63	0.11	0.26	0.06	
	Religious Worship	8	30%	0.31	0.05	0.06	0.04	
	Restrooms	23	47%	1.45	0.42	0.47	0.28	
	Storage	24	45%	0.78	0.37	0.36	0.15	
	All	70	76%	0.57	0.21	0.26	0.11	
	Classroom	48	76%	0.67	0.03	0.14	0.02	
	HallwayLobby	24	78%	1.00	0.22	0.45	0.16	
	Kitchen/Break Room	22	62%	0.98	0.22	0.26	0.07	
Education —	Office	32	76%	0.91	0.13	0.25	0.06	
Primary/Secondary	OtherMisc	24	76%	0.74	0.11	0.37	0.06	
	Kitchen/Break Room Office OtherMisc Restrooms Storage All		46%	1.24	0.10	0.22	0.04	
	Storage	11	10%	1.49	0.02	Open ShoulderClosed ShoulderClosed Closed0.050.120.020.140.270.060.270.110.060.170.330.130.180.220.070.260.200.060.350.330.200.110.260.060.350.330.200.110.260.060.350.360.140.420.470.280.370.360.150.210.260.070.220.450.160.220.450.160.220.450.060.130.250.060.140.370.060.150.210.020.160.220.040.170.290.090.540.310.150.660.300.040.240.460.180.250.270.010.660.270.010.770.290.060.170.290.060.170.290.060.170.290.060.170.290.060.170.290.060.050.270.010.060.270.010.070.170.060.050.270.010.050.270.010.060.290.020.070.170.060.020.06		
	All	59	74%	0.72	0.07	Business Hour Usage RatesOpen shoulderClosed ShoulderClosed Closed0.050.120.020.140.270.060.270.110.060.270.110.060.170.330.130.180.220.070.260.200.060.350.330.200.110.260.060.350.060.040.420.470.280.370.360.150.210.260.070.220.450.160.220.450.060.110.370.060.120.220.040.130.250.040.140.370.060.150.120.020.160.330.220.170.290.090.540.300.140.210.370.050.170.290.060.170.290.060.170.290.060.170.290.060.020.170.060.020.170.060.050.270.010.060.200.020.070.170.060.020.060.020.150.320.340.540.520.34		
	OtherMisc	6	84%	0.71	0.09	0.29	0.09	
Gracowy	RetailSales	14	95%	1.01	0.54	0.31	0.16	
Grocery	Storage	7	73%	0.97	0.33	0.22	0.15	
	All	14	91%	0.96	0.45	0.30	0.15	
	Comm/Ind Work	15	81%	0.79	0.06	0.30	0.04	
	HallwayLobby	40	91%	0.89	0.24	0.46	0.18	
	Kitchen/Break Room	19	68%	0.87	0.21	0.37	0.05	
Houlth /Modiaul	Office	44	69%	0.83	0.17	0.29	0.06	
Clinic	OtherMisc	17	77%	0.52	0.05	0.27	0.01	
Chint	Patient Rooms	10	28%	0.51	0.06	0.20	0.02	
	Restrooms	15	22%	1.38	0.07	0.17	0.06	
	Storage	18	32%	1.18	0.02	0.06	0.02	
	All	54	75%	0.73	0.15	0.32	0.08	
Laundry	OtherMisc	7	100%	0.93	0.54	0.52	0.34	
	All	7	100%	0.93	0.54	0.52	0.34	

TABLE 2: SELF-REPORTED ADJUSTMENT FACTORS – LINEAR FLUORESCENT



			Self-Reporte	d Adjustment	Business Hour Usage Rates			
Building Type	Activity Area	Number of Sites	Self-Reported Usage	Adjustment Factor	Open Shoulder	Closed Shoulder	Closed	
	Comm/Ind Work	6	88%	0.74	0.37	0.54	0.24	
	Conference Room	13	33%	0.92	0.04	0.09	0.04	
	HallwayLobby	16	94%	0.85	0.43	0.48	0.26	
Office Lawre	Kitchen/Break Room	12	82%	0.93	0.36	0.52	0.23	
Office - Large	Office	22	90%	0.77	0.42	0.55	0.25	
	OtherMisc	10	44%	1.00	0.32	0.38	0.27	
	Storage	11	55%	0.99	0.10	0.12	0.11	
	All	26	82%	0.80	0.39	0.51	0.24	
	Comm/Ind Work	17	79%	0.77	0.14	0.22	0.10	
	Conference Room	22	58%	0.80	0.17	0.17	0.02	
	Copy Room	11	80%	0.96	0.24	0.16	0.01	
	HallwayLobby	52	89%	0.84	0.19	0.21	0.05	
Office Small	Kitchen/Break Room	38	69%	0.84	0.17	0.23	0.04	
Office - Small	Office	92	82%	0.76	0.14	0.24	0.05	
	OtherMisc	16	75%	0.81	0.36	0.22	0.15	
	Restrooms	13	40%	0.84	0.05	0.14	0.05	
	Storage	34	52%	0.84	0.13	0.10	0.04	
	All	Number of SitesSec of Sitesn/Ind Work6rence Room13ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby12Misc10ge11ayLobby22Misc10ge11ayLobby52ayLobby52ayLobby52ayLobby52ayLobby52ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby12ayLobby12ayLobby12ayLobby13ge34ayLobby105Misc12ayLobby40ayLobby40ayLobby40ayLobby40ayLobby40ayLobby40ayLobby40ayLobby23ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby13ayLobby140ayLobby15ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLobby16ayLob	78%	0.79	0.16	0.22	0.05	
0thor	OtherMisc	12	40%	1.65	0.18	0.14	0.02	
Unier	All	12	40%	1.65	0.18	Notic Usage Rates Closed Closed Shoulder Closed 0.54 0.24 0.09 0.04 0.48 0.26 0.52 0.23 0.55 0.25 0.38 0.27 0.12 0.11 0.51 0.24 0.22 0.10 0.12 0.11 0.51 0.24 0.22 0.10 0.17 0.02 0.16 0.01 0.21 0.05 0.23 0.04 0.24 0.05 0.23 0.04 0.24 0.05 0.16 0.01 0.23 0.04 0.24 0.05 0.14 0.02 0.14 0.02 0.14 0.02 0.14 0.02 0.14 0.02 0.14 0.02 0.36 0.23 0.4 <td>0.02</td>	0.02	
	Auto Repair Workshop	7	92%	0.99	0.47	0.07	0.06	
	Comm/Ind Work	83	85%	0.85	0.28	0.32	0.14	
	Conference Room	16	9%	0.81	0.00	0.02	0.01	
	HallwayLobby	40	83%	0.76	0.33	0.36	0.23	
Athor Industrial	Kitchen/Break Room	25	56%	1.34	0.20	0.25	0.06	
	Office	Number of SitesSelf-Reported UsageAdjustment Factor 3 6 88% 0.74 0 2 88% 0.74 0 13 33% 0.92 0 16 94% 0.85 0 2 90% 0.77 0 22 90% 0.77 0 10 44% 1.00 1 10 44% 1.00 0 11 55% 0.99 0 22 90% 0.77 0 11 80% 0.96 0 11 80% 0.96 0 22 58% 0.80 0 11 80% 0.96 0 23 89% 0.84 0 34 52% 0.84 0 34 52% 0.84 0 12 40% 0.84 0 12 40% 0.84 0 13 40% 0.84 0 12 40% 0.84 0 12 40% 0.84 0 12 40% 0.85 0 14 9% 0.81 1 40 83% 0.76 0 20 66% 0.94 1 14 9% 0.92 1 12 40% 0.85 1 34 52% 0.88 1 34 52% 0.90 1 20 66% 0.94 </td <td>0.12</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>0.05</td>	0.12	0.18	0.05			
	OtherMisc	20	66%	0.94	0.10	0.38	0.09	
Office - Small Other Other Industrial Restaurant	Restrooms	23	14%	3.27	0.15	0.15	0.08	
	RetailSales	6	84%	0.95	0.35	0.30	0.22	
	Storage	53	74%	0.88	0.18	0.18	0.08	
	All	133	75%	0.90	0.23	0.27	0.11	
	Dining	19	79%	0.82	0.15	0.20	0.04	
	Kitchen/Break Room	21	91%	0.92	0.60	0.57	0.22	
Restaurant	OtherMisc	13	93%	0.90	0.26	0.26	0.03	
	Storage	11	79%	0.89	0.52	0.30	0.05	
	All	29	85%	0.88	0.33	0.33	0.10	



			Self-Reporte	d Adjustment	Business Hour Usage Rates			
Building Type	Activity Area	Number of Sites	Self-Reported Usage	Adjustment Factor	Open Shoulder	Closed Shoulder	Closed	
	Auto Repair Workshop	7	78%	1.04	0.50	0.39	0.02	
	Comm/Ind Work	6	97%	0.94	0.49	0.49	0.29	
	Conference Room	7	18%	1.41	0.05	0.09	0.02	
	HallwayLobby	11	96%	0.95	0.77	0.53	0.17	
Retail — Large	Kitchen/Break Room	12	80%	0.95	0.47	0.45	0.29	
	Office	25	80%	0.96	0.38	0.43	0.14	
	OtherMisc	9	93%	0.73	0.58	0.39	0.21	
	Restrooms	11	74%	1.28	0.59	0.70	0.44	
	RetailSales	32	97%	0.99	0.61	0.58	0.41	
	Storage	35	94%	0.61	0.52	0.48	0.31	
	All	51	94%	0.82	0.56	0.51	0.31	
	Auto Repair Workshop	45	85%	0.88	0.13	0.29	0.03	
	Comm/Ind Work	38	94%	0.91	0.25	0.30	0.09	
	HallwayLobby	39	84%	0.95	0.15	0.19	0.05	
	Kitchen/Break Room	33	81%	0.79	0.17	0.16	0.04	
Retail — Small	Office	84	82%	0.84	0.10	0.16	0.01	
	OtherMisc	23	84%	0.89	0.17	0.13	0.03	
	Restrooms	19	24%	0.91	0.05	0.12	0.02	
	RetailSales	104	96%	0.96	0.15	0.15	0.04	
	Services	15	93%	0.91	0.27	0.33	0.09	
	Storage	75	68%	1.03	0.16	0.22	0.06	
	All	208	88%	0.93	0.16	0.20	0.04	
	Comm/Ind Work	14	91%	0.76	0.24	0.14	0.06	
	Conference Room	12	30%	1.04	0.02	0.05	0.01	
	HallwayLobby	20	70%	0.73	0.26	0.10	0.04	
	Kitchen/Break Room	17	57%	0.90	0.19	0.17	0.05	
Warehouse	Office	44	85%	0.69	0.18	0.13	0.06	
	OtherMisc	22	45%	0.76	0.05	0.08	0.02	
	Restrooms	17	23%	1.52	0.13	0.13	0.04	
	Storage	58	71%	0.83	0.21	0.20	0.06	
	All	87	73%	0.78	0.19	0.16	0.05	

The results from the adjustment factor analysis for linear technologies yield similar results to the nonlinear lighting analysis for some building types and different results for others. The similarities and differences result from both the self-reported lighting usage as well as the accuracy of the self-report. For example, the self-reported usage for non-linear and linear technologies throughout open hours were 79% and 88%, respectively. However, the adjustment factors for each technology (.96 and .93) reveal that sit contacts over-estimated usage by a similar margin.



The results from the business factor analysis for linear technologies also reveal that facilities experience measured lighting loads throughout closed hours. For some building types like retail – large and office – large, those loads are quite substantial.

Application of Results

By applying the adjustment factors to the open time period and the usage rates to the closed and shoulder time periods, 8,760 load shapes can be developed at the measure and activity area level for each building type. As mentioned above, these estimation techniques are meant to be applied to a large sample of sites and are not meant to accurately predict usage at a single site. For the adjustment factors and usage rates, since business hours can vary considerably from one site to another, they are applied to each site in the sample individually and then aggregated together. Figure 2 provides an example of this for a non-linear technology (CFL or LED) installed in an office area of an office building. An adjustment factor of .76 was multiplied by the self-reported usage during open hours (from Table 1) and business rates (from Table 1) were applied to the closed and shoulder period for each site. These individual site profiles were then aggregated together to create a population-wide estimate of usage.



FIGURE 2: POPULATION BUSINESS HOURS, SELF-REPORT, ACTUAL USAGE AND SELF-REPORT ADJUSTMENT/ USAGE RATE



Conclusion

These results will provide evaluators with two cost effective methods for obtaining accurate lighting usage estimates within nonresidential buildings. Evaluators can apply these methods by using data collected throughout the on-site verification process. These data include the facility's business hour schedule and the self-reported lighting schedule for each activity area of measure installation. Likewise, evaluators can properly weight the activity area lighting load shapes to the site level by confirming the number of measure installations (by activity area). Evaluators can then apply the adjustment factors to the self-reported usage data collected on-site and apply the usage rates to the business operating hours to develop more reliable estimates of lighting load shapes. Furthermore, since these results are developed at the technology, building type, activity area and use period level, evaluators can better understand lighting operation nuances at a much more disaggregated level than by relying simply on annual operating hour estimates.

References

- Itron, Inc., 2014a. Nonresidential Downstream Lighting Impact Evaluation Report. Prepared for the California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division.
- Itron, Inc., 2014b. LED Impact Evaluation Report. Prepared for the California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division.
- Itron, Inc., et al., 2010. Small Commercial Contract Group Direct Impact Evaluation Report. Prepared for the California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division.
- Rector, B., Cavalli, J., Harcharik, R. August 2011. "Is the Customer Always Right? A Cost-Effective Methods for Estimating Lighting Usage in Commercial Buildings". 2011 International Energy Program Evaluation Conference.

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<fm050> What is the main business activity at this facility?</fm050>										
Offices (non-medical)	7.46	0.04	23.58	11.69	3.69	16.17	8.61	0.00	26.45	4.11
Ecod Store (grocery/liguor/convenience)	0.51	0.12	1.56	0.25	0.00	4.65	0.59	0.00	2.63	0.00
Agricultural (farms, greenhouses)	19.58	54.03	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Retail Stores	28.07	14.91	25.93	4.87	0.00	12.07	57.19	0.00	10.70	6.36
Warehouse	0.96	0.00	0.80	4.01	12.55	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.00
Health Care	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.91	0.00	0.00	11.21	10.87
Lodging (hotel/rooms)	2 55	0.00	0.00	10.75	0.00	0.00	2.10	21.97	0.00	0.30
Public Assembly (church, fitness, theatre, library, museum, convention)	5.39	0.00	3.63	22.61	17.14	27.55	3.13	0.00	6.28	0.00
Services (hair, nail, massage, spa, gas, repair)	2.10	1.95	1.01	0.43	2.74	5.14	2.54	0.00	14.06	0.00
Industrial (food processing plant, manufacturing)	10.06	24.46	1.14	2.55	0.00	0.00	2.13	0.00	2.42	0.00
Laundry (Coin Operated, Commercial Laundry Facility, Dry Cleaner)	0.09	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Townhouse)	0.35	0.00	0.00	1.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.39	3.12
Public Service (fire/police/postal/military)	1.46	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.00	5.38	2.96	0.00	0.00	24.75
Other	13.31	2.57	4.76	23.72	52.73	18.62	17.48	78.03	6.87	50.49
REFUSED	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<fm050a> Which of the following types of offices best describes this</fm050a>	facility?									
Administration and management	72.11	100.00	76.30	46.58	100.00	30.88	83.15	0.00	86.41	100.00
Insurance/Real Estate	10.95	0.00	16.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.85	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed-Use/Multi-tenant	7.52	0.00	6.88	20.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.59	0.00
Government Services	0.82	0.00	0.00	33.34	0.00	35.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	33	1	5	4	1	6	4	0	10	2
<fm050b> Which of the following types of restaurants or food service East East Service</fm050b>	e best des	scribes th	is facility	?	0.00	100.00	67.42	0.00	14 14	0.00
Specialty/Novelty Food Service	29.25	0.00	92.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	56.48	0.00
Table Service	20.47	100.00	7.17	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.38	0.00
Other	12.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	11	1	2	1	0	2	2	0	3	0
<em050c> Which of the following types of food stores best describe</em050c>	a thia faci									
Supermarkets	67.43	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Convenience Store	32.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
n	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
CEMOEODS What turns of agricultural facility is this?			_			_			_	
Commercial Greenhouse	90.22	90.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dairy/Ranch	0.40	0.00	0.00	70.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vineyard/Orchard	0.17	0.00	0.00	29.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	9.21	9.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
<fm050e> Which of the following types of retail stores best describes</fm050e>	s this faci	lity?								
Department/Variety Store	4.46	0.00	0.98	47.47	0.00	53.82	4.21	0.00	0.00	0.00
Retail Warehouse/Club	14.78	77.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shop in Enclosed Mall	2.45	0.00	32.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shop in Strip Mall	5.13	0.00	28.91	0.00	0.00	41.92	3.77	0.00	18.35	0.00
Heavy Equipment Sales	0.19	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Facility is a Mall/Strip Mall	17.48	0.00	0.00	52.53	0.00	0.00	22.86	0.00	0.00	100.00
Other	4.81	21.34	4.19	0.00	0.00	4.26	0.00	0.00	46.89	0.00
n	31	5	5	2	0	5	9	0	4	1

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<fm050f> Which of the following types of warehouses best describe Agricultural (forms, groophouses)</fm050f>	s this fac	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Agricultural (larins, greenhouses) Warehouse	69.56	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Education	13.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	6	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	0
			-							
<fm050g> Which of the following types of health care centers best d</fm050g>	escribes 1	this facilit	: y?	0.00	0.00	46.00	0.00	0.00	10.14	0.00
	14.11 30.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.32	0.00	0.00	10.14	100.00
Dentist's Office	10.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Veterinary Hospital/Clinic	16.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.82	0.00
Other	27.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.03	0.00
n	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1
<fm050h> Which of the following types of educational centers best of</fm050h>	lescribes	this facili	ty?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	400.00
Daycare or Preschool	2.18	0.00	12.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Elementary School Middle/Secondary School	22.04	28 16	13.20	30.82	100.00	0.00	9.21	100.00	100.00	0.00
College or University	20.04	20.10	42.53	61 77	0.00	0.00	20.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	24.94	22.04	38.89	1.41	0.00	0.00	70.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	28	4	8	8	1	0	4	1	1	1
					•	•				
<fm050i> Which of the following types of lodging best describes this</fm050i>	facility?			<u> </u>	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00		
Hotel	87.87	0.00	0.00	80.31	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Motel	12.13	0.00	0.00	19.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	15	0	0		0	0	2	0	0	0
<fm050j> Which of the following types of public assembly buildings</fm050j>	best desc	cribes this	s facility?							
Religious Assembly (worship only)	13.69	0.00	71.09	1.60	36.05	51.41	18.46	0.00	33.45	0.00
Religious Assembly (mixed use)	18.95	0.00	6.45	0.00	0.00	15.78	81.54	0.00	66.55	0.00
Health/Fitness Center/Athletic Center/Gym	32.28	0.00	0.00	47.32	63.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Community Center/Activity Center	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	33.28	0.00	22.46	51.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	10	0	5	3	2	4	2	0	4	0
<fm050k> Which of the following types of service buildings best des</fm050k>	cribes thi	s facility?	•							
Hair Salon	3.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	4.24	0.00
Gas Station/Auto Repair	55.46	63.08	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	77.76	0.00	6.67	0.00
Gas Station w/Convenience Store	3.94	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Car Wash	12.18	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	22.24	0.00	0.00	0.00
	25.17	30.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	09.09 4	0.00
	15			,	1	'	2	0	7	0
<fm050l> Which of the following types of buildings best describes t</fm050l>	his facility	/?								
Assembly/Light Manufacturing	0.93	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Food Processing Plant	77.75	83.86	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Recycling Center	3.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chemical/Petrochemical Production	12.36	14.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Industrial Process	3.08 1.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	0.41	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	12	6	1	1	0	0.00	3	0	1	0.00
					-	-		-		
<fm050m> What type of laundry facility is this?</fm050m>										
Coin Operated	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<fm050n> Which of the following types of buildings best describes t</fm050n>	his facility	y?								
Condominium	45.60	0.00	0.00	30.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Apartment	54.40	0.00	0.00	69.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
n	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1
<em0500> Which of the following types of buildings best describes t</em0500>	his facility	2								
Police station	5 43	y r 0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	91.86	2 61	0.00	0.00	0.00
General Government (Municipal/State/Federal Agency Buildings)	80.99	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	97.39	0.00	0.00	43.65
Public Park	13.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.35
n	8	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	2
<cc2a> What is the total square footage at this facility?</cc2a>										
Less Than 1500 sq ft	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	3.28	1.55	0.00	9.36	0.00
Between 1500 and 5000 sq ft	2.08	1.11	6.25	1.47	6.69	23.09	0.96	0.00	13.42	0.00
Between 5000 and 10,000 sq ft	2.00	1.23	2.08	1.60	24.12	4.95	1.97	0.00	5.13	0.61
Between 10,000 and 25,000 sq ft	3.97	2.46	19.82	2.35	17.90	14.93	28.0	8.79	4.80	17.23
Between 25,000 and 50,000 sq ft	10.95	0.70	3.59	10.00	0.10	0.00	30.00 5.00	0.00	20.44	3.50
Between 75,000 and 75,000 sq ft	4.07	3.70	16.82	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	50.04	0.74	0.00
Over 100 000 sq ft (Ag area)	65 74	86.87	51 44	67.29	45 11	43.54	49.00	40.27	38.12	67.86
n	255	30	.30	60	40.11		-49.97	40.27	40	10
	200	00			72	00		,	10	
<cc3> Would you say that the floor area is?</cc3>										
less than 1,500 sq. ft.	5.94	0.00	0.00	8.29	0.00	10.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.40
1,500 - 5,000 sq. ft.	12.46	5.62	4.93	28.30	0.00	21.67	2.58	0.00	16.94	0.56
5,000 - 10,000 sq. ft.	5.39	0.00	6.59	1.79	72.90	9.98	4.89	0.00	20.71	0.00
10,000 - 25,000 sq. ft.	11.09	0.72	13.46	12.24	27.10	3.36	11.12	45.44	0.00	8.25
25,000 - 50,000 sq. ft.	1.58	2.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.71	0.00	11.24	0.00
50,000 - 75,000 sq. ft.	2.39	0.00	0.00	2.57	0.00	0.00	4.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
75,000 - 100,000 sq. ft.	19.32	0.00	47.66	0.00	0.00	20.77	37.76	54.56	0.00	0.00
	14.74	90.85	0.00	10.07	0.00	11.10	0.00	0.00	20.21	5.78
DON T KNOW	27.10	0.00	27.30	25	0.00	22.30	30.34	0.00	10	0.00
	//	7	0	25	2	11		2	10	7
<cc2c> Is the entire floor area of this facility heated or cooled?</cc2c>										
Yes	73.11	81.68	90.34	68.66	28.29	73.01	66.91	40.27	61.10	32.45
No	21.62	18.32	9.66	28.02	68.36	26.55	19.28	59.73	36.94	67.55
REFUSED	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	5.20	0.00	0.00	2.85	3.35	0.44	13.81	0.00	1.96	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
	f = = :!!:t+ :0									
Voczu> what percentage of the floor area is neated or cooled at this	34 07	40.77	20.07	52 71	46.06	45 50	5 / 1	85 20	27 83	70 77
Between 15 and 30 percent	6 29	0.00	0.00	24.05	4 01	40.00 6.06	2 00	14 71	10.06	0.00
Between 30 and 45 percent	3 10	1 69	0.00	10.30	0.00	29.53	0.00	0.00	5.38	0.00
Between 45 and 60 percent	2.17	0.00	0.00	0.57	49.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.08	0.00
Between 60 and 80 percent	25.04	56.35	78.77	0.50	0.00	0.00	15.41	0.00	5.28	0.00
Between 80 and 100 percent	1.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.49	0.00	0.72	0.00
DON'T KNOW	28.26	1.19	1.16	11.87	0.00	18.83	73.69	0.00	49.65	27.23
n	93	13	4	27	8	9	8	2	18	4

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<cc3a> What percentage of the floor area is heated or cooled?</cc3a>	44.00	0.00	40.04	00.04	40.00	01.00	40.00	00.70	00.45	4.07
Electricity	11.96	0.00	16.91	39.64	16.06	31.30	10.60	30.76	38.15	1.87
Gas Both electricity and cas	25 72	23.23	40.00	39.30	35.00 14 99	26.07	28.01	10.30 50.94	28.41	67 72
Propane	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	1.63	3.50	1.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
REFUSED	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.19	33.29	4.55	1.38	0.00	1.46	0.00
n	192	25	28	39	8	23	33	4	26	6
<c0> About what percentage of your operating costs does energy ac</c0>	count for?	?								
Less than 1 percent	1.62	0.33	1.18	4.96	0.00	0.51	1.44	0.00	7.65	0.00
1-2 percent	4.74	0.88	8.58	3.74	28.10	22.46	6.94	8.79	3.45	3.50
3-5 percent	5.69	4.56	7.79	10.91	18.02	25.07	2.38	18.30	13.13	0.00
6-10 percent	7.10	0.72	12.34	24.71	12.18	7.77	3.26	0.00	27.08	14.55
11-15 percent	11.80	13.64	0.11	12.50	10.96	9.31	13.08	0.00	1.68	21.67
21-50 percent	9.75	0.56	25.24	9.17	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.00	6.32	3.12
Over 51 percent	4.82	0.30	13.98	3.17	9.20	2.06	6.16	0.00	2.42	46.04
DON'T KNOW	37.49	67.16	22.36	17.42	17.84	27.23	19.59	72.91	37.06	11.11
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<cc4> Does your organization own, lease, or manage the facility?</cc4>	56.60	24.44	70 77	74.67	79.06	69.02	67.77	40.07	26.51	00.12
Uease/Rent	31 54	64 51	14 92	17.36	21 94	26.93	6.08	40.27	55 42	3 50
Manage	11.38	0.00	12.31	7.97	0.00	4.79	26.15	0.00	8.07	6.36
DON'T KNOW	0.39	1.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
CCEN llow many locations does your experimation have lo it. 2										
This facility only	41.33	64 44	46 40	56 43	53 13	52.38	5 26	78.03	83 75	18 14
2 to 4 locations	10.75	5.88	21.27	20.57	24.76	10.90	9.44	0.00	6.40	3.12
5 to 10 locations	10.02	5.74	1.62	5.57	0.00	12.08	19.97	0.00	1.66	3.50
11 to 25 locations	22.15	4.85	21.47	4.78	0.00	10.66	51.85	0.00	1.91	13.94
more than 25 locations	15.24	19.09	9.23	11.83	22.11	13.99	12.37	21.97	6.28	61.29
DON'T KNOW	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.82	0.00	0.00	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<cc6> How active a role does your organization take in making purcl</cc6>	nase decis	sions rela	ted to en	ergy using	g equipm	ent at this	a facility?	Would yo	ou say you	u are
Very active - involved in all phases and have veto power	53.91	66.64	76.52	52.77	33.60	32.92	36.35	40.27	47.98	85.68
Somewhat active - we approve decisions and provide some input and review	39.91	25.98	22.57	37.20	16.96	43.56	61.91	50.94	37.12	9.87
Slightly active - we have a voice but it's not the dominant voice	2.70	4.14	0.91	3.64	9.44	8.43	0.00	8.79	10.52	4.45
Not active at all - we're part of a larger firm	1.19	2.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.36	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.00
Not active at all - our firm doesn't get involved in these issues	1.63	0.22	0.00	6.39	40.00	5.85	0.00	0.00	1.14	0.00
REFUSED	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	1.73	0.00	1.91	0.00
n	200	30		00	12	30		4	40	10
<cc7> Does your firm have a maintenance company that you use to r equipment?</cc7>	naintain a	iny of you	ır building	g systems	such as	lighting, l	HVAC, ref	rigeration	, or food	service
Yes	69.85	84.68	40.15	44.17	25.49	36.38	76.25	50.94	54.93	84.14
No	28.76	15.32	43.53	55.83	74.51	58.67	23.75	49.06	45.07	15.86
DON'T KNOW	1.39	0.00	16.32	0.00	0.00	4.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	200	30	30	00	12	30	39	4	40	10

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

Itrón

		.ED_HIGHBAY	.ED_LOWBAY	.ed_outdoor Jre (%)	Ер_ніснвау	.ED_LOWBAY	.ed_outdoor_ re (%)	_LED_HIGHBAY	_LED_LOWBAY	LED_OUTDOO TURE (%)
	ΓL	GE_1 %)	GE_I	GE_I FIXTI	се_L %)	CE_L %)	CE_L IXTU	DGE %)	DGE %)	DGE
CC8> In what year was the facility built?	∢	L 0	L 5	<u> </u>	S S	S ⁵	ωп	S 5)	S S	<u></u>
After 2010	34.70	27.10	15.90	23.80	62.16	35.13	50.46	69.24	41.20	14.32
2000s	20.89	15.69	29.58	35.32	17.90	10.01	17.63	21.97	2.69	67.71
1980s	6.77	0.78	23.38	16.00	12.87	20.64	5.24	0.00	0.94	0.00
1970s	3.60	0.55	0.11	12.40	0.00	6.79	4.04	0.00	4.12	0.61
1960s	4.74	6.04	12.70	4.19	0.00	16.51	0.38	8.79	23.20	0.00
1950	3.35	0.25	2.58	0.25	3.38	1.58	8.62 39	0.00	1.08	0.00
	200									
<cc10> If you don't know, would you say it was</cc10>	1 07	0.00	0.00	E 00	0.00	4.96	0.91	0.00	19.01	0.00
1990s	5.84	9.17	0.00	7.35	22.35	4.80	4.24	0.00	4.76	0.00
1980s	50.60	15.28	16.10	46.47	20.19	49.00	74.17	0.00	2.61	100.00
1970s	18.83	69.95	4.38	4.42	39.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.19	0.00
1960s	3.81	0.00	0.00	6.47	0.00	10.90	2.62	73.57	0.00	0.00
Before 1950	1.60	0.00	6.88	0.00	17.63	0.00	0.00	26.43	1.59	0.00
DON'T KNOW	17.28	4.82	63.99	25.59	0.00	29.39	18.16	0.00	61.63	0.00
n	78	8	6	16	6	11	10	2	17	2
CC11> In what year was this facility last remodeled?										
Between 2010 and present	47.49	72.92	58.80	45.77	27.54	23.00	23.44	50.94	9.28	20.89
Between 2006 and end of 2009	26.08	7.03	9.30	25.98	6.18	14.50	52.96	18.30	7.87	24.81
Between 2000 and the end of 2005	2.32	0.00	1.14	10.95	0.00	7.84	1.16	0.00	5.11	0.00
REFUSED	14.54	6.87	28.45	13.53	59.56	36.17	13.28	30.76	45.97	54.30
DON'T KNOW	6.24	13.18	1.45	2.60	3.35	13.54	1.11	0.00	19.28	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<cc11a> Would you say the last remodeling was done</cc11a>										
Between 2010 and present	0.76	1.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Between 2006 and end of 2009	2.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.45	0.00
Between 2000 and the end of 2005	3.22	0.00	0.00	21.90	0.00	66.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Before the 1990s	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.21	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.00
DON'T KNOW	90.22	98.82	100.00	44.01	100.00	9.02	100.00	0.00	58.11	0.00
n	24	5	1	5	1	4	3	0	5	0
CC11AB> When you remodeled, did you change out your building sy	vstems?									
Yes	39.49	63.07	69.65	41.10	31.11	18.35	8.83	0.00	23.16	47.42
No	52.26	24.12	30.35	54.21	68.89	75.11	86.03	100.00	23.81	52.58
DON'T KNOW	8.25	12.81	0.00	4.70	0.00	6.54	5.15	0.00	53.03	0.00
n	177	21	19	49	/	10	31	2	24	0
<cc12a> In what year was this organization established at this locati</cc12a>	on?									
Between 2010 and present	40.79	53.17	22.82	16.21	6.09	11.31	49.25	8.79	13.44	4.45
Between 2006 and end of 2009 Between 2000 and the end of 2005	2.09	0.13 8 09	0.00 <u>9</u> 07	1.77	24 76	5.48 9.15	3.79 4 16	0.00	12.94	0.00
During the 1990s	19.82	15.80	28.64	23.40	22.11	21.28	18.55	21.97	5.29	82.26
During the 1980s	3.68	0.42	7.05	3.56	3.69	0.00	5.42	0.00	20.39	6.93
During the 1970s	4.75	0.00	15.84	20.48	6.69	18.44	0.00	0.00	5.12	0.00
During the 1960s	1.75 3.74	0.85	2.58	0.16 4 05	0.00	4.35 9.75	2.80	0.00	0.40	0.00
Before the 1950s	4.51	1.19	0.00	8.03	0.00	0.13	8.43	0.00	1.08	0.00
DON'T KNOW	10.75	15.34	1.31	6.88	9.20	20.11	7.05	69.24	26.22	6.36
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation



	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<cc12b> Would you say it was</cc12b>										
Between 2010 and present	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Between 2006 and end of 2009	3.77	0.00	0.00	26.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.95	0.00
Between 2000 and the end of 2005	6.33	7.15	0.00	10.34	100.00	28.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
During the 1990s	1.65	2.77	22.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
During the 1980s	45.73	88.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
During the 1970s	4.48	0.00	0.00	9.46	0.00	69.11	9.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
During the 1960s	6.39	0.00	0.00	19.44	0.00	0.00	8.19	26.43	18.61	0.00
During the 1950s	30.42	1.19	0.00	30.44	0.00	2.50	82.01	73.57	00.1Z	0.00
	1.19	0.00	2	5.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.52	0.00
		7	2	11	1	4	7	2	0	
<bc090> Has the square footage of the facility increased, decreased</bc090>	or remain	ed the sa	me since	January	2016?					
Increase in square footage	2.27	0.00	0.00	7.09	0.00	0.00	2.74	21.97	2.42	0.00
Stayed the same	93.59	88.49	100.00	92.91	100.00	100.00	97.26	78.03	97.58	100.00
DON'T KNOW	4.15	11.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<bc100> How many square feet were added?</bc100>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
1300	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
20000	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
33000	38 19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	92.96	0.00	0.00	0.00
40100	26.45	0.00	0.00	56.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
50000	20.32	0.00	0.00	43.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	0
<bc120> In what year did this <bc090> occur?</bc090></bc120>	_									
2016	30.17	0.00	0.00	43.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
2017	66.94	0.00	0.00	56.56	0.00	0.00	92.96	0.00	100.00	0.00
REFUSED	2.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	6	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	0
N1> Did you use a contractor/wonder to install any of the the energy	officient	noseuroe	that wor	nurchae	od throug	h tha prov	aram2			
	93 67	98 04	99 75	80 43	66 71	57 22	97 76	78.03	62 97	99.70
No	5.36	1.96	0.25	14.99	22.33	41.41	1.91	21.97	36.48	0.30
DON'T KNOW	0.97	0.00	0.00	4.59	10.96	1.37	0.33	0.00	0.56	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<v2> How did you come into contact with the contractor/vendor?</v2>										
They contacted you	19.22	6.91	16.17	31.90	74.02	46.27	24.12	0.00	56.39	52.82
You contacted them	14.94	13.22	21.17	23.92	0.00	15.80	12.75	0.00	17.36	11.44
You had worked with them before	54.56	77.30	34.80	27.64	0.00	9.75	51.85	0.00	23.68	4.46
	10.04	1.82	26.99	16.54	25.98	9.96	11.28	34.71	2.58	17.28
DONTKNOW	1.24	0.76	0.80	0.00	0.00	18.22	0.00	05.29	0.00	13.99
n	165	24	29	43	/	14	34	3		9
<v2a> In relation to this project, did the vendor/contractor approach</v2a>	vou abour	t vour ene	erav effici	ent equip	ment retro	ofit/install	ation?			
Yes	76.59	91.07	17.25	22.11	0.00	92.15	74.82	0.00	41.36	0.00
No	21.35	8.93	82.75	77.89	0.00	7.85	20.15	0.00	0.00	100.00
DON'T KNOW	2.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.03	0.00	58.64	0.00
n	50	9	9	15	0	2	11	0	3	1

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<v2b> On a scale of 0 - 10, with 0 being NOT AT ALL LIKELY and 10 i</v2b>	s VERY L	IKELY, ho	w likely i	s it that y	our organ	ization we	ould have	e installed	this new	equipment
had the contractor/vendor not contacted you?	E 40	0.55	05.05	10.00	0.00	60.66	0.01	0.00	20.00	02.25
	5.49 1.54	0.55	00.35	10.29	0.00 50.13	02.00	0.81	0.00	29.80	93.35
2	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	6 78	0.00	1 19	0.00	19.03	6.65
3	1.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	0.00	11.03	0.00
4	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	0.00
5	13.59	0.00	3.60	50.99	12.15	15.14	24.72	0.00	9.45	0.00
6	0.80	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	11.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	1.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.84	0.00	3.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	10.03	18.19	8.08	3.21	0.00	0.00	2.27	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	3.15	6.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 VERY LIKELY	61.11	74.66	22.97	22.95	0.00	0.00	61.42	0.00	28.73	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.10	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	86	10	13	17	5	9	14	0	15	3
$\sqrt{2}$ Did the contractor/condex tell you chout as recommend the pro-										
	73 43	74.37	47 11	55.66	74 02	65 21	86.87	76 55	48 11	63 73
No	23.80	25.63	52.89	42.38	25.98	26.14	7.50	23.45	34.10	22.29
DON'T KNOW	2.77	0.00	0.00	1.97	0.00	8.64	5.63	0.00	17.79	13.99
n	185	24	29	43	7	14	34	3	22	9
4 Prior to coming into contact with the contractor/vendor, did you	ır organiz	ation have	e plans to	replace/i	nstall this	s equipme	ent?			
Yes	56.44	98.80	51.66	54.64	43.09	26.34	22.69	14.71	3.38	22.62
No	43.20	1.20	48.34	45.36	56.91	73.66	76.45	85.29	96.62	77.38
DON'T KNOW	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	107	9	17	21	5	10	24	2	15	4
4</th <th></th> <th>ization w</th> <th></th> <th>installed</th> <th>the new o</th> <th>noray off</th> <th>iciont og</th> <th>uinmonth</th> <th>ad the</th> <th></th>		ization w		installed	the new o	noray off	iciont og	uinmonth	ad the	
<pre><v4a> Using the same scale of 0 - 10 as before, now intervisit that ye contractor/vendor not recommended it?</v4a></pre>	Sur organ		Julu nave	instaneu	the new e	energy en	icient eq	uipinent n	au the	
0 NOT AT ALL LIKELY	6.25	0.46	28.28	6.88	50,13	53.09	2.50	85.29	27.42	77.38
1	2.15	0.00	15.95	10.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.00	0.00
2	8.65	4.73	2.28	0.00	6.78	0.00	15.40	0.00	13.79	5.52
3	5.05	0.00	1.70	34.35	6.84	15.27	3.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.18	0.00	1.83	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.78	0.00
5	5.27	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.56	10.80	0.00	13.77	0.00
6	4.74	0.00	2.16	0.00	12.15	10.08	10.09	0.00	0.00	17.11
7	5.82	6.86	3.10	29.91	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.00	2.51	0.00
8	8.44	18.70	9.98	1.29	0.00	0.00	1.24	0.00	9.36	0.00
9	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.71	0.00	0.00
10 VERY LIKELY	53.29	67.97	34.73	16.67	24.10	0.00	56.24	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'I KNOW	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38	0.00

n	107	9	17	21	5	10	24	2	15	4	
---	-----	---	----	----	---	----	----	---	----	---	--

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<v4b> Using the same scale, how likely is it that your organization w</v4b>	ould have	installed	the energ	gy efficier	nt equipm	ent with t	he same	level of ef	ficiency i	f the
0 NOT AT ALL LIKELY	6.48	0.16	63.17	5.17	50.13	53.09	1.27	0.00	9.68	77.38
1	2.72	0.00	17.64	11.14	6.78	8.73	0.50	0.00	27.00	5.52
2	8.38	4.73	0.00	1.29	0.00	0.00	15.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	7.28	0.00	0.00	26.98	0.00	0.00	10.31	0.00	35.90	0.00
5	8.79	0.00	2.12	12.69	6.84	28.10	16.72	0.00	13.77	0.00
6	1.98	0.00	2.16	0.00	12.15	0.00	4.18	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	10.32	19.77	2.60	24.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.11
8	29.60	74.09	10.24	0.23	0.00	0.00	1.47	0.00	9.36	0.00
10 VERY LIKELY	21.96	0.00	0.00	15.63	0.00	0.00	48.14	0.00	0.00	0.00
REFUSED	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.43	0.30	0.24	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.79	85.29	0.00	0.00
n	107	9	17	21	5	10	24	2	15	4
V40> On a scale of 0 - 10, with 0 being not at all important and 10 be	ing very i	mportant.	, how imp	ortant wa	s the inp	ut from th	e contrac	tor vou w	orked wit	h in
deciding which specific equipment to install?	J							,		
0 Not at all important	1.40	0.00	0.00	12.26	6.78	24.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.41	1.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.01	0.00	2.16	0.00	0.00	28.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.11
6	1.23	0.00	1.83	0.50	50.13	0.00	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	5.05	4.73	0.74	0.00	6.84	1.75	5.16	0.00	4.36	72.47
8	30.33	67.23	5.34	34.93	12.15	10.08	1.47	0.00	9.90	5.52
10 VERY IMPORTANT	45.33	8.27	84.67	34.96	24.10	35.14	77.85	85.29	21.08	4.91
DON'T KNOW	2.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.81	0.00	4.38	0.00
n	107	9	17	21	5	10	24	2	15	4
<ap9> How did you EIRST learn about <1/11/1775/s program2</ap9>										
Bill insert	18.81	0.00	46.55	42.22	61.92	41.05	0.00	0.00	68.80	0.00
Program literature	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.70	0.00
Account representative	60.42	92.00	0.00	3.69	0.00	0.00	21.71	100.00	0.00	0.00
Program approved vendor Program representative	8.13	10.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 43.79	12.43	0.00	0.00	0.00
Utility or program website	78.77	96.81	70.76	0.00	0.00	2.05	23.68	0.00	52.80	0.00
Trade publication	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Conference	19.17	64.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.53	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newspaper article	1.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.03 26.09	0.00
Previous experience with it	14.39	0.00	90.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.42
Company used it at other locations	34.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.95	0.00	0.00	0.00
Contractor	75.86	0.00	89.77	68.67	47.56	0.00	80.76	0.00	0.00	79.02
Result of an audit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No other sources	87.97	94.10	98.79	88.77	95.20	90.91	75.78	100.00	93.28	30.31
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
REFUSED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
,DON'T KNOW	38.62	4.22	52.55	3.19	0.00	60.87	48.22	0.00	74.91	0.00
n	38	4	2	9	2	5	/	0	Ø	3
<a3a> According to our records, your organization installed <lt_qt< td=""><td>Y_n> <lt< td=""><td>_MEAS_n</td><td>> through</td><td>· <utilit< td=""><td>Y>'s prog</td><td>ram, is thi</td><td>is correct</td><td>?</td><td></td><td></td></utilit<></td></lt<></td></lt_qt<></a3a>	Y_n> <lt< td=""><td>_MEAS_n</td><td>> through</td><td>· <utilit< td=""><td>Y>'s prog</td><td>ram, is thi</td><td>is correct</td><td>?</td><td></td><td></td></utilit<></td></lt<>	_MEAS_n	> through	· <utilit< td=""><td>Y>'s prog</td><td>ram, is thi</td><td>is correct</td><td>?</td><td></td><td></td></utilit<>	Y>'s prog	ram, is thi	is correct	?		
Yes - Quantity is Correct	95.32	91.00	100.00	99.19	100.00	95.65	98.87	59.73	94.85	96.88
nstalled Different Quantity	4.69	9.00 30	4	0.81 60	0.00	4.35	39	40.27	5.15 40	3.12

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<a3aa> According to our records, your organization installed <lt_m< th=""><th>EAS_n> tl</th><th>hrough <l< th=""><th>JTILITY>'</th><th>s progran</th><th>n, is this c</th><th>orrect?</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></l<></th></lt_m<></a3aa>	EAS_n> tl	hrough <l< th=""><th>JTILITY>'</th><th>s progran</th><th>n, is this c</th><th>orrect?</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></l<>	JTILITY>'	s progran	n, is this c	orrect?				
Yes	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	26	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(ADA OTHS Mould you gov that the number of dit MEAO us install										
<pre><a3a_oth> would you say that the number of <lt_meas_n> Install less than 10 units</lt_meas_n></a3a_oth></pre>	ed are	0.00	15 77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11 - 50 units	14.77	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
50 - 100 units	7.44	0.00	7.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
More than 100 units	74.44	100.00	74.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.87	0.00	1.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	10	2	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
								-		
<deem_install_date1_nu> Our records indicate that your organization</deem_install_date1_nu>	n installed	I <lt_me< th=""><th>AS_n> on</th><th><deem_< th=""><th>INSTALL</th><th>DATEn>.</th><th>Is this co</th><th>orrect?</th><th></th><th></th></deem_<></th></lt_me<>	AS_n> on	<deem_< th=""><th>INSTALL</th><th>DATEn>.</th><th>Is this co</th><th>orrect?</th><th></th><th></th></deem_<>	INSTALL	DATEn>.	Is this co	orrect?		
Yes	98.76	98.24	100.00	95.99	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	99.60	99.70
	1.24	1.76	0.00	4.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00
DONTRNOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30
	200	50	50	00	12	50	53	7	40	10
<deem install="" year1=""> In what year did you install <lt meas="" n="">?</lt></deem>										
2016	39.45	76.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
2017	57.22	17.22	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
DON'T KNOW	3.33	6.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	7	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
dDe even in stall an eventhals. An elevale stars eventhal										
<pre><deem_instail_month1> And what month?</deem_instail_month1></pre>	40.70	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
January April	49.79	18.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
	31 10	63.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fall	9.00	18.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
n	6	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
<lia19a> Where did you install the LED fixtures/lamps that you recei</lia19a>	ved throu	gh the pr	ogram?							
Parking lots	86.96	0.00	0.00	65.74	0.00	0.00	97.63	0.00	0.00	39.83
Garages	32.11	0.00	0.00	13.25	0.00	0.00	65.99	0.00	0.00	5.85
Patios/Outdoor seating areas	78.13 66.36	0.00	0.00	24.75	0.00	0.00	93.27	0.00	0.00	40.48
Cutoto sealing aleas	74 80	0.00	0.00	54 87	0.00	0.00	90.00	0.00	0.00	19.29
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
REFUSED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	2.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	96	0	0	51	0	0	36	0	0	9

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<li191a> Where did you install the LED fixtures/lamps that you received</li191a>	ved throug	gh the pro	ogram?							
Open office	38.84	23.39	85.54	0.00	24.40	64.14	0.00	79.94	93.37	0.00
Private office	32.05	18.22	65.29	0.00	63.73	60.15	0.00	79.94	87.62	0.00
Hallway	26.22	10.84	69.48	0.00	50.16	39.05	0.00	0.00	95.51	0.00
Lobby	31.34	19.75	69.16	0.00	24.40	47.85	0.00	0.00	86.26	0.00
Stairwell	17.67	7.48	56.10	0.00	0.00	24.99	0.00	0.00	80.18	0.00
Kitchen/Break area	24.95	15.88	57.76	0.00	27.23	40.64	0.00	0.00	85.99	0.00
Restrooms	23.18	13.00	62.33	0.00	0.00	43.71	0.00	0.00	85.54	0.00
Dining Deteil energy	12.64	7.97	43.00	0.00	0.00	13.45	0.00	0.00	58.28	0.00
Retail space	21.01	9.09	40.00	0.00	0.00	40.04	0.00	0.00	03.05	0.00
Warehouse	21.91	28.10	30.87	0.00	61.88	28.54	0.00	50.73	54.22	0.00
Storage	16.08	20.10	48 10	0.00	27.23	20.04	0.00	0.00	79 <i>4</i> .22	0.00
Outdoor	18.00	10.77	54 84	0.00	0.00	23.58	0.00	0.00	72.46	0.00
Guest rooms	2.41	0.00	21.43	0.00	0.00	2.53	0.00	0.00	5.59	0.00
Gvnasium	9.14	2.55	51.24	0.00	53.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.48	0.00
Other	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
REFUSED	0.31	0.00	3.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	93	19	20	0	3	18	0	3	30	0
<li192a> Where did you install the LED downlighting that you receive</li192a>	ed throug	h the pro	gram?		_			_		
Open office	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Private office	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hallway	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lobby	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Stairwell	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Kitchen/Break area	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Restrooms	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dining Datail arrange	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Retail space	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Storage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Outdoor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Guest rooms	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gvnasium	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
REFUSED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<li20a> What type of lighting was removed and replaced when you in</li20a>	nstalled <	LT_MEAS	_n> throu	igh the pr	ogram?					
High performance T8 (1' diameter bulbs)	5.05	0.78	1.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.81	0.00	0.00	0.00
T8 fluorescent fixtures (1' diameter bulbs)	10.03	13.32	29.11	1.02	10.07	50.64	3.06	21.97	35.28	0.00
T10 fluorescent fixtures	3.35	5.00	6.11	0.64	0.00	10.10	0.73	8.79	23.33	0.00
T12 Fixtures (1.5' diameter bulbs)	4.03	0.43	41.43	0.00	0.00	15.49	0.00	0.00	14.95	0.00
Compact HID (High Density Discharge) Fixtures LI21	7.46	14.33	0.00	4.22	10.96	0.00	4.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Screw-in Modular CFLs	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.08	0.00
Hardwire CFL Fixtures	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.20	0.00	0.00
	2.00	0.91	2.58	9.95	0.10	0.00	0.10	10.30	0.04	0.00
LED Exit Signs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Halogen bulbs	5.31	2,14	11,27	17,40	0.00	5.43	2.01	0.00	3.98	13.94
Reflectors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Electronic Ballast	0.38	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Magnetic Ballast	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manual Switches	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lighting Controls, Time Clock	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lighting Controls, Occupancy Sensor	2.28	6.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lighting Controls, Bypass/Delay Timers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lighting Controls, Photocell	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Fluorescent	4.20	6.32	2.28	6.91	39.96	14.03	0.00	0.00	1.80	0.00
Fat/Thick Tubes	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Skinny/Thin Tubes	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	2.42	0.00
T5 Fixtures (5/8' diameter)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Screw-in LEDs	0.30	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00
Screw-in LEDs Reflector Lamps	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.99	0.00
LED Fixtures or Panels (e.g., replacement for linear fixtures)	0.28	0.00	0.00	1.60	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	1.66	0.00
DID NOT REMOVE ANY THING-ADDITIONAL EQUIP ONLY	18.04	49.02	0.00	2.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	34.64	12.92	17.00	38.58	20.93	6.79	62.47	0.00	18.64	64.41
	10.00	0.00	10.00	0.55	11 00	0.00	14 70	50.04	19.00	6.67
	255	30	30	60	12	30	30	<u> </u>	10.22	10
	200	50	50	00	12	50		4	40	10
<li21a> Were the HID lamps you removed High Pressure Sodium, Me</li21a>	tal Halide	, Mercury	Vapor or	[.] Incandes	scent?					
High pressure sodium	15.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	67.75	0.00	0.00	0.00
Metal Halide	84.26	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	32.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	10	3	0	3	1	0	3	0	0	0
<li22a> Approximately how old was the equipment that were remove</li22a>	d and rep	laced? V	Vould you	say			0.47		05.04	
Less than 5 years old	8.00	0.00	7.50	12.31	0.00	14.99	9.47	0.00	35.61	0.30
Between 5 and 10 years old	16.40	20.73	17.11	8.14	21.97	37.20	14.29	40.27	14.69	57.40
Between to and to years ou More than 15 years old	50.63	40.00	20.09	15.34	20.35	42.35	2.90	0.19 50.04	30.22	25.62
	50.03 6.00	29.02	04.04	5 34	57.00	42.33	13 81	0.94	30.22 1 /Q	0.02
n	253	29	30	59	12	30	.39	4	40	10
·····	200		~~	~~	·	<u> </u>		· ·		
<li23a> How would you describe the removed equipment's condition</li23a>	? Would	you say t	hey were	in						
Poor condition	38.02	37.12	4.36	21.13	0.00	6.43	58.34	72.91	11.62	4.45
Fair condition	43.34	45.99	71.45	44.51	68.20	52.45	31.56	27.09	57.62	77.48
Good condition	15.24	10.10	24.19	33.61	31.80	40.61	5.89	0.00	30.76	18.07
DON'T KNOW	3.41	6.79	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.51	4.22	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	253	29	30	59	12	30	39	4	40	10

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
HB1> Thinking about all of the types of LED fixtures/lamps that were	e installed	l through	the proar	am. what	is the hic	ihest heid	ıht. in fee	t. above th	ne area th	ev liaht?
5 - 10	50.94	0.20	3.62	100.00	0.00	22.11	100.00	0.00	3.63	100.00
10 - 20	36.07	70.05	91.59	0.00	55.13	71.28	0.00	21.97	75.84	0.00
20 - 30	8.54	20.05	1.52	0.00	12.61	4.20	0.00	78.03	8.74	0.00
40 - 50	2.67	1.04	0.00	0.00	11.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Over 50	1.27	1.24	3.27	0.00	21.10	2.41	0.00	0.00	11.79	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<hb2> Just to double check, was any of the LED lighting installed the qualify as HIGH BAY lighting.</hb2>	rough the	program	at a heigh	nt of 13 or	more fee	et above t	he area it	is meant t	to light? 1	This would
Yes	17.45	81.34	0.71	0.00	46.02	4.05	0.00	100.00	8.22	0.00
	56.72	18.66	56.39	0.00	53.98	95.95	0.00	0.00	69.66	0.00
DON T KNOW	25.83 75	0.00	42.90	0.00	0.00	21	0.00	0.00	22.12	0.00
		,	10		0	21	Ŭ	,	27	<u> </u>
<hb3> What is the main kind of LED Fixture located at this height?</hb3>	-							-		
Linear LED (T-LED)	3.36	0.80	15.41	0.00	4.90	30.92	0.00	0.00	24.76	0.00
Round LED High Bay (similar shape to an HID fixture)	64 23	2.15	1.52 7.15	0.00	0.00 47 29	0.00	0.00	21.97	0.00	0.00
Panel LED	3.43	0.00	29.23	0.00	8.21	8.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other	4.44	1.10	27.36	0.00	14.82	25.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	22.13	20.82	19.33	0.00	24.77	31.20	0.00	27.09	66.41	0.00
n	86	28	15	0	11	12	0	4	16	0
<pre></pre> <del5> Is the amount of lighting better, worse, or the same than before</del5>	ore your L	ED retrofi	it?							
Better	96.05	99.88	99.14	79.30	100.00	70.09	99.09	100.00	95.57	99.09
Same	3.37	0.12	0.86	17.37	0.00	29.91	0.91	0.00	0.77	0.91
REFUSED	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00
n n	255	30	30	5.34 60	0.00	30	39	0.00	3.24 40	0.00
<aa3> There are usually a number of reasons why an organization lik</aa3>	ke yours d	ecides to	participa	te in ener	gy efficie	ncy progr	rams like	this one.	In your o	wn words,
can you tell me why you decided to participate in this program?	3.64	0.78	1 49	17 14	31 70	3 97	0.55	0.00	1.62	0.00
As part of a planned remodeling, build-out, or expansion	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
To gain more control over how the equipment was used	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
Maintenance downtime/associated expenses for old equipment were too high	0.80	0.72	1 68	0.22	11 15	5 14	0 50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Had process problems and were seeking a solution	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
To improve equipment performance	12.09	1.14	12.12	39.00	21.90	20.93	11.73	8.79	12.38	0.91
To improve production as a result of the change in equipment	0.47	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
To comply with codes set by regulatory agencies	0.48	0.30	0.00	1.16	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
To comply with company policies regarding regular equipment retrofits or	0.00	2.20	5.77	10.00	0.00	1.01	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.01
remodeling	0.14	0.00	0.82	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
To get a rebate from the program	39.17	82.42	14.53	20.28	13.73	6.93	13.16	0.00	22.95	3.12
To reduce energy costs	62.65	34.43	74.51	60.06	46.31	87.69	87.49	91.21	78.30	96.58
To reduce energy use/power outages	15.19	4.23	12.17	25.27	26.47	33.99	20.78	59.73	10.58	21.67
To update to the latest technology	3.58	1.00	21.10	8.50	0.00	4.79	0.38	0.00	1.71	0.00
To improve the comfort level of the facility	3.96	0.18	8.02	3.42	0.00	0.00	7.39	21.97	0.00	0.00
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	1.68	10.87
DON'T KNOW	0.00	0.00	2.58	0.96	0.00	5.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation
		E_LED_HIGHBAY	E_LED_LOWBAY	E_LED_OUTDOOR XTURE (%)	E_LED_HIGHBAY	E_LED_LOWBAY	E_LED_OUTDOOR_ TURE (%)	GE_LED_HIGHBAY	GE_LED_LOWBAY	GE_LED_OUTDOO
	ALI	PG (%)	PG (%)	PG FI	SC (%)	SC (%)	SC	SD (%)	SD (%)	SD R_I
<aa3a> Had the equipment that you replaced reached the end of its u</aa3a>	useful life	?	00.02	09.44	77 07	29.70	17 51	0.00	25.97	0.00
No	14.01	28.28	10.17	1.56	22.13	61.21	52.50	0.00	74.13	0.00
n	21	2	4	6	3	2	2	0	2	0
<n2> Did your organization make the decision to install this new equ</n2>	ipment be	fore or, a	after, or a	t the same	e time as	you beca	me aware	of that re	bates wei	e
Before	32.45	59.04	29.41	23.44	0.00	6.32	14.28	0.00	13.60	4.45
After	18.97	19.22	36.17	24.05	10.96	29.79	10.77	49.06	18.65	34.23
Same time	46.72	20.72	34.42	48.76	89.04	46.92	73.30	50.94	58.96	61.32
DON'T KNOW	1.86	1.02	0.00	3.75	0.00	16.96	1.65	0.00	8.78	0.00
n	200	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<n3a> How would you rate the importance of the age or condition of</n3a>	the old ec	quipment	?							
0 Not at all important	21.60	49.78	21.39	4.90	9.87	15.11	0.56	0.00	2.81	46.04
2	3.41	0.00	0.00	3.57	0.00	4.95	8.05	0.00	4.39	0.00
3	3.36	7.98	0.00	0.88	0.00	6.49	0.63	0.00	3.00	0.00
4	1.40	1.10	9.60	1.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.11	0.61
5	9.18	0.12	36.07	7.00	6.12	10.84	11.54	0.00	43.80	6.36
6	3.54	0.85	1.66	14.35	0.00	14.52	1.97	0.00	5.58	0.00
8	3.33	27.68	0.02	4.53	35 72	20.36	2.96	30.76	10.62	25.18
9	4.99	0.37	0.00	2.19	0.00	5.70	13.08	0.00	1.66	0.00
10 Extremely important	26.03	7.37	23.52	23.80	38.25	5.48	48.32	69.24	8.78	4.45
DON'T KNOW	3.39	0.00	0.00	2.55	0.00	0.89	8.72	0.00	1.91	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<n3b> How would you rate the importance of the availability of the P</n3b>	ROGRAM	rebate?								
0 Not at all important	0.59	0.54	0.30	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.60	0.00
2	2.27	2.98	0.00	8.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.72	0.25	0.00	4.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00
5	3.91	0.85	16.71	4.70	8.74	4.95	1.71	0.00	32.46	6.36
6	1.12	0.00	1.01	6.12	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	4.63	0.00
7	3.17	2.00	16.29	0.54	0.00	1.28	2.75	0.00	4.09	0.00
8	9.09	7.39	0.11	16.86	0.00	15.22	7.90	0.00	18.79	46.95
10 Extremely important	68.82	67.10	57.59	50.30	81.70	49.93	86.34	91.21	14.38	24.01
REFUSED	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.39	0.18	0.00	5.07	0.00	21.42	0.67	0.00	4.77	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<n3d> How would you rate the importance of the recommendation fr</n3d>	om an equ	uipment v	endor tha	at sold yo	u the equi	ipment ar	nd/or insta	alled it for	you?	
0 Not at all important	1.77	0.83	0.00	2.24	14.28	0.00	2.38	23.45	0.00	0.00
1	4.43	11.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.37	0.31	0.30	0.00	0.00	9.58	2.22	0.00	0.63	0.00
4	0.55	0.19	1.14	2.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	6.09	5.16	15.97	3.25	0.00	0.77	2.68	0.00	16.16	77.45
6	1.92	0.00	0.00	13.30	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	4.46
7	3.26	0.34	1.69	1.99	14.06	16.53	6.29	0.00	17.79	0.61
<u> </u>	9.81	20.35	9.14	42.49	0.00	20.09	2.66	0.00	15.23	3.52 0.00
10 Extremely important	56.89	51.90	53.60	25.81	53.83	45.30	77.56	65.29	34.30	3.13
REFUSED	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.61	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.23	0.00	0.00	6.65	17.84	1.14	0.00	0.00	3.03	10.84
n	185	24	29	43	7	14	34	3	22	9

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

Itrón

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<n3e> How would you rate the importance of your previous experien</n3e>	ce with si	milar type	es of ener	gy efficie	nt project	s?				
0 Not at all important	4.31	0.97	26.35	2.83	6.18	12.40	0.88	18.30	2.07	46.04
1	1.99	0.13	0.30	11.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.94
2	0.50	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.70	1.04	3.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.63	0.38	0.00	3.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.00
5	8.97	4.32	0.00	12.63	3.38	19.77	13.47	8.79	27.92	0.00
6	0.49	0.00	2.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.76	15.32
7	7.51	13.79	4.54	4.51	0.00	10.78	3.16	0.00	14.19	0.00
8	33.65	70.93	18.45	17.64	10.96	15.97	8.33	21.97	19.76	11.41
9	3.75	6.73	0.00	1.22	0.00	0.00	3.15	0.00	1.01	3.50
10 Extremely important	26.56	0.04	27.48	21.40	0.00	5.44	61.55	0.00	5.39	3.12
REFUSED	0.51	0.00	0.00	2.35	0.00	5.14	0.00	0.00	0.60	6.36
DON'T KNOW	10.45	1.28	16.13	22.74	79.48	29.99	8.33	50.94	26.98	0.30
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
			/1							
<n3f> How would you rate the importance of your previous experient</n3f>			r's progra	im or a sil	nilar utilit	y progran	0.55	10.20	44.07	46.04
	4.01	0.39	27.87	4.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	16.30	0.00	40.04
	2.10	0.00	0.00	2.90	0.00	7.94	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.03	0.09	0.00	2.09	0.00	7.04	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
<u></u>	2 70	6.43	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	2.13	6.40	16.00	2.30	3 38	6.08	1.00	0.00	0.00	13.0/
6	20.02	50.40	1 66	9.73	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	1 32	15.34
7	6 20	13.64	0.89	5.70	0.00	17 72	0.00	0.00	8 70	0.00
8	12.52	13.59	11.05	17 07	0.00	11 18	10.28	0.00	14 47	17 77
9	2.43	0.27	7.50	0.68	6.69	5.58	1.45	21.97	32,83	3.50
10 Extremely important	32.38	0.47	20.76	19.44	20.16	6.97	79.89	0.00	6.29	3.12
DON'T KNOW	11.38	7.55	14.05	21.67	63.59	31.81	5.78	59.73	25.32	0.30
	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
						•••				
<n3g> How would you rate the importance of information from the P</n3g>	rogram, U	tility, or F	Program A	dministra	tor traini	ng course	?			
6	60.05	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 Extremely important	39.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<n3h> How would you rate the importance of information from the Pr</n3h>	rogram, U	tility, or P	rogram A	dministra	tor Marke	ting mate	rials?			
0 Not at all important	4.76	1.30	29.18	5.07	0.00	0.00	1.31	18.30	24.00	0.00
1	6.53	12.14	0.00	13.64	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	4.39	0.00
2	3.52	3.45	0.00	9.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.35
3	2.04	0.00	1.68	12.48	2.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	2.74	4.08	0.00	0.10	0.00	4.35	3.14	0.00	0.00	10.80
5	3.02	1.20	14.95	2.43	13.04	7.20	1.50	8.79	0.00	11.47
6	2.04	0.32	0.00	8.93	0.00	18.17	0.00	0.00	15.35	4.45
7	8.50	6.07	25.05	13.76	3.38	17.90	5.15	0.00	10.36	0.00
8	32.98	64.79	6.58	12.15	10.96	8.89	19.07	21.97	13.83	3.50
9	14.24	0.00	1.68	5.30	37.63	11.46	36.38	0.00	16.97	0.00
10 Extremely important	10.96	0.15	19.03	11.25	21.10	14.28	19.63	50.94	1.14	3.12
DON'T KNOW	8.67	6.50	1.86	5.85	11.15	17.76	13.75	0.00	13.97	0.30
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
		//	0							
<n3j> How would you rate the importance of standard practice in you O Net at all important.</n3j>		ss/industi	y ?	0.00	0.00	7.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	F2 97
	0.94	0.04	1.70	0.00	0.00	7.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.90	0.00	0.00	15.13	0.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	3.01	3.53	0.00	10.51	24.70	12.27	1.12	0.00	3.30	0.00
3	0.30	11.76	4.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	8.21	1.70	29.06	3.34	12.44	4.06	11 / 3	0.00	28.18	20.42
	1.02	0.00	29.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	2 47	0.00	20.10	20.42
7	2.73	0.00	0.00	13.16	3.38	0.00	1.5/	0.00	10.00	0.00
8	2.73 8.18	5.63	27.92	8 10	11 90	18.87	5 36	0.00	38.87	0.00
9	3.45	0.00	0.00	23 79	10.04	1 74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 Extremely important	37.97	72 99	19.12	14 24	20.16	19.21	15 19	100.00	0.00	0.00
	26.64	3.04	17.55	9.88	17.33	22.89	62 24	0.00	10.29	25 71
p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p	127	19	14	18	12	22.00	25	0.00	10.20	6
	121	10	, ,	10	12	20	20	1	12	0
<n3l> How would you rate the endorsement or recommendation by y</n3l>	our accol	unt rep?								
0 Not at all important	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	1.61	2.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
5	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	86.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.19	0.00	0.00	2.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	33.92	35.83	100.00	24.00	0.00	0.00	36.81	0.00	0.00	0.00

<N3M> How would you rate corporate policy or guidelines?

0 Not at all important	3.82	0.55	0.00	14.02	0.00	12.42	2.87	0.00	0.00	53.87
1	2.29	0.00	0.00	15.13	24.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.36	0.00
2	2.29	3.53	10.09	0.00	6.00	5.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.86	0.00	5.54	1.76	2.74	5.92	0.00	0.00	7.54	0.00
4	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.47	2.55	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	6.64	0.79	22.42	13.54	7.07	10.22	6.71	0.00	7.42	16.82
6	1.13	0.00	0.00	4.83	0.00	7.02	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	1.62	0.80	8.71	0.00	3.35	10.06	0.00	0.00	19.30	16.32
8	5.37	1.37	9.32	17.43	0.00	10.73	2.81	0.00	46.95	12.64
9	4.22	5.11	0.00	12.05	12.87	9.77	1.12	0.00	3.32	0.00
10 Extremely important	45.77	78.35	33.31	13.23	20.16	20.14	28.66	100.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	25.00	9.49	10.60	8.01	23.05	0.00	54.01	0.00	12.12	0.35
n	127	19	14	18	12	20	25	1	12	6

58.03

3.72

6

0.00

0.00

1

42.08

31.82

7

0.00

76.91

2

0.00

13.69

2

0.00

63.19

5

100.00

0.00

1

0.00

0.00

1

0.00

0.00

1

43.71

18.89

26

9

n

10 Extremely important

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<n3n> How would you rate payback or return on investment of instal</n3n>	ling this e	quipment	?							
0 Not at all important	0.20	0.12	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.67	0.00
1	0.14	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	1.23	0.00	0.30	8.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00
3	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.00	6.49	0.00	0.00	2.41	0.61
4	0.46	0.91	0.00	0.43	3.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.00
5	1.31	0.71	0.00	4.83	9.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.83	0.00
6	3.49	3.45	8.02	4.64	0.00	1.28	2.33	0.00	4.46	0.00
7	19.46	0.12	1.95	16.79	2.74	9.04	48.69	0.00	4.53	0.00
8	7.76	3.91	13.39	8.67	28.13	11.91	9.24	0.00	15.65	0.00
9	14.72	25.22	7.04	17.41	12.87	15.56	3.88	8.79	24.37	13.94
	49.33	63.97	61.70	35.38	43.21	42.58	35.87	91.21	30.44	85.15
REFUSED	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON T KNOW	1.62	1.22	7.01	2.55	0.00	6.49	0.00	0.00	4.92	0.30
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<n3o> How would you rate improved product quality?</n3o>										
0 Not at all important	0.33	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.00
2	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	18.30	0.00	4.45
3	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00
5	3.45	3.57	2.81	1.13	0.00	0.00	2.74	0.00	0.00	59.99
6	0.85	0.00	0.86	3.89	3.35	3.76	0.00	0.00	5.23	0.00
7	4.52	1.42	5.03	18.77	6.00	18.25	1.02	0.00	7.84	0.00
8	8.79	4.48	18.95	12.08	7.07	25.15	7.08	8.79	33.29	17.84
9	12.29	19.68	14.09	14.80	6.18	15.92	4.01	0.00	10.32	0.00
10 Extremely important	67.30	70.47	49.50	46.38	77.41	33.89	82.21	72.91	39.67	6.62
DON'T KNOW	2.13	0.18	8.75	0.96	0.00	1.54	2.94	0.00	1.91	11.11
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
			-							
<n3ss> Using the same zero to 10 scale, how would you rate the influence of the same zero to 10 scale, how would you rate the same zero to 10 scale, how wou</n3ss>	uence of t	his factor	?							
0 Not at all important	0.25	14.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	3.23	0.00	0.00	32.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.44	0.00	9.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	5.03	14.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.81	0.00	0.00	0.00
/	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.18	0.00
8	1.05 E 00	70.82	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.03	0.00
10 Extromoly important	0.20 0/ 10	0.00	79.04	20.00	0.00	100.00	0.90	0.00	55 20	0.00
	204.10	0.00	2 2	29.00	0.00	100.00	93.29	0.00	00.20 A	0.00
		3	5	10	0	I	3	0	7	0
<p1> What financial calculations does your company typically make I</p1>	pefore pro	ceeding v	with the ir	nstallatio	n of energ	v efficient	t equipmo	ent like vo	ou installe	d through

the program?										
Payback	92.92	98.17	100.00	63.70	56.14	100.00	95.61	100.00	85.43	98.62
Return on investment	95.31	98.37	100.00	85.14	41.29	100.00	94.78	100.00	94.58	98.62
Other	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
REFUSED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	22.16	0.00	0.00	22.50	0.00	100.00	23.95	0.00	85.47	0.00
n	58	10	4	10	6	4	17	1	3	3

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<p2a> What is your threshold in terms of the payback or return on inveguinment like you installed through the program</p2a>	vestment	your com	pany use	s before (deciding t	o proceed	d with ins	talling en	ergy effic	ient
0 to 6 months	1.63	0.00	0.00	2.12	0.00	0.00	3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
6 months to 1 year	7.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.18	17.34	0.00	0.00	0.00
2 to 3 years	31.66	60.13	39.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.66	0.00	0.00	0.00
3 to 5 years	28.63	0.69	11.88	79.98	0.00	33.74	51.06	100.00	69.09	0.00
Over 5 years	2.51	0.37	0.00	10.85	0.00	0.00	3.09	0.00	0.00	49.85
DON'T KNOW	3.73	0.00	13.68	0.00	45.35	0.00	7.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	47	8	4	8	3	4	15	1	2	2
<p3> Did the rebate move your energy efficient equipment project with</p3>	hin this a	ccontable	range?							
Yes	87.68	90.62	94.81	96.44	100.00	60.60	82.38	100.00	22.73	49.46
No	7.62	9.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.84	0.00	34.25	49.16
REFUSED	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.29 6.50	0.00	0.00	1.38
n	95	17	9	17	7	9	25	0.00	7	3
<p4> On a scale of 0 to 10, with a zero meaning NOT AT ALL IMPORT. was in the acceptable range?</p4>	ANT and	10 meanir	ig Very In	iportant,	how impo	rtant in y	our decis	ion was it	that the l	project
6	0.77	0.00	0.00	5.16	5.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	3.81	0.00	2.80	1.01	12.13	0.00	9.46	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	42.77	58.56 30.37	0.00	6.53	0.00	32.14	48.25	0.00	26.40	0.00
10 Extremely important	30.46	11.07	97.21	60.21	75.50	34.77	27.48	100.00	59.03	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.09	0.00	0.00	14.57	0.00
n	/1	14	8	16	/	4	17	1	3	1
<n41> How many of the ten points would you give to the importance of the importan</n41>	of the PR 1 32	OGRAM ir	o ool	cision?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.67	0.00
1	0.33	0.56	0.30	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.42	0.00
2	1.51	0.85	0.00	8.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	7.25	0.83	0.00	11.59 4 21	0.00	0.00	15.23	0.00	0.40	3.12
5	15.00	7.69	27.57	13.47	6.00	40.26	18.84	21.97	38.69	0.00
6	34.77	54.52	15.87	8.09	0.00	3.76	37.08	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	16.33 8 10	26.49 1 24	1.93 2.81	18.66	14.34	14.92	8.51 8.80	0.00	12.13	24.75
9	2.89	3.45	0.00	3.65	0.00	5.14	2.14	8.79	3.72	10.87
10	9.75	1.17	43.34	10.33	70.14	23.69	6.75	69.24	4.12	0.30
DON'T KNOW	1.07 255	0.18	3.08	0.00	0.00	5.60	1.73	0.00	4.94	0.00
	200	50	50	00	12	50		+	40	10
<n42> And how many points would you give to all of these other non-</n42>	-program	factors?	40.04	40.00	70.44	00.00	0.75	00.04	4.40	0.00
1	9.75	1.17 3.45	43.34	10.33	70.14	23.69	6.75 2.14	69.24 8.79	4.12	0.30
2	8.10	1.24	2.81	21.62	3.35	6.62	8.80	0.00	11.32	60.96
3	16.33	26.49	1.93	18.66	14.34	14.92	8.51	0.00	12.13	24.75
4	34.77 15.00	54.52 7 69	15.87 27.57	8.09 13.47	0.00	3.76 40.26	37.08 18.84	0.00	0.00 38 69	0.00
6	1.68	0.00	5.09	4.21	6.18	0.00	0.91	0.00	11.60	0.00
7	7.25	0.83	0.00	11.59	0.00	0.00	15.23	0.00	0.40	3.12
8	1.51	0.85	0.00	8.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9 10	1.32	3.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.67	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.22	0.18	3.08	0.96	0.00	5.60	1.73	0.00	4.94	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<n41p> How many of the ten points would you give to the importance</n41p>	of the Pl	ROGRAM	in your d	ecision T				ΝΤ ΔΤ ΤΗ		2 מום נונ
	1.71	3.13	1.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.87	0.00
1	17.81	49.02	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.12
2	2.52	0.00	0.00	11.94	0.00	0.00	1.97	0.00	0.00	4.45
3	0.58	0.13	0.00	3.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	1.00	0.00	3.95	4.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.83	0.00
5	15.79	7.40	16.99	4.48	14.34	38.84	29.44	0.00	22.19	0.00
6	14.01	0.83	9.99	6.94	0.00	4.86	34.64	0.00	2.38	0.00
7	10.70	12.16	1.68	19.39	6.00	5.43	7.97	21.97	1.37	13.94
8	15.78	15.96	12.26	24.04	6.18	28.74	12.93	8.79	19.43	10.47
9	6.12	8.76	0.86	1.95	0.00	1.46	6.45	0.00	11.67	10.87
10	13.67	2.43	52.78	22.59	73.48	15.06	6.40	69.24	15.27	56.84
DON'T KNOW	0.31	0.18	0.11	0.25	0.00	5.60	0.19	0.00	2.99	0.30
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<n42p> and how many points would you give to all of these other not</n42p>	n-program	1 factors?	50 70	00.50	70.40	45.00	0.40	00.04	45.07	50.04
0	13.67	2.43	52.78	22.59	73.48	15.06	6.40	69.24	15.27	56.84
	0.12	8.70	10.80	1.95	0.00	1.40	0.45	0.00	11.07	10.87
2	10.70	10.90	12.20	24.04	0.10	20.74	12.93	0.79	19.43	10.47
<u></u>	14.01	12.10	1.00	19.39	0.00	0.43	34.64	21.97	2.38	0.00
_ 5	15.79	7.40	16.99	4 48	14 34	38.84	29 44	0.00	2.00	0.00
6	1 00	0 00	3 95	4.40	0.00	0.00	23.44	0.00	2.13	0.00
7	0.58	0.13	0.00	3.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	2.52	0.00	0.00	11.94	0.00	0.00	1.97	0.00	0.00	4.45
9	17.81	49.02	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.12
10	1.71	3.13	1.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.87	0.00
DON'T KNOW	0.31	0.18	0.11	0.25	0.00	5.60	0.19	0.00	2.99	0.30
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<replace> Was the installation of this measure a replacement of ex</replace>	isting equ	uipment o	or was it a	dditional	equipmer	nt you ins	talled in y	our facilit	y?	
Replace/Modification/Retrofit	80.23	50.51	100.00	94.27	93.82	100.00	98.23	100.00	81.38	99.70
Add-on	18.52	49.02	0.00	2.85	6.18	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.30
DON'T KNOW	1.25	0.46	0.00	2.89	0.00	0.00	0.73	0.00	18.62	0.00
n	255	30	30	60	12	30	39	4	40	10
<n5> If THE PROGRAM had NOT BEEN AVAILABLE, what is the likeli</n5>	hood that	you wou	ld have in	stalled ex	actly the	same pro	gram-qua	alifying en	ergy effic	ient

d have ins	talled it?								
19.75	4.97	53.54	2.78	57.45	49.98	20.75	69.24	22.97	66.5
3.66	0.60	5.02	13.37	0.00	5.43	1.42	0.00	0.00	0.0
1.95	0.23	3.57	5.68	0.00	0.00	0.59	8.79	8.21	0.0
8.20	18.91	4.49	8.44	3.60	0.87	4.22	0.00	0.33	8.5
6.15	22.79	0.00	1.42	0.00	14.74	0.00	21.97	3.08	10.9
21.55	1.83	3.23	12.96	0.00	9.92	41.69	0.00	38.18	13.9
2.70	0.00	11.06	1.46	0.00	8.55	2.75	0.00	2.56	0.0
8.66	0.45	2.13	5.47	13.53	3.21	16.88	0.00	5.83	0.0
5.16	14.04	2.97	4.77	2.92	0.00	1.71	0.00	3.48	0.0
2.01	0.00	0.00	11.35	0.00	1.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
17.25	35.56	13.98	32.29	9.81	0.89	3.91	0.00	13.61	0.0
2.96	0.62	0.00	0.00	12.68	4.95	6.08	0.00	1.75	0.0
243	27	30	57	11	30	37	4	38	!
	d have ins 19.75 3.66 1.95 8.20 6.15 21.55 2.70 8.66 5.16 2.01 17.25 2.96 243	d have installed it? 19.75 4.97 3.66 0.60 1.95 0.23 8.20 18.91 6.15 22.79 21.55 1.83 2.70 0.00 8.66 0.45 5.16 14.04 2.01 0.00 17.25 35.56 2.96 0.62 243 27	d have installed it? 19.75 4.97 53.54 3.66 0.60 5.02 1.95 0.23 3.57 8.20 18.91 4.49 6.15 22.79 0.00 21.55 1.83 3.23 2.70 0.00 11.06 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.16 14.04 2.97 2.01 0.00 0.00 17.25 35.56 13.98 2.96 0.62 0.00 243 27 30	Installed it? 19.75 4.97 53.54 2.78 3.66 0.60 5.02 13.37 1.95 0.23 3.57 5.68 8.20 18.91 4.49 8.44 6.15 22.79 0.00 1.42 21.55 1.83 3.23 12.96 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.47 5.16 14.04 2.97 4.77 2.01 0.00 0.00 11.35 17.25 35.56 13.98 32.29 2.96 0.62 0.00 0.00 243 27 30 57	d have installed it? 19.75 4.97 53.54 2.78 57.45 3.66 0.60 5.02 13.37 0.00 1.95 0.23 3.57 5.68 0.00 8.20 18.91 4.49 8.44 3.60 6.15 22.79 0.00 1.42 0.00 21.55 1.83 3.23 12.96 0.00 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 0.00 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.47 13.53 5.16 14.04 2.97 4.77 2.92 2.01 0.00 0.00 11.35 0.00 17.25 35.56 13.98 32.29 9.81 2.96 0.62 0.00 0.00 12.68 243 27 30 57 11	19.75 4.97 53.54 2.78 57.45 49.98 3.66 0.60 5.02 13.37 0.00 5.43 1.95 0.23 3.57 5.68 0.00 0.00 8.20 18.91 4.49 8.44 3.60 0.87 6.15 22.79 0.00 1.42 0.00 14.74 21.55 1.83 3.23 12.96 0.00 9.92 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 0.00 8.55 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.47 13.53 3.21 5.16 14.04 2.97 4.77 2.92 0.00 2.01 0.00 0.00 11.35 0.00 1.46 17.25 35.56 13.98 32.29 9.81 0.89 2.96 0.62 0.00 0.00 12.68 4.95 243 27 30 57 11 30	Image: stalled it? 19.75 4.97 53.54 2.78 57.45 49.98 20.75 3.66 0.60 5.02 13.37 0.00 5.43 1.42 1.95 0.23 3.57 5.68 0.00 0.00 0.59 8.20 18.91 4.49 8.44 3.60 0.87 4.22 6.15 22.79 0.00 1.42 0.00 14.74 0.00 21.55 1.83 3.23 12.96 0.00 9.92 41.69 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 0.00 8.55 2.75 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.47 13.53 3.21 16.88 5.16 14.04 2.97 4.77 2.92 0.00 1.71 2.01 0.00 0.00 11.35 0.00 1.46 0.00 17.25 35.56 13.98 32.29 9.81 0.89 3.91 2.96 0.62 0.00 0.00 12.68 4.95 6.08 2.43 27	Image: note installed it? 19.75 4.97 53.54 2.78 57.45 49.98 20.75 69.24 3.66 0.60 5.02 13.37 0.00 5.43 1.42 0.00 1.95 0.23 3.57 5.68 0.00 0.00 0.59 8.79 8.20 18.91 4.49 8.44 3.60 0.87 4.22 0.00 6.15 22.79 0.00 1.42 0.00 14.74 0.00 21.97 21.55 1.83 3.23 12.96 0.00 9.92 41.69 0.00 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 0.00 8.55 2.75 0.00 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 0.00 8.55 2.75 0.00 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.47 13.53 3.21 16.88 0.00 5.16 14.04 2.97 4.77 2.92 0.00 1.71 0.00 2.01 0.00 0.00 11.35 0.00 1.46 0.00 0.00<	Image: howe installed it? 19.75 4.97 53.54 2.78 57.45 49.98 20.75 69.24 22.97 3.66 0.60 5.02 13.37 0.00 5.43 1.42 0.00 0.00 1.95 0.23 3.57 5.68 0.00 0.00 0.59 8.79 8.21 8.20 18.91 4.49 8.44 3.60 0.87 4.22 0.00 0.33 6.15 22.79 0.00 1.42 0.00 14.74 0.00 21.97 3.08 21.55 1.83 3.23 12.96 0.00 9.92 41.69 0.00 38.18 2.70 0.00 11.06 1.46 0.00 8.55 2.75 0.00 2.56 8.66 0.45 2.13 5.47 13.53 3.21 16.88 0.00 5.83 5.16 14.04 2.97 4.77 2.92 0.00 1.71 0.00 3.48 2.01 0.00 0.00 11.35 0.00 1.46 0.00 0.0

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<n5aa> If THE PROGRAM had NOT BEEN AVAILABLE, what is the like</n5aa>	elihood tl	hat you w	ould have	installed	exactly t	he same o	energy eff	ficient equ	uipment a	t the same
time as you did?	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.43	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.01	0.00	0.00	10.36	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	2.08	0.00	0.00	89.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
10 Extremely likely	95.34	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	6	100.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
······································	~		- 1			•		~		-
<nn5aa> Would you like for me to change your score on the importa</nn5aa>	nce of the	e rebate a	nd/or cha	nge your	rating on	the likelih	nood you	would ins	stall the s	ame
equipment without the rebate and/or we can change both if you wish	?	24.00	0.00	20.40	120.00	0.00	25.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
No change	58.16	34.22	0.00	89.48	100.00	0.00	85.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
to install without the rebate	40.14	65.78	0.00	10.52	0.00	0.00	14.96	0.00	100.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.70	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	20	3	1	9	1	0	5	0	1	0
<revised_n3b> How would you rate the importance of the availabili</revised_n3b>	ty of the F	ROGRAM	I rebate?							
4	1.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
7	85.76	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 Extremely important	13.17	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	5	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
CREVISED N5> If THE PROGRAM had NOT BEEN AVAILABLE, what i	s tha likal	ibood tha		uld havo iu	nstallod o	vactly the	samo pr	oaram-au	alifying o	norav
efficient equipment that you did for this project regardless of when you	ou would	have inst	alled it?		istalleu e		same pr	ogram-qu	annynng e	nergy
7	4.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	95.11	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
n	5	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
<n5b> Using the same scale as before, if the program had not been a did?</n5b>	available,	what is th	e likeliho	od that yo	ou would	have don	e this pro	ject at the	e same tin	ne as you
0 Not at all likely	24.79	14.03	51.33	12.46	57.45	59.76	23.58	69.24	26.36	74.13
1	4.19	0.60	8.46	13.90	0.00	5.37	0.91	0.00	13.66	0.00
2	2.92	1.54	1.86	4.02	7.13	0.87	3.18	8.79	5.38	0.00
3	19.96	9.00	9.70	4.03	9.99	0.00	38.09	0.00	0.00	15.02
	7 25	22 70	0.01	7 0/	0.00	0.04	0.00	21.07	6 0 9	1 16

24.79	14.03	51.33	12.46	57.45	59.76	23.58	69.24	26.36	74.13
4.19	0.60	8.46	13.90	0.00	5.37	0.91	0.00	13.66	0.00
2.92	1.54	1.86	4.02	7.13	0.87	3.18	8.79	5.38	0.00
19.96	9.00	9.70	4.03	9.99	0.00	38.09	0.00	0.00	15.02
7.25	22.79	0.91	7.84	0.00	9.04	0.00	21.97	6.08	4.46
7.21	1.83	4.32	14.84	9.81	9.15	7.04	0.00	25.38	0.00
0.39	0.00	2.14	0.61	0.00	4.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12.26	39.95	2.02	0.33	0.00	1.93	6.86	0.00	2.80	0.00
6.15	0.26	0.00	0.39	2.92	0.00	14.26	0.00	2.66	0.00
2.62	0.00	0.00	14.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9.25	9.38	19.26	26.69	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	15.92	6.38
3.01	0.62	0.00	0.00	12.68	8.21	6.08	0.00	1.75	0.00
••••									
243	27	30	57	11	30	37	4	38	9
243	27	30	57	11	30	37	4	38	9
243 ternatives	27 would yc	30 Du have be	57 een MOS	11 T likely to	30 do?	37	4	38	9
243 ternatives 3.12	27 would yc 6.05	30 Du have be 0.00	57 een MOS 1.46	11 T likely to 0.00	30 do? 5.00	37	4	38 1.03	9
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04	27 would yc 6.05 11.51	30 ou have be 0.00 8.41	57 een MOS ⁻ 1.46 3.31	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15	30 do? 5.00 4.78	37 1.25 4.76	4 21.97 8.79	38 1.03 5.97	9 0.00 49.55
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04	27 would yc 6.05 11.51	30 ou have be 0.00 8.41	57 en MOS 1.46 3.31	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15	30 do? 5.00 4.78	37 1.25 4.76	4 21.97 8.79	38 1.03 5.97	9 0.00 49.55
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04 6.83	27 would yc 6.05 11.51 14.29	30 ou have be 0.00 8.41 2.69	57 een MOS 1.46 3.31 5.31	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15 0.00	30 do? 5.00 4.78 5.69	37 1.25 4.76 1.24	4 21.97 8.79 0.00	38 1.03 5.97 6.45	9 0.00 49.55 3.12
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04 6.83 19.23	27 would yc 6.05 11.51 14.29 6.18	30 bu have be 0.00 8.41 2.69 43.88	57 een MOS 1.46 3.31 5.31 29.52	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15 0.00 39.45	30 do? 5.00 4.78 5.69 47.89	37 1.25 4.76 1.24 19.61	4 21.97 8.79 0.00 18.30	38 1.03 5.97 6.45 29.70	9 0.00 49.55 3.12 36.22
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04 6.83 19.23 38.25	27 would yc 6.05 11.51 14.29 6.18 53.61	30 bu have be 0.00 8.41 2.69 43.88 22.03	57 en MOS 1.46 3.31 5.31 29.52 51.46	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15 0.00 39.45 17.95	30 do? 5.00 4.78 5.69 47.89 12.54	37 1.25 4.76 1.24 19.61 24.17	4 21.97 8.79 0.00 18.30 0.00	38 1.03 5.97 6.45 29.70 40.25	9 0.00 49.55 3.12 36.22 0.30
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04 6.83 19.23 38.25 17.63	27 would yc 6.05 11.51 14.29 6.18 53.61 1.82	30 bu have be 0.00 8.41 2.69 43.88 22.03 12.95	57 een MOS 1.46 3.31 5.31 29.52 51.46 5.72	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15 0.00 39.45 17.95 24.76	30 do? 5.00 4.78 5.69 47.89 12.54 15.05	37 1.25 4.76 1.24 19.61 24.17 40.90	4 21.97 8.79 0.00 18.30 0.00 50.94	38 1.03 5.97 6.45 29.70 40.25 2.03	9 0.00 49.55 3.12 36.22 0.30 10.81
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04 6.83 19.23 38.25 17.63 4.15	27 would yc 6.05 11.51 14.29 6.18 53.61 1.82 6.32	30 00 have be 0.00 8.41 2.69 43.88 22.03 12.95 10.04	57 2en MOS 1.46 3.31 5.31 29.52 51.46 5.72 0.34	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15 0.00 39.45 17.95 24.76 6.69	30 do? 5.00 4.78 5.69 47.89 12.54 15.05 0.00	37 1.25 4.76 1.24 19.61 24.17 40.90 2.30	4 21.97 8.79 0.00 18.30 0.00 50.94 0.00	38 1.03 5.97 6.45 29.70 40.25 2.03 6.28	9 0.00 49.55 3.12 36.22 0.30 10.81 0.00
243 ternatives 3.12 8.04 6.83 19.23 38.25 17.63 4.15 2.75	27 would yc 6.05 11.51 14.29 6.18 53.61 1.82 6.32 0.22	30 bu have be 0.00 8.41 2.69 43.88 22.03 12.95 10.04 0.00	57 en MOS 1.46 3.31 5.31 29.52 51.46 5.72 0.34 2.89	11 T likely to 0.00 11.15 0.00 39.45 17.95 24.76 6.69 0.00	30 do? 5.00 4.78 5.69 47.89 12.54 15.05 0.00 9.04	37 1.25 4.76 1.24 19.61 24.17 40.90 2.30 5.78	4 21.97 8.79 0.00 18.30 0.00 50.94 0.00 0.00	38 1.03 5.97 6.45 29.70 40.25 2.03 6.28 8.30	9 0.00 49.55 3.12 36.22 0.30 10.81 0.00 0.00
	24.79 4.19 2.92 19.96 7.25 7.21 0.39 12.26 6.15 2.62 9.25 3.01	24.79 14.03 4.19 0.60 2.92 1.54 19.96 9.00 7.25 22.79 7.21 1.83 0.39 0.00 12.26 39.95 6.15 0.26 2.62 0.00 9.25 9.38 3.01 0.62	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<n6aa> Would you have acted at the same time as you did under the</n6aa>	program	, within a	year, or a	t a later ti	me?					
Same time	51.19	62.74	82.24	36.47	0.00	0.00	28.55	0.00	72.39	0.00
Within one year	21.24	6.87	4.58	46.95	61.68	14.62	43.76	100.00	11.98	0.00
DON'T KNOW	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.32	19.98	17.42	0.00	0.74	0.57
	122	17	9	35	4	13	19	2	19	4
<n6ac> Would it have been</n6ac>	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Less than one year	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
A couple of years	52.22	0.00	0.00	54.86	0.00	74.76	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
A few years	21.75	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
More than four years	1.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	8.97	0.00	6.25	45.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	9	1	2	2	0	3	1	0	0	0
<n6ba> How long would you have waited to replace your equipment</n6ba>	?									
Less than one year	2.17	0.00	0.53	5.66	0.00	3.36	1.96	0.00	0.00	0.00
About a year	3.88	0.00	0.00	16.12	0.00	6.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
A couple of years	13.10	62.70	0.00	0.00	27.78	11.61	9.62	0.00	42.85	1.67
A few years	15.61 53.05	2.38	37.19	12.26	0.00	32.99	10.46	100.00	3.40	0.00
DON'T KNOW	12.18	21.73	38.12	0.00	39.51	23.03	0.00	0.00	49.20	30.01
n	84	9	14	15	6	13	10	1	12	4
<n6ca> Would you still have replaced your equipment at the same time</n6ca>	me as you	i did unde	er the prog	gram, wit	hin a year,	or at a la	ter time?	0.00	0.00	0.00
Within one year	2.40	0.00	20.10 79.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
At a later time	48.77	100.00	0.00	10.56	100.00	100.00	21.45	0.00	59.62	0.00
DON'T KNOW	36.53	0.00	0.00	89.44	0.00	0.00	71.57	0.00	40.38	0.00
n	16	2	2	2	1	1	3	0	5	0
CNECRS How many years later would it have been?	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
<pre>> How many years later would it have been?</pre>	2.36	3.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	6.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.21	0.00
3	85.11	96.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	4.41	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.79	0.00
n	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	0
<er2> How many more years do you think your equipment would have</er2>	ve gone b	efore faili	ng and re	quired re	placement	t?				
1	2.01	0.00	0.00	3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	17.45	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	54.18 2.65	0.00	0.00	96.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	2.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	20.80	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	13	2	3	3	2	1	1	0	1	0
Sector Action Continue and you experience in the past year?	24 67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	75.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

	ALL	PGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	PGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	PGE_LED_OUTDOOR _FIXTURE (%)	SCE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SCE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SCE_LED_OUTDOOR_ FIXTURE (%)	SDGE_LED_HIGHBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_LOWBAY (%)	SDGE_LED_OUTDOO R_FIXTURE (%)
<er9> In your opinion, based on the economics of operating this equ</er9>	lipment, fo	or how ma	any more	years cou	uld you ha	ave kept t	his equip	ment fund	tioning?	
3	8.58	0.00	10.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.51	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	57.92	28.28	0.00	96.40	77.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
7	0.42	0.00	4.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	17.76	0.00	84.98	0.00	10.53	0.00	52.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	2.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Refused	1.48	0.00	0.00	3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	11.70	71.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	16	2	4	3	3	1	2	0	1	0
<pp4> How would you rate your OVERALL satisfaction with the PROC Operation of the set of the set</pp4>	GRAM?	0.40	0.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.00
0 Completely dissatisfied	0.47	0.12	0.00	1.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.74	0.00
2	1.35	3.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.03	0.00	0.23	10.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.00	0.00	0.00
5	3.30	0.00	0.30	10.95	0.00	3.20	3.43	10.30	11.02	0.00
7	0.05	0.38	0.00	0.04	3.35 6.00	0.00	36.80	0.00	4.40	24.76
 	14.77	15.01	7.43	0.75	3.38	23.83	6.37	8 70	0.01	56.01
O	1/ 3/	21 / 3	20.16	23.48	0.00	23.03	2.51	0.79	15.48	0.61
10 Completely satisfied	51 73	57.08	67.23	48 84	87.28	43.28	44.83	72 91	33.82	6.93
DON'T KNOW	2 70	01.00	0.00	1 65	0 00	4 95	5.78	0.00	17.34	0.00
	255	30	.00	60	12		.39	4	40	10
	200		00		12			,	10	
<lt3> During this time, how many times has your organization partic</lt3>	ipated in t	these PR) DGRAM(s)?						
7 to 10 times, or more	30.52	21.52	52.31	<i>.</i> 19.87	0.00	21.03	40.47	0.00	4.10	27.61
4 to 7 times	11.44	2.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.94	0.00	0.00	0.00
2 to 4 times	15.90	17.49	16.88	23.25	77.86	52.50	11.57	0.00	12.67	8.96
less than 2 times	36.05	58.17	30.82	27.74	22.14	26.47	15.45	0.00	44.82	63.43
REFUSED	1.17	0.05	0.00	8.97	0.00	0.00	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	4.91	0.00	0.00	20.17	0.00	0.00	6.56	0.00	38.41	0.00
n	81	14	8	11	4	10	21	0	9	4
<ca6> What type of equipment did you install through this (these) pro</ca6>	ogram(s)?	0	100.00		100.00	00.55	40.40	0.00	(00.00)	
Indoor lighting	41.78	25.53	100.00	91.80	100.00	92.55	40.43	0.00	100.00	64.35
	17.56	19.77	0.00	62.75	0.00	0.00	4.58	0.00	100.00	43.65
Ivatural gas equipment, such as water neater, turnace or appliances	13.00	0.00	0.00	62 75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.05

						01.00		0.00		000
Cooling equipment	17.56	19.77	0.00	62.75	0.00	0.00	4.58	0.00	100.00	43.65
Natural gas equipment, such as water heater, furnace or appliances	13.66	17.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.65
Insulation or windows	1.79	0.00	0.00	62.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Refrigeration	16.73	18.96	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Industrial process equipment	17.35	22.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Greenhouse heat curtains	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Food service equipment	13.43	17.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Other	12.88	1.46	100.00	81.42	0.00	78.87	16.57	0.00	100.00	0.00
REFUSED		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	81.24	59.18	0.00	100.00	0.00
n	68	13	8	9	4	8	16	0	6	4
<lt8> Have these programs had any long-term influence on your org</lt8>	anization'	s energy	efficiency	related p	ractices	and polic	ies that g	o beyond	the imme	diate effect
of incentives on individual projects?										
Yes ALWAYS	32.89	34.90	3.28	100.00	0.00	100.00	30.16	0.00	100.00	100.00
No ALWAYS	65.88	60.02	96.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.84	0.00	0.00	0.00
DON'T KNOW	1.23	5.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n	26	9	4	2	0	1	8	0	1	1

* Values are shown as percent of respondents.

* n is the number of respondents.

2017 Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluation

APPENDIX E MEASURE NAME TO ESPI MEASURE MAPPING

PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1x4 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 110 and <125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1x4 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1x4 LED new Luminaire rated 110 and <125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1x4 LED new Luminaire rated 125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	1x4 LED new Luminaire rated 95 and <110 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x2 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 110 and <125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x2 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x2 LED new Luminaire rated 110 and <125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x2 LED new Luminaire rated 125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x2 LED new Luminaire rated 95 and <110 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x4 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 110 and <125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x4 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x4 LED Integrated retrofit kit rated 95 and <110 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x4 LED new Luminaire rated 110 and <125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x4 LED new Luminaire rated 125 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	2x4 LED new Luminaire rated 85 and <95 LPW, Ambient Interior Commercial Spaces	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Downlight: Install 10 W to 12 W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: 40 to 131 watts, Replacing T8 Fluorescent 2nd generation 4L VHLO	LED_LOWBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: 40 to 131 watts, replacing 175W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: > 500 to 750 watts, replacing 1000W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >131 to 160 watts, Replacing T8 Fluorescent 2nd generation 6L VHLO	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >131 to 160 watts, replacing 200W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >160 to 187 watts, replacing 250 W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >160 to 220 watts, Replacing T8 Fluorescent 2nd generation 8L VHLO	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >187 to 220 watts, replacing 320W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >220 to 262 watts, replacing 350W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >262 to 280 watts, replacing 400W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >280 to 320 watts, replacing 450W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED High/Low Bay: >320 to 500 watts, replacing 750W PS-MH	LED_HIGHBAY
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight: Install <10 W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight: Install >12 W to 25 W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 10 to <11W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 11 to <12W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 12 to <13W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 13 to <14W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 14 to <15W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 15 to <16W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 16 to <17W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 17 to <18W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 18 to <19W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 19 to <20W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 20 to <21W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 21 to <22W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 22 to <23W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 23 to <24W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 24 to <25W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 25W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 7 to < 8W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 8 to < 9W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install 9 to < 10W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight: Install < 7W LED	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Lighting Retrofit/New-Int-LED-Recessed Downlights	LED_DOWNLIGHT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10-Watt LED A-Lamp 310-749 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W equivalent 100 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W equivalent 110 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W equivalent 90 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	11-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	11-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	12-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	12-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	13-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	14-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	14-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	15-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	15-Watt LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	16-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	16-Watt LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	17-Watt LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	17-Watt LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	18-Watt LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	19-Watt LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W equivalent 100 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W equivalent 68 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W equivalent 80 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	5-Watt LED A-Lamp 310-749 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	6-Watt LED A-Lamp 310-749 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W equivalent 100 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W equivalent 110 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W equivalent 80 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W equivalent 90 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	7-Watt LED A-Lamp 310-749 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	7-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W equivalent 100 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W equivalent 110 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W equivalent 120 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W equivalent 90 LPW (lumens/watt)	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	8-Watt LED A-Lamp 310-749 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	8-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	9-Watt LED A-Lamp 310-749 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	9-Watt LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumens	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 10 to < 11 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 11 to < 12 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 12 to < 13 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 13 to < 14 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 14 to < 15 watts	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 15 to < 16 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 16 to < 17 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 17 to < 18 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 18 to < 19 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 19 to < 20 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 25 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 8 to < 9 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp 9 to < 10 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED A-Lamp < 8 watts	LED_A-LAMP
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Candelabra 3 to 5	LED_ACCENT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Candelabra <3W	LED_ACCENT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED globe: 3 to 10 Watts	LED_ACCENT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED globe: <3 Watts	LED_ACCENT
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED MR-16: 10 to <11 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED MR-16: 11 to <12 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED MR-16: 6 to <7 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED MR-16: 7 to <8 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR	LED MR-16: 8 to <9 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
	LAMP		
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED MR-16: 9 to <10 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED MR-16: <6 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR16: 6 to < 7 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR16: 7 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR16: <6 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR20: 11 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 10 to <11 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 11 to <12 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 12 to <13 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 13 to <14 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 14 to <15 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 15 to <16 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: 16 to <17 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR	LED PAR30: 17 to <18 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
	LAMP		
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR	LED PAR30: 18 to <19 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR30: <10 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 12 to <13 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 13 to <14 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 14 to <15 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 15 to <16 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 16 to <17 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 17 to <18 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 18 to <19 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 19 to <20 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 20 to <21 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 21 to <22 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED PAR38: 24 to <25 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR	LED PAR38: 26 to <27 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
	LAMP		
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR	LED PAR38: 27 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
	LED REFLECTOR		
PG&F		LED PAR38: < 12 Watts	
IGGE	LED REFLECTOR		
	LAMP		
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR	LED R-BR: 11 to <14 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
	LED REFLECTOR		
PG&E		LED R-BR: 14 to 22 Watts	
	LAMP		
PG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR	LED R-BR: <11 Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
	LED REFLECTOR		
	LAMP		
PG&E		LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 0-50 W	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
		Fixture	
PG&E	LIGHTING	LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 111-150	LED OUTDOOR FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED	W Fixture	
	FIXTURE		
PG&E	LIGHTING	LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 151-192	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED	W Fixture	
	FIXTURE	LED Outdoor Area Lighting Install 102 225	
PG&E		W Fixture	
	FIXTURE		
PG&E	LIGHTING	LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 226-265	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED	W Fixture	
	FIXTURE		
PG&E		LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 266-500	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
		vv Fixture	
PG&E		LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 501-750	LED OUTDOOR FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED	W Fixture	
	FIXTURE		
PG&E	LIGHTING	LED Outdoor Area Lighting - Install 51-70 W	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED	Fixture	
	HXTURE	LED Outdoor Aroa Lighting Install 71, 110 M	
PG&E		Fixture	



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
	FIXTURE		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	(1) 48in T8 Lamp LED replacing (1) 48in T8 Linear Fluorescent	LED_LOWBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	255 to 325 Watt Ext LED Fixt mounted 15 to <24 ft with Motion Control & Photo Sensor in MF Common Areas LED replacing 575 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	40 to 131 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing (4) 48in T8 VHLO	LED_LOWBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	40 to 131 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 175 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	68 to 90 Watt Ext LED Fixt mounted 15 to <24 ft with Motion Control & Photo Sensor in MF Common Areas LED replacing 175 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	= 15 Watt Down Light (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	= 15 Watt Down Light (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	= 15 Watt Down Light (Non Res) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	=110 and <125 LPW 2x4 Retrofit Kit LED replacing 2x4 T12 T8 or T5 Linear Fluorescent Fixture	LED_LOWBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	=110 and <125 LPW 2x4 Retrofit Kit LED replacing 2x4 T8 or T5 Linear Fluorescent Fixture	LED_LOWBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	=125 LPW 2x4 Luminaire LED replacing 2x4 T8 or T5 Linear Fluorescent Fixture	LED_LOWBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>131 to 160 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing (6) 48in T8 VHLO	LED_HIGHBAY



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>131 to 160 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 200 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>160 to 187 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 250 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>160 to 220 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing (8) 48in T8 VHLO	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>220 to 262 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 350 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>262 to 280 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 400 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>280 to 320 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 450 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>320 to 500 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 750 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	>500 to 750 Watt High/Low Bay LED replacing 1000 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_HIGHBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Interior LED recessed surface and pendant- mounted downlights	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Interior LED recessed, surface and pendant- mounted downlights	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED T8 Lamp UL Type A 4 foot	LED_LOWBAY
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Residential) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 110 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 110 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 110 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	100W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	13 Watt to < 14 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	13 Watt to < 14 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	15 Watt to < 16 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	16 Watt to < 17 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	17 Watt to < 18 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	17 Watt to < 18 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	2 Watt to < 3 Watt Candelabra LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	3 Watt to 10 Watt Globe LED replacing Globe Basecase Total Watts = 4.94 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	3 Watt to < 4 Watt Candelabra (Common Area) LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	3 Watt to < 4 Watt Candelabra (Dwelling Area) LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	3 Watt to < 4 Watt Candelabra LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 68 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 68 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 68 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	40W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Residential) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) (Residential) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 80 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Residential) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	60W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) (Residential) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 100 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 110 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 110 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 110 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 120 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Common Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Dweilling Area) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) (Residential) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	75W Equivalent LED A-Lamp 90 LPW (lumens/watt) LED	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	8 Watt to < 9 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	8 Watt to < 9 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	8 Watt to < 9 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	9 Watt to < 10 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	9 Watt to < 10 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	9 Watt to < 10 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	< 8 Watt A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	< 8 Watt A-Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	< 8 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	<3 Watt Candelabra LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	=3 Watt to =5 Watt Candelabra (Common Area) LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	=3 Watt to =5 Watt Candelabra (Dwelling Area) LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	=3 Watt to =5 Watt Candelabra LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	=3 to =10 Watts Globe LED	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	> 10 to 30 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	>= 4 Watt Candelabra (Common Area) LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	>= 4 Watt Candelabra (Dwelling Area) LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	>= 4 Watt Candelabra LED replacing Candelabra Basecase Total Watts = 7.35 x Msr Watts	LED_ACCENT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: A19 10 Watts non-dimmable	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: A19 18 Watts non-dimmable	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: A19 4 Watts non-dimmable	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: A19 9 Watts non-dimmable	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: CanRet 8 Watts non-dimmable	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: Candle 3 Watts non-dimmable	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: Candle 5 Watts non-dimmable	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: Glb 2 Watts non-dimmable	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Lamp: Glb 3 Watts non-dimmable	LED_ACCENT
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Up to 10 Watt A-Lamp LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Up to 10 Watt Exterior A-Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing A19 Basecase Total Watts = 2.96 x Msr Watts	LED_A-LAMP
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt PAR30 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt PAR30 (Res) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	10 Watt to < 11 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt PAR30 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt PAR30 (Res) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 Watt to < 12 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 to <14 Watts R-BR Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 4.13 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 to <14 Watts R-BR Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 4.13 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 to <14 Watts R-BR Lamp LED replacing R- BR Basecase Total Watts = 4.13 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	11 to <14 Watts R-BR lamp (Residential) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 4.13 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR38 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR38 (Res) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	12 Watt to < 13 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	13 Watt to < 14 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	13 Watt to < 14 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	13 Watt to < 14 Watt PAR38 (Res) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	13 Watt to < 14 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt PAR30 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 Watt to < 15 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 to =22 Watts R-BR Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 3.73 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 to =22 Watts R-BR Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 3.73 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 to =22 Watts R-BR Lamp LED replacing R- BR Basecase Total Watts = 3.73 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	14 to =22 Watts R-BR lamp (Residential) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 3.73 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	15 Watt to < 16 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	15 Watt to < 16 Watt PAR38 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	15 Watt to < 16 Watt PAR38 (Res) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	15 Watt to < 16 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	15 Watt to < 16 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	16 Watt to < 17 Watt PAR38 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	16 Watt to < 17 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	16 Watt to < 17 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	17 Watt to < 18 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	17 Watt to < 18 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	18 Watt to < 19 Watt PAR38 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	18 Watt to < 19 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	19 Watt to < 20 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	19 Watt to < 20 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	20 Watt to < 21 Watt PAR38 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	20 Watt to < 21 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	26 Watt to < 27 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	6 Watt to < 7 Watt MR16 (Common Area) LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	6 Watt to < 7 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	6 Watt to < 7 Watt PAR16 LED replacing PAR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.04 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	7 Watt to < 8 Watt MR16 (Common Area) LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	7 Watt to < 8 Watt MR16 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	7 Watt to < 8 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	7 Watt to < 8 Watt PAR20 LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	8 Watt to < 9 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	8 Watt to < 9 Watt PAR20 LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	9 Watt to < 10 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	9 Watt to < 10 Watt PAR20 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	9 Watt to < 10 Watt PAR20 LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 10 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 10 Watt PAR30 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 10 Watt PAR30 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 10 Watt PAR30 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 10 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 10 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 12 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 6 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	< 6 Watt PAR16 LED replacing PAR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.04 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	<11 Watts R-BR Lamp (Common Area) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 5.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	<11 Watts R-BR Lamp (Dwelling Area) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 5.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	<11 Watts R-BR Lamp LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 5.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	<11 Watts R-BR lamp (Residential) LED replacing R-BR Basecase Total Watts = 5.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	= 11 Watts PAR20 (Res) LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.04 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	= 11 Watts PAR20 LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.04 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	= 27 Watts PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.28 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	= 7 Watts PAR16 LED replacing PAR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.04 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	> 15 to 21 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	> 17 to 25 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	> 6 to 10 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	> 8 to 12 Watt PAR20 LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	>= 10 Watt PAR20 (Common Area) LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	>= 10 Watt PAR20 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	>= 10 Watt PAR20 LED replacing PAR20 Basecase Total Watts = 4.7 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: MR16 11 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: MR16 3 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: MR16 6 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR20 10 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR20 6 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR20 7 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR	LED Lamp: PAR20 9 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
	LAMP		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 10 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 11 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 12 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 14 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 15 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 6 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR30 8 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR38 12 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR38 13 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR38 15 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR38 16 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR38 17 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Lamp: PAR38 19 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR	LED Lamp: PAR38 7 Watts non-dimmable	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
	LAMP		
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Up to 15 Watt Exterior PAR30 (Dwelling Area) LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 2.94 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Up to 15 Watt PAR30 LED replacing PAR30 Basecase Total Watts = 3.42 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Up to 17 Watt PAR38 LED replacing PAR38 Basecase Total Watts = 3.81 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Up to 6 Watt MR16 LED replacing MR16 Basecase Total Watts = 4.24 x Msr Watts	LED_REFLECTOR
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	114 to 123 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 250 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	114 to 123 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 250 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	121 to 150 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 250 Watt High Pressure Sodium	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	124 to 161 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 320 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	124 to 161 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 320 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	151 to 203 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 310 Watt High Pressure Sodium	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE


PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	162 to 194 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 350 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	162 to 194 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 350 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	195 to 226 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 400 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	195 to 226 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 400 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	204 to 275 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 400 Watt High Pressure Sodium	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	227 to 254 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 450 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	227 to 254 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 450 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	255 to 325 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 575 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	255 to 325 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 575 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	326 to 440 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 750 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	326 to 440 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 750 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	41 to 80 Watt Wall Pack LED replacing 176 to 250 Watt High Pressure Sodium	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	45 to 67 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 150 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	45 to 67 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 150 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	50 to 90 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 150 Watt High Pressure Sodium	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	518 to 643 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 1000 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	68 to 90 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 175 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	68 to 90 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 175 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	91 to 113 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 200 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	91 to 113 Watt Exterior LED Fixture mounted 15 to <24 ft. with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 200 Watt Pulse Start Metal Halide	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	91 to 120 Watt Exterior Fixture with Motion Control and Photo Sensor LED replacing 200 Watt High Pressure Sodium	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	Exterior LED Fixture mounted less than 15 ft. above finished grade	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	Exterior LED fixture replacements (utilizing approved luminaries)	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	Exterior LED outdoor pole/arm-mounted decorative luminaires	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SCE	LIGHTING OUTDOOR LED FIXTURE	Exterior LED wall-mounted area luminaires	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial LED Recessed Downlight 21 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight 12 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight 13 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight 14 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight 15 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight 16 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight/Retrofit 10 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight/Retrofit 11 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight/Retrofit 15 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Commercial-LED Recessed Downlight/Retrofit 9 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: 40 to 131 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >131 to 160 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >160 to 187 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >187 to 220 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >220 to 262 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >262 to 280 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >280 to 320 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	High Bay LED: >320 to 500 watts	LED_HIGHBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 1x4, 3000-3300 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 1x4, 3601-3999 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x2, 3000-3300 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x2, 3301-3600 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x2, 3601-3999 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x2, 4000-4300 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x2, 4301-4600 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x4, 4000-4300 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x4, 4301-4600 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Ambient Commercial Fixtures, Size 2x4, 4601-4999 lumens	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Fixture: 22 to 39 watts	LED_LOWBAY



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Fixture: 40 to 131 watts	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Fixture: >131 to 160 watts	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 10 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 11 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 12 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 13 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 14 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 15 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 16 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight 21 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Recessed Downlight/Retrofit 9 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 11 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 12 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 13 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 14 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 15 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 16 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 17 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 18 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 19 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 20 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 21 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 22 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 23 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 24 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 25 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 5 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED Surface, Pendant, Track, Accent, and Recessed Downlight 8 Watt	LED_DOWNLIGHT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED T8 Lamp_Direct Install	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	LED T8 Lamp_PreRebUp_Mid-Stream	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED FIXTURE	Lighting - Linear LED Systems (Bi-pin Halogen Basecase)	LED_LOWBAY
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res) LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumen, 75w EISA, LPW = 90, CompScore = 282, dWP = 12.6	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res) LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumen, 100w EISA, LPW = 100, CompScore = 282, dWP = 19.1	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res) LED A-Lamp 1490-2600 Lumen, 100w EISA, LPW = 90, CompScore = 282, dWP = 17.2	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res) LED A-Lamp 750-1049 Lumen, 60w EISA, LPW = 80, CompScore = 282, dWP = 7.8	LED_A-LAMP



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res-DI) LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumen, 75w EISA, LPW = 90, CompScore = 282, dWP = 12.6	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res-DI-InCmn) LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumen, 75w EISA, LPW = 90, CompScore = 282, dWP = 12.6	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	(Res-DI-OutCmn) LED A-Lamp 1050-1489 Lumen, 75w EISA, LPW = 90, CompScore = 282, dWP = 12.6	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED - Candalebra 2 Watt	LED_ACCENT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED - Candalebra 5 Watt	LED_ACCENT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 10 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 12 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 15 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 17 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 7 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 8.5 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 9 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in A-Lamp 9.5 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED - (DI) A -lamp 1490-2600 Lumens, 100w EISA, LPW=100, CompScore=282, dWP=19.1	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED - Candalebra 3.5 Watt	LED_ACCENT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED - Candalebra 4 Watt	LED_ACCENT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED - Candalebra 5 Watt	LED_ACCENT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED - Mid-Strm(non-res) A -lamp 1490-2600 Lumens, 100w EISA, LPW=100, CompScore=282, dWP=19.1	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 10 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 11 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 12 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 13 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 13 Watt - Dwelling Unit	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 13 Watt - Residential Indoor Common Area Lighting	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 13 Watt - Residential Outdoor Common Area Lighting	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 15 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 16 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 17 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 7 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 8 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 8.5 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 9 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in A-Lamp 9.5 Watt	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in Globe 7.5 Watt	LED_ACCENT



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED Screw-in Globe 8 Watt	LED_ACCENT
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED- (DI) A -lamp 1050-1489 Lms, 75w EISA, LPW=100, CompScore=282, dWP=13.5	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED- (DI) A-lamp 750-1049 Lms, 60w EISA, LPW=90, CompScore=282, dWP=9.2	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED- (Mid-Stream) A -lamp 1050-1489 Lms, 75w EISA, LPW=100, CompScore=282, dWP=13.5	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED- Mid-Stream (non-res) A -lamp 1490- 2600 Lumens, 100w EISA, LPW=90, CompScore=282, dWP=17.2	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED- Mid-Stream(Non-Res) A -lamp 1050- 1489 Lms, 75w EISA, LPW=90, CompScore=282, dWP=12.6	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED LAMP	LED-Mid-Stream (Non-Res) A -lamp 750-1049 Lumens, 60w EISA, LPW=80, CompScore=282, dWP=7.8	LED_A-LAMP
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED - MR16 7 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED - RefR 9 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in BR30 8 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR20 7 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR20 8 watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR30 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR30 12 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR30 13 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR30 8 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR38 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR38 17 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in PAR38 18 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R30 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R30 12 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R30 13 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R40 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R40 14 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R40 15 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	Commercial-LED Screw-in R40 16 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED - MR16 10 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED - MR16 4 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED - MR16 6 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED - MR16 7 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED - MR16 8 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED - RefR 9 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in BR30 8 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in MR16 7 Watt - Interior Common Area	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR20 7 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR20 8 watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR30 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR30 12 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR30 12 Watt - Interior Dwelling Units	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR30 13 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR30 14 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR30 8 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 13 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 14 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 16 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 17 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 18 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in PAR38 19 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R30 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R30 12 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R30 13 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R40 10 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R40 11 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R40 12 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR LED REFLECTOR LAMP	LED Screw-in R40 14 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR



PA	Measure Group	Measure Name	ESPI Measure
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR	LED Screw-in R40 15 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
	LED REFLECTOR		
	LAMP		
SDG&E	LIGHTING INDOOR	LED Screw-in R40 16 Watt	LED_REFLECTOR
	LED REFLECTOR		
	LAMP		
SDG&E	LIGHTING	Lighting - Exterior LED Fixtures <=110 watts	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED		
	FIXTURE		
SDG&E	LIGHTING	Lighting - Exterior LED Fixtures <=130 watts	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED		
	FIXTURE		
SDG&E	LIGHTING	Lighting - Exterior LED Fixtures <=192watts	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED		
	FIXTURE		
SDG&E	LIGHTING	Lighting - Exterior LED Fixtures <=80 watts	LED_OUTDOOR_FIXTURE
	OUTDOOR LED		
	FIXTURE		

APPENDIX F RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

Comment #	Section	Торіс	Page	Comment	Evaluator Res
1	Overarching	Executive Summary	1	The report is missing the Executive Summary: The report does not include an Executive Summary, which is a critical part of the report. When will stakeholders be provided a complete draft for review, including executive summary, before the final report is published?	Please find the I
2	6/1/2003	Changes to NTG algorithm	4-Jun	Omitting PA-1 from the NTG scoring algorithm may have compromised the accuracy of the NTG results: The NTG scoring algorithm was changed from past evaluations to exclude PAI-1. A working group developed the three PAIs in a consensus-based process. We are concerned that removing the PAI-1 may have jeopardized the accuracy of the NTG results. In summary, the evaluators gave three reasons the PAI-1 value was disregarded: • It scores with somewhat little variation in the value • PAI-1 and PAI-2 are considered "very similar" • PAI-1 is considered to not track "no program" behavior well We believe the first reason does not seem valid for disregarding the value, since little fluctuation doesn't indicate inaccuracy or irrelevancy. Similarly, the second reason doesn't seem valid since similar results between indices also does not indicate inaccuracy or irrelevancy. The third reason may have merit. However, even the low values for PAI-1 for PG&E appears to be about the same or higher than PAI-2 and PAI-3 for PG&E. In short, we believe the NTG may be underestimated because PAI-1 was removed. Can the evaluators please show in the final report how the results may have changed if PAI-1 were included, and discuss in the final report whether re- incorporating PAI-1 is an option here or in future Nonresidential ESPI Deemed Lighting Impact Evaluations? This will help to validate whether the NTG methodology changes are warranted and providing accurate information. Can Itron please include this additional detail in the final report for greater understanding and transparency?	We do not belie fact, we believe a value closer to removal of PAI- by incorporating and without PA For PY2018, we unlikely that PA report.
3	6-2	Table 6-2	6-5	We request additional investigation and explanation of why the ex post NTG values are different by PA: The NTG values calculated show a differentiation of values for PG&E compared to SCE and SDG&E. While the Ex Ante values are lower, the difference is not considerably lower, but the difference in the value for the Ex Post values is considerable. Itron indicates that the reasons for this are not clear. Could Itron please explore to try to understand if this is an issue of systemic bias in the survey tool or if there is another reason that the deviation is occurring, and then discuss this in the final report?	We have revised are lower for PG more likely to h to becoming aw participants rep program. Also, by compar Appendix D Que building type di seen higher rate
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	Was PG&E's LED Midstream Program lamps incentive program included as part of this evaluation? If not, which CPUC impact evaluation would these measures be evaluated?	PG&E's LED mid evaluation. The lamp or downlig downstream pro primary gross re and updated gro these lamp/dow



ponse

Executive Summary in the final report.

eve omitting PAI-1 compromises the accuracy of the NTG results. In e the opposite, that including PAI-1 may be biasing the NTGR towards to 0.5. We have revised Section 6 and focused on 2 reasons for -1 - the lack of correlation with free ridership, and the bias it creates ng it into the score. As requested, we have shown the NTGRs with AI-1.

e do plan to revisit the NTG methodology. However, we feel it is AI-1 will be re-incorporated for the reasons stated above and in the

ed the report to provide additional investigation on why the NTGRs G&E. Essentially, we see that the PG&E participants were much nave already made their decision to install the new equipment prior ware of the program rebate. Furthermore, significantly more PG&E ported they would have done the same thing in the absence of the

aring the main business type and facility size of the participants (see sestions CC2A and FM050), we see a significant difference in the istribution for PG&E and larger facility size. Historically, we have ses of free ridership for some of these types of customer segments.

dstream Program lamp incentive program was included as part of the e evaluation team did NOT conduct any new primary net research on ight measures, so ex ante NTGs from both midstream and rograms were passed through. The evaluation team did conduct research on both midstream and downstream lamps and downlights ross savings for all these measures. We will conduct NTG research on wnlight measures for PY2018 (See page 4-3).

Comment #	Section	Торіс	Page	Comment	Evaluator Res
5	2-1	Table 2-1	2-2	The report states, "As evident above, LED fixture measures represent a significant proportion of portfolio level lifecycle savings at the statewide level (Table 2-2 also provides that proportion for each PA)", but it ultimately measures out to about 9% of the statewide portfolio for all four measures. Could Itron please clarify?	Thanks for the on nonresidential, Indoor LED fixtu measures subje
6	3-2-2	Figure 3-1	3-9	Can Itron please clarify in the report what is the hierarchy of device controls assignment for products that are on multiple controls? Switches dominate this list, but the code required switched lighting, so presumably, almost all of the lights were switched. Also, can Itron please clarify in the report if Figure 3-1 indicates those that were both switched and also had an additional control method (with the exception of the "electric panel" item, which I presume means no switching at all)?	The heirarchy o self-reported so EMS and the sit then EMS would by an EMS and a switch, then 2
7	4-2	Table 4-1 & Table 4-3	4-2 & 4-5	It appears that the N values are not logically totaled in this Table because of the overlap. It implies something different from what is actually being counted. Perhaps remove the "All" N-count for each utility?	The totals in the savings (and co survey sample v were passed the and associated
8	5-1	First Year Impact	5-2	The stated formula appears to disregard any change in full use equivalent hours of operation during the year that may be a result of controls additions/changes. Is this a correct interpretation? If so, is this an accurate presentation of hours of operation?	The annual hou type (e.g., LED / The HOU take in at the time of th know that distri area of installat work conducted Further, we are with the contro time, then the s with the contro
9	5-2-1	Table 5-2	5-6	Table 5-2 appears to state that removal and storage are mutually exclusive, but isn't it also likely that removal might indicate failure (without a sufficient replacement), and won't removal likely also overlap on storage to some level? How is that accounted for?	Removal and st with each site c operable, and if Appendix B pro developed for e
10	5-2-2	Tables 5-4 through 5-7	5-11	The "All Building Types" total at the bottom appears to represent an average of the annual operating hours. However, is this weighted based on sites, fixtures, wattage or a combination of these items?	The all building types and was p estimates arour average was NC



sponse

comment. Of the total 9% of ex ante lifecycle savings for deemed, non-upstream measure claims in the PY2017 portfolio, ures make up 4% of the total portfolio or roughly 45.7% of the ect to evaluation as detailed in Table 2-2.

of device control is not based on the control itself, but the customer chedule of the lighting system. If all measures were controlled by an te contact provided our auditors the schedule for all those measures, ld signify the control method. If half those measures were controlled that schedule differed from the other half of installations installed on 2 schedules were created. (SEE page B-14 in Appendix B).

nese 2 tables are purposely different. Table 4-1 presents the total punt of unique sites) that are downstream or midstream. Our phone was designed around downstream only (e.g., midstream measures prough) so Table 4-3 presents the total number of downstream sites savings only in our sample frame.

A-lamps installed in a hotel versus downlights installed in a hotel). into account the distribution of schedules and device controls found the verification of measure installation in 2013-2015. Given we do not ribution of schedules and device controls in PY2017 (or the activity tion by building type) we relied on the distribution found from on-site ed in 2013-2015. No additional modifications were made beyond that. e examining the savings associated with the lamps or fixtures, not ol. If a control has been installed prior to the retrofit or at the same savings associated with the retrofit should reflect the HOU associated ols.

torage rates may not be mutually exclusive. The on-site auditor works contact to identify how many rebated measures were installed and if the count is less, they try and ascertain why. Pages B-23 and B-24 of ovide how the "observed vs rebated" number of measures installed is each site-measure.

g type category was developed as the weighted average of building presented both for presentation purposes and to provide precision and the entire sample of measures. The weight is a fixture weight. This IOT used to update HOU for any PY2017 claims.

Comment #	Section	Торіс	Page	Comment	Evaluator Res
11	5-2-2	"were verified more substantially"	5-13	Can Itron please clarify in the report what is meant by, "more substantially verified"? Does it mean that the evaluators verified a higher percentage of the product installed, possibly that there are more of these installed, so more were verified, or does it mean that of those verified, a higher percentage were found to be present, or something else?	This just means confirmed a gre activity area rela program rebate downlight meas
12	5-2-2	Figure 5-5	5-15	The MR-16 graph appears to show a symptom of lamp binning that is somewhat expected as LEDs advance in efficacy. It appears to show a wattage bin product from 2014 that has dropped down a wattage bin in one year's time (from 14-17 watts to 11-13 watts). Presumably, these bins aren't arbitrary, and the tables of these wattage bins are obfuscating the annual comparisons of performance as some products that would have previously been in a high bin drop down to a lower bin, and so are no longer in the same comparison columns. Can Itron please clarify in the report if these comparisons should be done by lumen binning instead of wattage binning to make the differences clearer? If so, could Itron update the report accordingly?	The way our and so we could det (likely lower) wa 15. We would a for the MR-16 la any statistically
13	5-2-2	Figure 5-6 and text	5-16	Figure 5-6 indicates that no LED products were found as baseline technologies, and further, no incandescent lamps were found as well. Is it likely that several years later, there will be no LED products found? Further, the text discusses that the team looked in storage rooms for existing lamps, but how likely is it that the lamps in there are relics from a long time ago, and don't represent a reasonable baseline for just prior to the retrofit? For example, when the field team looked, were incandescent A-lamps disregarded as being unlikely baseline technology, or were they given the same evidentiary weight as other more recent lamps?	Our onsite audit was what was re what may be fo older LEDs that
14	5-2-2	Figure 5-8	5-17	How reasonable are the lumen bins that were employed in the graphs (taken from EISA 2007) based on the output of the variety of lamps that are available during the evaluation period? Since approximately ten years have passed and the light source technology has advance considerably, the binning that was employed in 2007 may have bias in the results if the majority of the products in the bin are not centered in the range, and especially if the majority of LED products and baseline technology products do not align to produce equal output equivalencies, especially at the boundaries of the bins.	The evaluation t as well as the ra on the market. I watt halogen in The mean lume 301 LED A-Lamp represented ove incandescent or
15	5-2-2	Figure 5-10	5-18	There appears to be a bias in the evaluation method that is disfavoring the higher efficacy results. Is this a result of the binning? If not, can Itron please explain in the report what is the reason for the bias?	We don't consid the observation aggregation was already very eff become much n equipment (e.g. efficient in 2017



ponse

a - of the rebated and installed measures found on site, our surveyors eater distribution of installations for a given technology type in one lative to another technology type (See Table 3-4 in Section 3). 92% of ed A-lamps were found installed in guest rooms while 40% of sures were found installed in hallways/lobbies.

alysis was performed, it was necessary to bin the lamps by wattage termine how increases in efficacy would have resulted in different attages in 2017 compared to the wattage values we found in 2014also like to point out that based on the relatively small sample sizes amps, particularly in the higher wattage bins, we cannot really draw significant conclusions about patterns over a one year period.

tors work with the site contact to determine if what was in storage emoved. As to what to expect in several years, it is difficult to say bund. We actually might expect to see more efficient LEDs replacing had poorer efficacy (ie., more watts per lumen).

team reviewed the distribution of light output for each LED measure ated lumens for halogen and general service incandescent halogens For example, the baseline wattage for an LED A-lamp replacing a 43 acandescent lamp was based on a lumen bin of 750-1,049 lumens. ens was 812 and the median was 800 lumens, based on a sample of p site-measures. While lower in the bin, 800 lumen LED products er 1/2 of all measures in that bin. This equates to a 60W equivalent r a 43 watt incandescent halogen baseline.

der this bias. There is no issue with binning in this exhibit as each of ns on the graphs represent an individal site-measure, so no binning or is conducted. Further, we wouldn't expect a measure that was ficient (e.g., a 100 lumen/watt technology found on-site in 2015) to more efficient in 2017. The expectation is that lower efficiency ., a 55 lumen/watt technology found on-site in 2015) to be more 7, where the average lumens per watt is closer to 80 or 90 LPW.

Comment #	Section	Торіс	Page	Comment	Evaluator Res
16	6-2	Comments on PG&E results of NTG calculations	6-6	The report comments that the PAI-3 results are not favorable for PG&E. Considering how poorly the PAI-3 values were and that the weighting of the PAI-3 value has increased to 50% of the scoring, should the phone interview questions be evaluated carefully? There may be an inherent problem with the survey conflating customer awareness or sensitivity of issues with the actual decision-making process that results in an energy efficiency project taking place.	We do not belie 3 score is valida question respor
16	7-0	Table 7-1	7-1	Table 7-1 shows that the "LED Lamp - A-lamps" NTG value is a Pass-Through from the previous evaluation cycle. Since this appears to be the category with the highest NTG reduction value for PG&E (both in percentage, and in total Gross savings), the re-evaluation of the NTG values warrant considerable attention. Are the evaluators certain that there haven't been any systematic changes that have been made by the utility to improve the NTG value? We believe the impact of that low NTG value is great enough to warrant further attention in this evaluation and future evaluations.	Pass-Through m evaluaton resul rates. We do, h
18	8-0	Recommendation 1	8-1	A metering study may not be necessary with buildings that have an ALCS or similar lighting control system. It is possible with many/most of these to 'report' out use summaries for periods of time? Most of them are capable of measuring and/or calculating power draw and energy consumption with good accuracy, although some may not fully employ meter-grade monitoring. The errors presented by the reports may still be smaller than observation and reporting, especially when considering a dynamic lighting system.	Agreed. The po term "monitori
19	8-0	Recommendation 3	8-2	The retrofit of an HIG source with an LED source is often not an issue of lamp efficacy by luminaire efficacy. This complicates the calculations considerably and should be considered much more carefully in the evaluation of any product that is intended to replace HID light sources. Can Itron please provide thoughts on this?	Thanks for the only survey data only PY2018. These the evaluations.
20	8-0	Recommendation 4	8-2	This may be the most important finding and recommendation in this report. There is some evidence that the previously-held presumption that a lighting system that is 15 years old is at end of life may not be true in a practical sense, and especially as budgets for renovation have tightened, the approach to extend the life of the existing system increases. A study as recommended will likely be very informative and the value is crucial to the free ridership questions that invariably occur.	Thanks for the o
21	Appendix C	Table 1 & 2	C-6	Could Itron please provide an explanation of the formulas used to derive the "All" rollup value for each building type? Is it weighted based on wattage, number of sites, a combination of both?	See response to
22	Appendix C	Hours of use analysis notes	C-8	Do the self-report questions provide the possibility to report both the "operating hours" and the "occupied hours" so that a reasonable estimate of the length of shoulders for retail and restaurants can be estimated more reasonably?	The self-report The vast majori shoulder perioc information, the relative to the b



sponse

eve there is an issue with this survey question. The relatively low PAIated by the relatively low PAI-2 score, as well as other survey onses (N2 and N6) as discussed in the revised write-up of Section 6.

means that ex-ante values are passed through, not that previous Its were used. Therefore, the NTGR has no effect on the realization however, plan to evaluate the NTGR for these measures in PY2018.

bints you make in your comment are precisely why we utilized the ing" versus "metering" in the recommendation.

comment. This finding and recommendation was based on phone aly. Our team plans to conduct field work on these measures for types of research questions are helpful in developing scope for those

comment.

to Comment 12 above.

t questions aim to reveal the occupied hours and the operating hours. rity of site contacts do not self-report "occupied hours" throughout ods. Given the small sample of projects that do provide this he usage rate, based on the actual % ON from the logger sample business hours, is found to be a more reliable proxy.

Comment #	Section	Торіс	Page	Comment	Evaluator Resp
23	Appendix D	Question AA3	D-12	Question AA-3 appears to have a large disparity between the rates of responses that answered "To get a rebate from the program" across the utilities. Is there an explanation for why this occurred? The differences are stark and seem to indicate a potential problem with the wording, with the survey respondent pool, or some other potential discrepancy.	Thanks for your report to reflect Question AA-3 is categorized resp the customer, so speculate given



ponse

r attention to this. We have updated this appendix since the draft t the final responses from the phone survey. Please note that is an open ended question, and respondents are not prompted for a ponse. The phone interviewer captures all the answers provided by to any given customer could give multiple responses. Our team can't the unprompted, open nature of the question and answer.